Collider probe of heavy additional Higgs bosons solving the muon $g-2$ and dark matter problems
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We study the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) search potential of a $Z_4$-based two Higgs doublet model which can simultaneously explain the muon $g-2$ anomaly and the observed dark matter. The neutral scalars in the second Higgs doublet couple to $\mu$ and $\tau$ and largely contribute to the muon anomalous magnetic moment through the one-loop diagram involving $\tau$ and scalars. An additional singlet scalar which is charged under the discrete symmetry can be a dark matter candidate. An upper limit on the scalar mass originates from the unitarity constraint, and the $\mu\tau$ flavor violating nature of the scalars predicts non-standard signatures at the LHC. However, the previously proposed $\mu^+\mu^+\tau^+\tau^+$ signal via the electroweak heavy neutral scalar pair production at the LHC loses sensitivity for increasing scalar mass. We revisit this model and investigate the LHC prospects for the single production of the $\mu\tau$ flavor violating neutral scalar. It is shown that the single scalar process helps to extend the LHC reach for the 1 TeV mass regime of the scenario. The search potential at the high energy LHC is also discussed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Most experimental results so far support the standard model (SM) of particle physics. However, the SM falls short of explaining dark matter, the baryon asymmetry of the universe, neutrino masses and so on. Each of these problems has many possible solutions, and thus more experimental hints are required to specify the correct new physics (NP) scenario. One of the most notorious and long-lived discrepancies between the SM prediction and the measurement exists in the muon anomalous magnetic moment ($a_\mu$) [1–3]. The comparison of the SM prediction and the experimental value is given as

$$\Delta a_\mu = a_\mu^{\text{exp}} - a_\mu^{\text{SM}} = (2.51 \pm 0.59) \times 10^{-9}. \quad (1)$$

The SM prediction is taken from the white paper [1] which is mainly based on the data-driven determination of the hadronic vacuum-polarization contribution [4–7]. It is known that the discrepancy is of the same order as the electroweak contribution, i.e. a new $O(100)$ GeV weakly coupled particle can explain the discrepancy. However, no signal of NP at this scale has been found at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) so far. This fact implies that in order to explain the discrepancy in terms of NP, some enhancement mechanism in the NP contribution to $g-2$ is necessary.

A popular method to enhance the $g-2$ contribution is the introduction of a new flavor-violating particle. The dipole operator underlying $g-2$ requires a chirality flip, which corresponds to the muon mass within flavor-conserving scenarios. A one-loop contribution involving a $\mu\tau$ flavor-violating particle is instead enhanced by a factor of $m_\tau/m_\mu \simeq 17$ [15–43]. This mechanism can lift the mass scale of the new particle by more than a factor of four. However, lepton flavor-violating (LFV) interactions are stringently constrained and easily spoil the model if the particle also has lepton flavor-conserving couplings. Therefore one needs to ensure the absence of flavor-diagonal couplings for the $\tau$ mass enhanced muon $g-2$ solution to be viable.

This specific coupling alignment can be realized by a discrete $Z_4$ flavor symmetry within the two Higgs doublet model (2HDM) [28]. In this model the $g-2$ contribution is proportional to the $\mu\tau$ LFV coupling and the mass difference of the additional neutral scalars. Recently it was proposed that the singlet scalar extension of the model can explain the relic density of the dark matter (DM) through the thermal freeze-out mechanism [43]. The $Z_4$ symmetry is then used both to stabilize the DM candidate and also to realize the flavor alignment.

Since the new scalars are quark-phobic within the $Z_4$-based model, their production cross section at the LHC is not large. However, the unique coupling structure predicts that the neutral scalars decay into $\mu^+\tau^-$. Previously we pointed out the smoking-gun signature of a $\mu^+\mu^+\tau^+\tau^+$ final state via electroweak scalar pair produc-
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#1 We note that the estimate based on the recent lattice simulation differs and is more consistent with the measured muon $g-2$ [8, 9]. Recent results from other lattice groups are converging towards the BMW result [8, 10]. However, the lattice results are in tension with the low energy $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})$ data [11–13], so that further clarification is needed. In this paper we consider the discrepancy as quoted in Eq. (1).

