

User Guide for the **Mathematica** File **rho.m**

J. Grigo, J. Hoff, P. Marquard and M. Steinhauser

version: June 2012

The file **rho.m** contains the results related to the ρ parameter presented in [1] in a **Mathematica**-readable form. If you use any of the contents of this file, please refer to [1] in the corresponding publication.

Z boson self energy

$$\frac{\Sigma_Z(0)}{M_Z^2} = \frac{3G_F m_{t'}^2}{16\pi^2 \sqrt{2}} \left(\bar{C}_{-1,\text{diag}}^a + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 \delta\bar{C}_{-1,\text{db}}^{a,(2)} + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 \delta\bar{C}_{-1,\text{sing}}^{a,(2)} \right)$$

$$\bar{C}_{-1,\text{diag}}^a = \text{CbarDiagMsBar}$$

$$\delta\bar{C}_{-1,\text{db}}^{a,(2)} = \text{CbarDbMsBar}$$

$$\delta\bar{C}_{-1,\text{sing}}^{a,(2)} = \text{CbarSingMsBar}$$

ρ parameter

in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme

$$\delta\rho(x) = \frac{3G_F m_{t'}^2}{16\pi^2 \sqrt{2}} \left(\delta^{(0)}(x) + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \delta^{(1)}(x) + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 \delta^{(2)}(x) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3) \right)$$

$$\delta^{(0)}(x) = \text{rhoMsBar0}$$

$$\delta^{(1)}(x) = \text{rhoMsBar1}$$

$$\delta^{(2)}(x) = \text{rhoMsBar2Exp0} + \mathcal{O}(x^{31})$$

$$\delta^{(2)}(x) = \text{rhoMsBar2Exp1} + \mathcal{O}((1-x)^{21})$$

and in the on-shell scheme

$$\Delta\rho(X) = \frac{3G_F M_t^2}{16\pi^2 \sqrt{2}} \left(\Delta^{(0)}(X) + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \Delta^{(1)}(X) + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 \Delta^{(2)}(X) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3) \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{(0)}(X) &= \text{rhoOnShell0} \\
\Delta^{(1)}(X) &= \text{rhoOnShell1} \\
\Delta^{(2)}(X) &= \text{rhoOnShell2Exp0} + \mathcal{O}(X^{31}) \\
\Delta^{(2)}(X) &= \text{rhoOnShell2Exp1} + \mathcal{O}((1-X)^{21})
\end{aligned}$$

Used symbols and functions

Strong coupling constant and gauge group

Instead of the strong coupling constant α_s we use

$$\text{api} = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} .$$

The results are expressed in terms of the $SU(N_c)$ invariants $C_A = N_c$ and $C_F = (N_c^2 - 1)/(2N_c)$ where $T_F = 1/2$ is chosen. We use the symbols **nc**, **ca** and **cf**.

Renormalization scale and masses

The renormalization scale dependence is expressed by

$$1m = \log \frac{\mu^2}{m_{t'}^2} \text{ (}\overline{\text{MS}}\text{ scheme)} \quad 1M = \log \frac{\mu^2}{M_{t'}^2} \text{ (on-shell scheme)}$$

where μ stands for the renormalization scale and $m_{t'}(M_{t'})$ for the heavier $\overline{\text{MS}}$ (on-shell) quark mass. The other quark mass $m_{b'}(M_{b'})$ is given by

$$m_{b'} = x m_{t'} \quad (M_{b'} = X M_{t'}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad x = \frac{m_{b'}}{m_{t'}} \quad (X = \frac{M_{b'}}{M_{t'}}) .$$

Massless Quarks

The number of massless quarks occurring in closed loops is given by

$$\text{nl.}$$

MATAD symbols

Some results depend on the constants B4 , D3 , S2 , 0epS2 and T1ep , introduced in [2]:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{D3} &= 6\zeta_3 - \frac{15}{4}\zeta_4 - 6 \left[\text{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) \right]^2, \\ \text{B4} &= -4\zeta_2 \ln^2 2 + \frac{2}{3} \ln^4 2 - \frac{13}{2}\zeta_4 + 16\text{Li}_4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \\ \text{S2} &= \frac{4}{9\sqrt{3}}\text{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right), \\ \text{0epS2} &= -\frac{763}{32} - \frac{9\pi\sqrt{3}\ln^2 3}{16} - \frac{35\pi^3\sqrt{3}}{48} + \frac{195}{16}\zeta_2 - \frac{15}{4}\zeta_3 + \frac{57}{16}\zeta_4 \\ &\quad + \frac{45\sqrt{3}}{2}\text{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) - 27\sqrt{3}\text{Im}\left[\text{Li}_3\left(\frac{e^{-i\pi/6}}{\sqrt{3}}\right)\right], \\ \text{T1ep} &= -\frac{45}{2} - \frac{\pi\sqrt{3}\ln^2 3}{8} \\ &\quad - \frac{35\pi^3\sqrt{3}}{216} - \frac{9}{2}\zeta_2 + \zeta_3 + 6\sqrt{3}\text{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) - 6\sqrt{3}\text{Im}\left[\text{Li}_3\left(\frac{e^{-i\pi/6}}{\sqrt{3}}\right)\right].\end{aligned}$$

They can be replaced by **Mathematica**-known symbols and functions via the replacement rules in the list `matadSymbols`.

HPLs

The one- and two- loop results are expressed by harmonic polylogarithms (`HPL[...]`). To work with those functions we recommend the package `HPL.m` [3, 4]. Note that depending on the **Mathematica** version the application of `Series[]` to HPLs might lead to wrong results. Alternatively it is possible to use the replacement rules in `hplsToKnownFunctions` to express the HPLs through known functions (`Log[...]` and `PolyLog[...]`).

References

- [1] J. Grigo, J. Hoff, P. Marquard, and M. Steinhauser, “Moments of heavy quark correlators with two masses: Exact mass dependence to three loops,” *Nuclear Physics B* (2012) , [arXiv:1206.3418](https://arxiv.org/abs/1206.3418) [hep-ph]. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0550321312003902>.
- [2] M. Steinhauser, “MATAD: A program package for the computation of massive tadpoles,” *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **134** (2001) 335–364, [arXiv:hep-ph/0009029](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0009029).
- [3] D. Maitre, “HPL, a mathematica implementation of the harmonic polylogarithms,” *Comput.Phys.Commun.* **174** (2006) 222–240, [arXiv:hep-ph/0507152](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0507152) [hep-ph].
- [4] D. Maitre, “Extension of HPL to complex arguments,” *Comput.Phys.Commun.* **183** (2012) 846, [arXiv:hep-ph/0703052](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0703052) [HEP-PH].