

TTP14-023
SFB/PPP-14-56

OPE of the energy-momentum tensor correlator and the gluon condensate operator in massless QCD to three-loop order

M. F. Zoller^a

*^aInstitut für Theoretische Teilchenphysik, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT),
D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany*

E-mail: max.zoller@kit.edu

ABSTRACT: The correlator of two gluonic operators plays an important role for example in transport properties of a Quark Gluon Plasma (QGP) or in sum rules for glueballs.

In [1] an operator product expansion (OPE) at zero temperature was performed for the correlators of two scalar operators $O_1 = -\frac{1}{4}G^{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu}$ and two QCD energy-momentum tensors $T^{\mu\nu}$. There we presented analytical two-loop results for the Wilson coefficient C_1 in front of the gluon condensate operator O_1 . In this paper these results are extended to three-loop order.

The three-loop Wilson coefficient C_0 in front of the unity operator $O_0 = \mathbb{1}$ was already presented in [1] for the $T^{\mu\nu}$ -correlator. For the O_1 -correlator the coefficient C_0 is known to four loop order from [2]. For the correlator of two pseudoscalar operators $\tilde{O}_1 = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}G^{\mu\nu}G^{\rho\sigma}$ both coefficients C_0 and C_1 were computed in [3] to three-loop order. At zero temperature C_0 and C_1 are the leading Wilson coefficients in massless QCD.

KEYWORDS: QCD, Quark-Gluon Plasma, Sum Rules

Contents

1	Introduction and definitions	1
2	Calculation and results	4
3	Numerics	11
4	Conclusions	13

1 Introduction and definitions

Correlators of two local operators $O(x)$ are important objects in quantum field theory. In momentum space they are defined as

$$i \int d^4x e^{iqx} T\{[O](x)[O](0)\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $[O]$ is defined to be a renormalized version of the operator O , i.e. matrix elements of $[O]$ are finite.¹ For sum rules we are usually interested in the vacuum expectation value (VEV) of the correlator

$$\Pi(Q^2) = i \int d^4x e^{iqx} \langle 0|T\{[O](x)[O](0)\}|0\rangle \quad (1.2)$$

with large $Q^2 := -q^2 > 0$, i.e. in the Euclidean region of momentum space. The function $\Pi(Q^2)$ is connected to the spectral density $\mathbf{Im}\Pi(s)$ in the region of physical momenta through a dispersion relation (see e.g. [4]).

The leading contribution to $\Pi(Q^2)$ can be computed perturbatively and is exactly the first Wilson coefficient in front of the unity operator $O_0 = \mathbf{1}$. In order to include non-perturbative effects as well the correlator (1.1) is expanded in a series of local operators with Wilson coefficients containing the dependence on q in momentum space or x in x-space

¹By a local operator O we mean a combination of fields at the same space-time point. The bare operator O^B is the same combination but with bare fields and in the simplest case $[O] = Z^O O^B$ is the renormalized operator with a renormalization constant Z^O . In some cases a set of operators mixes under renormalization giving $[O_i] = Z_{ij}^O O_j^B$, where all $[O_i]$ are finite if inserted into a Greens function. If more than one operator is inserted into a Greens function additional divergences may appear if these operators are taken to be at the same space-time point. Such contributions are called contact terms.

[5]. This operator product expansion (OPE) has the form

$$i \int d^4x e^{iqx} T\{ [O](x)[O](0) \} = \sum_i (Q^2)^{\frac{2 \dim(O) - \dim(O_i) - 4}{2}} C_i^{\text{B}}(q) O_i^{\text{B}} \quad (1.3)$$

$$= \sum_i (Q^2)^{\frac{2 \dim(O) - \dim(O_i) - 4}{2}} C_i(q) [O_i], \quad (1.4)$$

where the index B marks bare quantities. The factor $(Q^2)^{\frac{2 \dim(O) - \dim(O_i) - 4}{2}}$ is constructed from the mass dimensions of the operators in order to make $C_i(q)$ dimensionless.