#2 See Ref. [14] for a recent review.
#3 Due to the loop function, scalar mediators receive a further enhancement.
In order to obtain realistic neutrino masses and mixings the two Higgs doublets need to be extended. See Ref. [43] for details.

We consider a two Higgs doublet model with an additional scalar singlet ($S$) and a discrete $Z_4$ symmetry under which the Higgs and lepton fields transform as given in Tab. I. The gauge charge assignments of other SM fields, e.g. quarks, are the same as in the SM, and they trivially transform under $Z_4$.

We assume the $Z_4$ symmetry to be unaffected by electroweak symmetry breaking, so that the two Higgs doublets $H_{1,2}$ are in the Higgs basis [44, 45] in which only one Higgs doublet has a non-vanishing vacuum expectation value of $v \approx 246$ GeV. In this basis, the two Higgs doublets can be decomposed as

$$ H_1 = \left( \frac{G^+}{\sqrt{2}} \right), \quad H_2 = \left( \frac{H^+}{\sqrt{2}} \right), $$

where $G^+$ and $G$ are the SM Nambu-Goldstone bosons, and $H^+$ and $h$ are a charged Higgs boson and the discovered CP-even Higgs boson, respectively. $H$ and $A$ correspond to additional neutral scalars. The scalar potential of our model is given by

$$ V = M_1^2 H_1^\dagger H_1 + M_2^2 H_2^\dagger H_2 + \lambda_1 (H_1^\dagger H_1)^2 + \lambda_2 (H_2^\dagger H_2)^2 + \lambda_3 (H_1^\dagger H_1) (H_2^\dagger H_2) + \lambda_4 (H_1^\dagger H_2) (H_2^\dagger H_1) + \frac{\lambda_5}{2} (H_1^\dagger H_2)^2 + \text{h.c.}. $$

Since the mass spectrum of the scalars is of crucial importance for the muon $g-2$ as well as for the collider phenomenology, we explicitly show the mass relations:

$$ m_h^2 = \lambda_1 v^2, \quad m_A^2 = M_2^2 + \frac{\lambda_3 + \lambda_4 - \lambda_5}{2} v^2, $$

$$ m_H^2 = m_A^2 + \lambda_5 v^2, \quad m_{H^\pm}^2 = m_A^2 - \frac{\lambda_4 - \lambda_5}{2} v^2. $$

For later convenience we define the mass difference of the heavy neutral scalars as

$$ \Delta_{H-A} = m_H - m_A $$

$$ \approx 50 \text{ GeV} \left( \frac{\lambda_5}{1.5} \right) \left( \frac{1800 \text{ GeV}}{m_H + m_A} \right). $$

Note that $\lambda_5 \geq 0$ corresponds to $m_H \geq m_A$. The mass difference $\Delta_{H-A}$ decreases for heavier scalars, since it is proportional to the $SU(2)_L$ breaking.

In this paper we revisit the model’s collider prospects in the presence of larger couplings. The pair production cross section is governed only by the electroweak coupling and decreases rapidly when the scalars get heavier. We thus propose the single scalar production process (middle and right of Fig. 1) to assist to cover the heavier scalar and decreases rapidly when the scalars get heavier. We important [34]. We combine those processes and evaluate the search potential at the future LHC.

The layout of the paper is given as follows. In Sec. II, we briefly introduce our setup of the 2HDM and review the summary and discussion.

II. MODEL AND MUON $g-2$

We consider a two Higgs doublet model with an additional scalar singlet ($S$) and a discrete $Z_4$ symmetry under which the Higgs and lepton fields transform as given in Tab. I. The gauge charge assignments of other SM fields, e.g. quarks, are the same as in the SM, and they trivially transform under $Z_4$.

