## QCD corrections to ZZ production in gluon fusion at the LHC

Fabrizio Caola,<sup>1,\*</sup> Kirill Melnikov,<sup>2,†</sup> Raoul Röntsch,<sup>3,‡</sup> and Lorenzo Tancredi<sup>2,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CERN Theory Division, CH-1211, Geneva 23, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup>Institute for Theoretical Particle Physics, KIT, Karlsruhe, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Department of Theoretical Physics, Fermilab, Batavia, IL, USA

## Abstract

We compute the next-to-leading order QCD corrections to the production of two Z-bosons in the annihilation of two gluons at the LHC. Being enhanced by a large gluon flux, these corrections provide distinct and, potentially, the dominant part of the N<sup>3</sup>LO QCD contributions to Z-pair production in proton collisions. The  $gg \rightarrow ZZ$  annihilation is a loop-induced process that receives the dominant contribution from loops of five light quarks, that are included in our computation in the massless approximation. We find that QCD corrections increase the  $gg \rightarrow ZZ$  production cross section by  $\mathcal{O}(50\% - 100\%)$  depending on the values of the renormalization and factorization scales used in the leading order computation, and the collider energy. The large corrections to  $gg \rightarrow ZZ$ channel increase the  $pp \rightarrow ZZ$  cross section by about six to eight percent, exceeding the estimated theoretical uncertainty of the recent NNLO QCD calculation.

 $<sup>{}^*</sup>Electronic \ address: \verb"fabrizio.caola@cern.ch"$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Electronic address: kirill.melnikov@kit.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Electronic address: rontsch@fnal.gov

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>Electronic address: lorenzo.tancredi@kit.edu

## I. INTRODUCTION

Production of pairs of vector bosons in proton collisions is one of the most interesting processes studied by ATLAS and CMS during the LHC Run I [???]. Indeed,  $pp \rightarrow ZZ$ ,  $pp \rightarrow W^+W^-$ , and  $pp \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  were instrumental for the discovery of the Higgs boson. As the focus of Higgs physics shifts from the discovery to precision studies of the Higgs boson properties, di-boson production processes become essential for constraining anomalous Higgs boson couplings, for measuring the quantum numbers of the Higgs boson and for studying the Higgs boson width, see Refs. [????]. Additionally, these processes provide important tests of our understanding of the Standard Model and can be used to constrain anomalous electroweak gauge boson couplings.

Production of electroweak gauge boson pairs occurs mainly due to quark-antiquark annihilation  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow V_1V_2$ . This contribution is known through next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) in perturbative QCD [? ? ? ? ? ? ]. However, as was pointed out in Refs. [? ? ?], there is a sizable contribution from the gluon annihilation channel  $gg \rightarrow V_1V_2$ , whose significance depends on the selection cuts. For example, aggressive cuts applied to  $pp \rightarrow W^+W^-$  to separate the Higgs boson signal from the continuum background can increase the fraction of gluon fusion events in the background sample [?]. Since  $gg \rightarrow V_1V_2$  is a one-loop process and since production of electroweak boson pairs at leading order (LO) occurs only in the  $q\bar{q}$ channel, the gluon fusion contribution to  $pp \rightarrow V_1V_2$  through NNLO only needs to be known at leading order, i.e. the one-loop approximation. Thus, all existing numerical estimates of the significance of the gluon fusion mechanism in weak boson pair production ignore radiative corrections to  $gg \rightarrow ZZ$  that are, potentially, quite large [?]. The need to have an accurate estimate of QCD corrections to gluon fusion processes for the Higgs width [? ?] and generic off-shell measurements [? ? ?] was strongly emphasized in Ref. [?].

In this paper, we will focus on the calculation of the next-to-leading order (NLO) QCD corrections to the gluon fusion contribution to  $pp \rightarrow ZZ$  process. The largest contribution to  $gg \rightarrow ZZ$  comes from quarks of the first two generations; these quarks can be taken to be massless. The situation is more complicated for quarks of the third generation. Ideally, we would like to include the (massless) bottom quark contribution and ignore the contribution of the massive top quark since, at leading order, the top-quark contributions change the

cross section by only about 1% (cf. Refs. [??]).<sup>1</sup> We can separate bottom and top contributions everywhere except in triangle diagrams that involve anomalous correlators of vector and axial currents. In these triangle diagrams, when bottom and top contributions are combined, the residual contributions are suppressed by the top quark mass, provided that we can assume it to be larger than any other energy scale in the problem. Unfortunately, in these diagrams top and bottom contributions can not be separated because the resulting theory is anomalous. To deal with this issue, we adopt the following strategy: we include quarks of the first two generations and the *b*-quark in our calculation in the massless approximation and we neglect all triangle diagrams whose contribution is then naturally associated with the quark contributions to  $gg \rightarrow ZZ$  process. We note that the evaluation of the NLO QCD corrections to top quark mediated contribution to  $gg \rightarrow ZZ$  process is not yet possible because the relevant two-loop amplitudes are not available. However, such contributions were recently studied in Ref. [?] in the approximation of a very large mass of the top quark. In that calculation quite large QCD corrections were found.

Computing NLO QCD corrections to  $gg \to ZZ$  process is challenging because it is loopinduced. For this reason, the NLO QCD computation requires two-loop virtual matrix elements for  $gg \to ZZ$  and one-loop matrix elements for  $gg \to ZZg$  processes. The recent progress in calculating two-loop integrals with two massless and two massive external lines [?????] made it possible to compute the required two-loop scattering amplitudes. Such amplitudes were calculated recently for  $q\bar{q} \to V_1V_2$  [??] and  $gg \to V_1V_2$  [??] processes.