We assume the $Z_4$ symmetry to be unaffected by electroweak symmetry breaking, so that the two Higgs doublets $H_{1,2}$ are in the Higgs basis [44, 45] in which only one Higgs doublet has a non-vanishing vacuum expectation value of $v \approx 246$ GeV. In this basis, the two Higgs doublets can be decomposed as

$$ H_1 = \left( \frac{G^+}{\sqrt{2}} \right), \quad H_2 = \left( \frac{H^+}{\sqrt{2}} \right), $$

where $G^+$ and $G$ are the SM Nambu-Goldstone bosons, and $H^+$ and $h$ are a charged Higgs boson and the discovered CP-even Higgs boson, respectively. $H$ and $A$ correspond to additional neutral scalars. The scalar potential of our model is given by

$$ V = M_1^2 H_1^\dagger H_1 + M_2^2 H_2^\dagger H_2 + \lambda_1 (H_1^\dagger H_1)^2 + \lambda_2 (H_2^\dagger H_2)^2 + \lambda_3 (H_1^\dagger H_1) (H_2^\dagger H_2) + \lambda_4 (H_1^\dagger H_2) (H_2^\dagger H_1) + \frac{\lambda_5}{2} (H_1^\dagger H_2)^2 + \text{h.c.}. $$

Since the mass spectrum of the scalars is of crucial importance for the muon $g-2$ as well as for the collider phenomenology, we explicitly show the mass relations:

$$ m_h^2 = \lambda_1 v^2, \quad m_A^2 = M_2^2 + \frac{\lambda_3 + \lambda_4 - \lambda_5}{2} v^2, $$

$$ m_H^2 = m_A^2 + \lambda_5 v^2, \quad m_{H^\pm}^2 = m_A^2 - \frac{\lambda_4 - \lambda_5}{2} v^2. $$

For later convenience we define the mass difference of the heavy neutral scalars as

$$ \Delta_{H-A} = m_H - m_A $$

$$ \approx 50 \text{ GeV} \left( \frac{\lambda_5}{1.5} \right) \left( \frac{1800 \text{ GeV}}{m_H + m_A} \right). $$

Note that $\lambda_5 \geq 0$ corresponds to $m_H \geq m_A$. The mass difference $\Delta_{H-A}$ decreases for heavier scalars, since it is proportional to the $SU(2)_L$ breaking.
Following the notation in Ref. [21], the Yukawa sector of the model based on the $\mathbb{Z}_4$ charge assignment, in addition to the SM part, is given as

$$-\mathcal{L}_Y = \rho_e^{\mu*} T_\mu H_2 \bar{\tau}_R + \rho_\mu^{\tau*} T_\tau H_2 \bar{\mu}_R + \text{h.c.},$$

where $\rho_e^{\mu*}$ and $\rho_\mu^{\tau*}$ are free parameters. In this model, a sizable contribution to $\Delta a_\mu$ is generated via the one-loop diagram mediated by the extra neutral Higgs bosons $H$ and $A$. The $\tau$ mass enhanced contribution is given as $[21, 22]$

$$\Delta a_\mu \simeq \frac{m_\mu m_\tau \rho_e^{\mu*} \rho_\mu^{\tau*}}{16\pi^2} \left( \frac{\ln \frac{m^2_A}{m_\tau^2} - \frac{3}{2}}{m_H^2} - \frac{\ln \frac{m^2_H}{m_\tau^2} - \frac{3}{2}}{m_A^2} \right),$$

$$\simeq -2.5 \times 10^{-9} \left( \frac{\rho_e^{\mu*} \rho_\mu^{\tau*}}{1.0} \right) \left( \frac{\lambda_5}{1.0} \right) \left( \frac{700 \text{GeV}}{m_A} \right)^4,$$

where Eq. (5) is used to derive the second relation. Fig. 2 shows the value of $\rho_e^{\mu*} \rho_\mu^{\tau*}$ required to explain the central value of the discrepancy with black contours.\(^{#5}\) We are interested in the heaviest possible scenario and thus $|\rho_e^{\mu*}| = |\rho_\mu^{\tau*}|$ is set in the following. If we allow for large Yukawa couplings, heavy scalars of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ TeV can explain the muon $g-2$ discrepancy. Furthermore the product of $\rho_e^{\mu*} \rho_\mu^{\tau*} \lambda_5$ must be negative to obtain a positive contribution to $\Delta a_\mu$. In summary we find that the parameters relevant for $\Delta a_\mu$ are $\rho_e^{\mu*} \rho_\mu^{\tau*}$, $m_A$ and $\lambda_5$. It is noted that the $\tau$ mass enhanced $g-2$ contribution picks up the $SU(2)_L$-breaking effect and is proportional to $m_A^{-4}$.

The charged Higgs mass is set to $m_{H^\pm} = m_A$ ($m_{H^\pm} = m_H$) for $\lambda_5 \geq 0$ ($\lambda_5 \leq 0$) to respect the constraints from electroweak oblique parameters [46] and vacuum stability [47]. The size of the couplings is bounded from above by the requirement that the theory remains perturbative, we hence choose $|\lambda_i| \leq 4\pi$ and $|\rho_e^{\mu*}| \leq \sqrt{4\pi}$ [28]. Furthermore, the perturbative unitarity condition for which we require tree-level unitarity of $2 \rightarrow 2$ processes is imposed [48–50]. Even if the couplings satisfy those constraints at the mass scale of the additional scalars, renormalization group (RG) running effects alter them and the theory would become non-perturbative at a high energy scale with $\mathcal{O}(1)$ couplings. To quantify this, we solve the coupled RG equations (RGEs) for $\beta$ functions of the SM third generation fermion Yukawa couplings, $\lambda_i$, $\rho_e^{\mu*}$ and $\rho_\mu^{\tau*}$, and then determine the cut-off scale, $\Lambda$.\(^{#6}\) These conditions imply the existence of an upper mass limit for the heavy scalars. In Fig. 2 dash-dotted, dashed and dotted lines in purple depict $\Lambda = 30$ TeV, 10 TeV and 5 TeV. It is observed that if we require the theory to be perturbative up to 30 (5) TeV, the upper limit on the scalar mass is given as

$$m_A \leq 1250 \ (1650) \text{ GeV}.$$

The large $\lambda_5$ case is constrained by the $2 \rightarrow 2$ unitarity bound while the small $\lambda_5$ region is disfavored by the unitarity constraint on the RGE-evolved Yukawa couplings.

The presence of a charged Higgs with a sizable product of the relevant Yukawa couplings can modify the decay rate of $\tau \rightarrow \mu \nu \pi$. Following Ref. [27], lepton flavor universality in $\tau$ decays puts an upper limit on the interaction:

$$\left( \frac{\rho_e^{\mu*} \rho_\mu^{\tau*}}{1.9} \right) \left( \frac{700 \text{GeV}}{m_{H^\pm}} \right)^2 \leq 1.$$

The corresponding exclusion is shown in blue in Fig. 2.\(^{#7}\) Furthermore the one-loop corrections to $Z$ and Higgs boson couplings to $\tau \tau$ and $\mu \mu$ are known to be less constraining.

\(^{#5}\) We also include non-$m_\tau$ enhanced terms of the neutral scalar loop diagram numerically, however their impact is small in our case. The $H^\pm$-loop contribution does not have an $m_\tau$ enhancement and thus its numerical impact is also small.

\(^{#6}\) See Refs. [28, 51] for the $\beta$ functions. At the initial scale, we set $\lambda_2$, $\lambda_3 \ll 1$ to maximize the cut-off scale. It is noted that there are typos in $\beta$ functions of Ref. [28].