The second ingredient that we need is the  $gg \to ZZg$  amplitude. Since this is a oneloop amplitude, it can be calculated in a relatively standard way, at least as a matter of principle. In fact, such calculations were performed in the past [? ? ] and used to predict the production cross section for  $pp \to ZZ + j$ . Automatic tools for one-loop computations can also deal with this process [? ? ]. Nevertheless, it is a non-trivial computation since, if we aim at calculating the NLO QCD corrections to  $gg \to ZZ \to 4l$ , we require fast and stable calculation of helicity amplitudes for  $gg \to ZZg$  process that includes decays of Zbosons to leptons and can be extrapolated to soft and collinear kinematics of the final state gluon. Because of that, we decided to construct our own implementation of the scattering

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Contribution of the top quark loop becomes non-negligible in the region of high four-lepton invariant masses  $m_{4l} > 2m_t$ .

amplitude for  $gg \to ZZg$  using the unitarity methods [? ? ? ? ].<sup>2</sup>

The paper is organized as follows. In Section ?? we present a brief review of the calculation of the two-loop scattering amplitude for  $gg \rightarrow ZZ$  process. In Section ?? we discuss the calculation of the one-loop helicity amplitudes for  $gg \rightarrow ZZg$  and present numerical results for a kinematic point. In Section ?? we present numerical results for  $gg \rightarrow ZZ$  contribution to  $pp \rightarrow ZZ$  process at 8 and 13 TeV LHC at leading and next-to-leading order in perturbative QCD. We conclude in Section ??.

## II. THE TWO-LOOP SCATTERING AMPLITUDES FOR $gg \rightarrow ZZ$

We start with a brief discussion of the two-loop scattering amplitudes for  $gg \rightarrow ZZ$  process. Helicity amplitudes for this process were recently computed in Refs. [??]. In these references, each of the two independent helicity amplitudes for the process  $gg \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l$ was written as linear combinations of nine form factors that depend on the Mandelstam invariants of the "prompt" process  $gg \rightarrow ZZ$  and the invariant masses of the two Z bosons. The form factors are expressed in terms of polylogarithmic functions, including both ordinary and Goncharov polylogarithms.

In this paper we use the results of Ref. [?] which are implemented in a C++ code that can produce numerical results with arbitrary precision. In order to detect possible numerical instabilities, the code compares numerical evaluations obtained with different (double, quadruple and, if required, arbitrary) precision settings. If the results differ beyond a chosen tolerance, the precision is automatically increased. Of course, switching to arbitrary precision increases the evaluation time substantially. Fortunately, we found that for phenomenologically relevant situations, the number of points where the code switches to arbitrary precision is negligible. Such points originate from kinematic regions where the two Z-bosons have either vanishing kinetic energies or vanishing transverse momenta. The amplitude squared is integrable in both of these regions, but, in practice, it can become numerically unstable. Since the contribution of these regions to the  $gg \rightarrow ZZ$  cross section is relatively small, cutting them away, in principle, leads to an opportunity to perform stable numerical

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  For recent reviews see Refs. [? ? ].

integration of the two-loop virtual correction over the four-lepton phase-space, resorting to quadruple precision only. However, we found that the improvement in performance achieved by cutting away the problematic regions is rather limited, so we used the default arbitrary precision implementation of the two-loop amplitude in practice.

Since the  $gg \to ZZ$  amplitude is one of the most complicated amplitudes that are currently known analytically, it is interesting to point out that the required evaluation times are acceptable for phenomenological needs. Indeed, calculation of all helicity amplitudes requires about two seconds per phase-space point in quadruple precision and, since the phase-space for  $gg \to ZZ$  is relatively simple, one does not need excessively large number of points to sample it with good precision.

For further reference we provide numerical results for the finite remainder of the one- and two-loop scattering amplitudes defined in  $q_t$ -subtraction scheme, see Ref. [?]. The numerical results are presented for the choice of the renormalization scale  $\mu = \sqrt{s}$ , where s is the partonic center-of-mass energy squared. The  $q_t$ -subtraction scheme [?] is discussed in detail in Ref. [?]. We consider the kinematical point

$$g(p_1) + g(p_2) \to (Z/\gamma)(p_{34}) + (Z/\gamma)(p_{56}) \to e^-(p_3) + e^+(p_4) + \mu^-(p_5) + \mu^+(p_6)$$

with (in GeV units)

$$p_1 = (99.5173068698129, 99.5173068698129, 0, 0),$$

 $p_2 = (99.5173068698129, -99.5173068698129, 0, 0),$ 

$$p_3 = (45.1400347869485, 43.4878610174890, -9.85307698310431, 7.02463939683013),$$
(1)

 $p_4 = (55.6586029753540, -27.4053916434553, 48.1951275617684, 4.90451560725290),$ 

$$p_5 = (36.2015682945089, 34.5902512456859, -8.01242197258994, 7.06180995747356)$$

$$p_6 = (62.0344076828144, -50.6727206197196, -30.3296286060742, -18.9909649615566)$$
  
and define a normalized amplitude through the following equation

$$d\,\sigma_{gg\to(Z/\gamma)(Z/\gamma)\to4l} = \frac{(N_c^2 - 1)}{512s} \times 10^{-6} \times \sum_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_e,\lambda_\mu} \left| A(1_g^{\lambda_1}, 2_g^{\lambda_2}; 3_{e^-}^{\lambda_e}, 4_{e^+}^{-\lambda_e}, 5_{\mu^-}^{\lambda_\mu}, 6_{\mu^+}^{-\lambda_\mu}) \right|^2 d\,\text{LIPS}_4.$$
(2)

Note that in Eq.(??) all the color factors have been factored out and  $dLIPS_4$  is the standard Lorentz-invariant phase-space of the four final leptons. The color-stripped amplitude admits