\(^{#7}\) The Belle II experiment will improve the sensitivity, however, a quantitative evaluation is not available [52].
Since we are interested in the collider sensitivity utilizing resonant production of the new scalars, the width-to-mass ratio is important. This ratio is approximately given as $\Gamma_\phi/m_\phi \sim |\rho_\phi^\mu|^2 \times 4\%$. We assume that the narrow width approximation is valid up to 30%. The parameter region which predicts $\Gamma_\phi/m_\phi \geq 30\%$ is shown in orange in Fig. 2.

In the early universe the dark matter candidate, $S$, is in thermal equilibrium with the SM fields through its interaction with the scalar doublet. It is thus possible to explain the relic abundance with the thermal freeze-out mechanism. Thanks to the $\mathbb{Z}_4$ charge assignment, the singlet scalar does not couple to the nucleon at the tree level, evading the stringent constraints from direct detection experiments. However, one-loop $Z$ penguin induced DM-nucleon scattering can constrain the model. The viable mass range for $S$ is broad and the next generation experiments are important to probe the interesting parameter space [43].

We note that charged scalar pair production is probed by the left-handed slepton search [53, 54]. However, it is difficult to constrain $O(1)$ TeV $H^\pm$ with $BR(H^\pm \to \mu\nu) \approx 0.5$ even at the HL-LHC.

III. LHC PROBE OF TEV SCALAR SCENARIO

In this section we investigate the LHC sensitivity to our model. As a first step we briefly extend the previous study [30] and evaluate the high luminosity (HL)-LHC reach of the model based on the electroweak pair production of the scalars. Ref. [30] focused on the weakly-coupled scenario with $|\lambda_5|, |\rho_\phi^\mu|, |\rho_\phi^\tau| \lesssim 1$, and thus $m_A \lesssim 700$ GeV was considered. It was argued that the very distinctive $\mu^\pm \mu^\pm \tau^\pm \tau^\pm$ final state via decays of an electroweakly produced pair of neutral scalars is useful to test the model and we showed that 139 fb$^{-1}$ of the data can probe the scenario with $m_A \lesssim 500$ GeV. The electroweak production cross section depends only on the heavy scalar masses.

Throughout our analysis, we use MadGraph5_aMC@NLO [55] with NNPDF3.1 luxQED [56] to calculate the signal cross sections. To account for the minimal kinematic cuts, $|p_T^\mu|, |p_T^\tau| \geq 20$ GeV, $|\eta_\mu|, |\eta_\tau| \leq 2.7$, and $\Delta R \geq 0.1$ are imposed for all pairs of charged leptons. We assume a hadronic $\tau$-tagging efficiency of 70% [57] and the hadronic $\tau$ decay branching ratio of about 65% [46]. It is noted that an excellent $\tau$ charge reconstruction is reported in Ref. [58]. Our signal of same sign $\mu^\pm \mu^\pm$ and $\tau^\pm \tau^\pm$ pairs of which $\mu^\pm \tau^\mp$ forms a resonance is very distinctive. Specifically, due to the resonance structure, the final-state leptons are very energetic. Therefore we can safely assume the SM background (SMBG) to be negligible.\footnote{Background events come from $pp \to ZZ \to 4\tau$. We confirmed that the contribution is smaller than $O(10^{-5})$ fb and can safely be neglected in the resonant regime.}

In this situation Poisson statistics is applicable and the sensitivity at 95% confidence level is given when $\sim 3$ signal events are predicted, if no events are observed in the data. The additional decay channels $H \to W^\pm H^\mp$ and $A \to H Z$ open in the case of large mass differences. However, such large mass splittings are difficult for $O(1)$ TeV scalars. We numerically included this dilution effect.

In the presence of a portal interaction between the Higgs doublets and the DM candidate $S$, invisible scalar decay would suppress $BR(\phi \to \mu \tau)$ and hence reduce the $\mu^\pm \mu^\pm \tau^\mp \tau^\mp$ signal number. However, $BR(\phi \to \mu \tau)$ is proportional to $m_\phi$ while $BR(\phi \to SS)$ is proportional to $m_\phi^{-1}$ because of the dimensionful coupling $\kappa\nu$ originating from the scalar potential.
from the interaction

\[ V \supset \kappa(H_1^0 H_2)S^2 + h.c. \]  

(10)

As a result BR(\(\phi \to SS\)) is suppressed in the heavy scalar regime and BR(\(\phi \to \mu \tau\)) \(\simeq 1\) holds well. Therefore the \(\mu^+\mu^-\tau^+\tau^-\) mode remains a viable and important probe of the model.

The colored contours in Fig. 3 (left) show the fiducial \(\mu^+\mu^-\tau^+\tau^-\) cross sections based on the electroweak HA production with \(\sqrt{s} = 14\) TeV. The horizontal lines correspond to the sensitivity for integrated luminosities of 139 fb\(^{-1}\), 1 ab\(^{-1}\), and 3 ab\(^{-1}\) and correspond to cross sections of 0.02 fb, 0.003 fb and 0.001 fb, respectively.

Therefore, the HL-LHC data of 1 ab\(^{-1}\) and 3 ab\(^{-1}\) would be sensitive to mass scales of \(m_A \simeq 800\) GeV and \(m_A \simeq 960\) GeV. It is worth mentioning that the pair production channel is powerful since once the neutral scalars are produced they dominantly decay into \(\mu \tau\), as long as a sizable \(\tau\)-mass enhanced contribution to \(a_\mu\) is postulated. Nevertheless there is a mass gap between the sensitivity and the theoretical upper limit of Eq. (8). The loss of sensitivity for larger \(m_A\) mainly comes from two factors: the contributing coupling constant is a weak gauge coupling which is independent of \(\Delta a_\mu\) and the production cross section is suppressed by the heaviness of the pair-produced scalars.

One possible way to extend the LHC reach to our model is to include the single heavy scalar production channels corresponding to the middle and right diagrams of Fig. 1. Especially for \(\mathcal{O}(1)\) TeV lepto-philic particles the inclusion of the photon initiated process is important [34]. Again, following the procedure in Ref. [24] we assume the SMBG to be negligible. There are two terms in the single scalar production amplitude to generate \(\mu^+\mu^-\tau^+\tau^-\) events. One is proportional to \(|\rho_e^\tau|^2 + |\rho_e^\mu|^2\) which vanishes in the \(m_A = m_H\) limit.

On the other hand the term proportional to \(\rho_e^\mu \rho_e^\tau\) does not disappear in this limit. Since the \(m_A^{-2}\) scaling in Eq. (7) requires a large product of the LFV Yukawa couplings and the first term is suppressed by the mass difference, the second contribution will be important for \(\mathcal{O}(1)\) TeV scalars.

In Fig. 3 (right) we overlay the collider sensitivity in the \(m_A\) vs. \(\lambda_5\) plane. The cyan region shows the sensitivity of the pair production channel. The sensitivity is asymmetric in \(\lambda_5\), since \(\lambda_5 \geq 0\) corresponds to \(m_H \geq m_A\) and thus the production cross section will be smaller compared to \(m_H \leq m_A\). The magenta regions can additionally be covered by including also the single scalar production. We note that there is also a non-resonant signal contribution which comes from \(t\)-channel \(A/H\) exchange. Since the lepton \(p_T\) in this case is generally small and we are interested in the high \(p_T\) region where the BG is negligible, this contribution is separated and subtracted to evaluate the sensitivity. We find that the inclusion of the single production process can improve the experimental reach by 130 and 60 GeV for \(|\lambda_5| \approx 1\) and 2, respectively, when the Yukawa couplings are large. This still leaves a gap between the experimental reach and theoretical upper limit.

In order to further boost the sensitivity to the large-mass scenario it is important to increase the center of mass energy from \(\sqrt{s} = 14\) TeV to, for instance, 27 TeV [59]. The colored lines in Fig. 4 (left) show the fiducial pair production cross section with \(\sqrt{s} = 27\) TeV. The horizontal lines correspond to the sensitivity for integrated luminosities of 300 fb\(^{-1}\), 1 ab\(^{-1}\), and 3 ab\(^{-1}\). Thanks to the larger center of mass energy we see that 1250 (1550) GeV can be covered with 1 (3) ab\(^{-1}\) of data.

It is noted that the same kinematic cut introduced above has been applied for simplicity. The sensitivity is shown in cyan in the right panel.
Again the reach of the $\mu^+\mu^+\tau^-\tau^+\tau^+$ channel can be extended by including the single production process. The magenta regions in Fig. 4 (right) in the right corners can also be probed. Compared to the sensitivity with $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV shown in gray on the left, the high energy (HE)-LHC is significantly more sensitive to the heavy scalar scenario. As a result, we observe that all the theoretically viable parameter region in Fig. 4 (right) can be covered.

IV. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

The new FNAL experimental data for the muon $g - 2$ is consistent with the previously measured value at the Brookhaven experiment, and the significance of the long-standing discrepancy now amounts to 4.2 $\sigma$. In this article we revisited the collider prospects of the $Z_2^+$-based 2HDM which can explain the discrepancy using one-loop contributions involving $\tau$ and neutral scalars. A distinctive model prediction is the $\mu^+\mu^+\tau^-\tau^+\tau^+$ signature at the LHC. Since the viable parameter space of the model can not be fully probed at the LHC with the previously proposed electroweak scalar pair production, we investigated the impact of the single scalar production. We have shown that the latter mode helps to extend the reach for the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ TeV scalar solution of the muon $g - 2$ discrepancy. For instance, the HL-LHC with $3 \text{ab}^{-1}$ can test up to $m_A = 1100$ GeV. We also examined the search potential at the HE-LHC and showed that increasing the center of the mass energy is crucial to fully probe our scenario. While the model can also explain the DM relic abundance, the reach of the proposed search does not depend on the DM interpretation.

In this article we did not discuss $H^+\phi$ production. Due to a combinatorial factor the cross section is four times larger than the one of $HA$ pair production [30]. The final state contains three charged leptons $2\tau + \mu$ or $2\mu + \tau$ and a neutrino at parton level, with their relative rates depending on the ratio of $|\rho^e\rho^{\mu}|^2$ and $|\rho^\mu\rho^{\mu}|^2$ [29]. Hence $H^+\phi$ production is expected to have better sensitivity to $|\rho^e\rho^{\mu}|^2$. Allowing for an imbalance in the couplings, $\rho^\mu < \rho^e$, while keeping the product $\rho^e\rho^{\mu}$ fixed, will thus decrease the LHC sensitivity to the $g - 2$ solution in $H^+\phi$ production. At the same time the required larger value of $\rho^e\rho^\mu$ also lowers the cut-off scale of the theory. Note that the single scalar production cross section, being proportional to $(|\rho^e\rho^{\mu}|^2 + |\rho^\mu\rho^{\mu}|^2)$, is enhanced in this case. Thus, the signal discussed here is more universal. Combining those various search channels to further enhance the sensitivity would be an interesting future direction.

Motivated by the muon $g - 2$ discrepancy, we focused on the 2HDM with $\mu\tau$ LFV couplings. While the $e\mu$- and $e\tau$-philic scenarios lack such motivation, LFV particles can be a viable DM mediator and they predict a similar collider phenomenology. Especially the $e\mu$ case is attractive in this respect, since the particle reconstruction is easier and the fiducial cross section via electroweak pair production is larger by a factor of five since the hadronic $\tau$ decay branching ratio and tagging efficiency do no longer reduce the signal rate. This means that the relevant cross sections can be obtained from Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 by lifting the predictions by a factor of five.
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