The perturbative contribution is separated from the non-perturbative condensates in an operator product expansion (OPE) and hence resides in the Wilson coefficients in front of local operators. These Wilson coefficients are calculated perturbatively using the method of projectors [6, 7] and contain the perturbative contribution to the correlator in question. If we insert expansion (1.4) into (1.2) we are left with the task of determining the VEVs of the local operators $[O_i]$, the so-called condensates [8], which contain the non-perturbative part. These need to be derived from low energy theorems or be calculated on the lattice.

Three gluonic operators with the quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 0^{++}, 0^{-+}$ and 2^{++} are usually considered:²

$$O_1^{\text{B}}(x) = -\frac{1}{4} G^{\text{B} a \mu \nu} G_{\mu \nu}^{\text{B} a}(x) \quad (\text{scalar}), \quad (1.5)$$

$$\tilde{O}_1^{\text{B}}(x) = \varepsilon_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} G^{\text{B} a \mu \nu} G^{\text{B} a \rho \sigma}(x) \quad (\text{pseudoscalar}), \quad (1.6)$$

$$O_T^{\mu \nu}(x) = T^{\mu \nu}(x) \quad (\text{tensor}) \quad (1.7)$$

with the bare gluon field strength tensor

$$G_{\mu \nu}^{a \text{B}} = \partial_\mu A_\nu^{a \text{B}} - \partial_\nu A_\mu^{a \text{B}} + g_s^{\text{B}} f^{abc} A_\mu^{\text{B} b} A_\nu^{\text{B} c}, \quad (1.8)$$

where f^{abc} are the structure constants and T^a the generators of the $\text{SU}(N_c)$ gauge group. As described in [1] for $T^{\mu \nu}$ we use the gauge invariant and symmetric energy-momentum tensor of (massless) QCD:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu \nu}|_{\text{ginv}} = & -G_{\mu \rho}^{\text{B} a} G_\nu^{\text{B} a \rho} + \frac{i}{4} \bar{\psi}^{\text{B}} \left(\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu \gamma_\nu + \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\nu \gamma_\mu \right) \psi^{\text{B}} + \frac{1}{2} g_s^{\text{B}} \bar{\psi}^{\text{B}} \left(A_\mu^{\text{B} a} T^a \gamma_\nu + A_\nu^{\text{B} a} T^a \gamma_\mu \right) \psi^{\text{B}} \\ & - g_{\mu \nu} \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} G_{\rho \sigma}^{\text{B} a} G^{\text{B} a \rho \sigma} + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi}^{\text{B}} \overleftrightarrow{\not{D}} \psi^{\text{B}} + g_s^{\text{B}} \bar{\psi}^{\text{B}} A^{\text{B} a} T^a \psi^{\text{B}} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

In [13] it was argued that if we are only interested in matrix elements of only gauge invariant operators it is not necessary to consider the ghost terms appearing in the full energy-momentum tensor of QCD. It was also proven that the energy-momentum tensor of QCD is a finite operator without further renormalization.

²For details on the sum rule approach to glueballs with the same quantum numbers see e.g. [4]. An OPE at one-loop level has been performed for the scalar [9] and pseudoscalar [10] correlator. Recent discussions on glueballs using an OPE of these correlators can be found in [11, 12].

The operator O_1 and the Wilson coefficients C_1 , however, have to be renormalized in the following way:

$$[O_1] = Z_G O_1^{\text{B}} = -\frac{Z_G}{4} G^{\text{B} a \mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}^{\text{B} a} \quad (1.10)$$

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{Z_G} C_1^{\text{B}}. \quad (1.11)$$

The renormalization constant

$$Z_G = 1 + \alpha_s \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_s} \ln Z_{\alpha_s} = \left(1 - \frac{\beta(\alpha_s)}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-1} \quad (1.12)$$

was derived in [14, 15] from the renormalization constant Z_{α_s} for α_s . At first order in α_s we find $Z_G = Z_{\alpha_s}$, which is not true in higher orders however. We take the definition

$$\beta(\alpha_s) = \mu^2 \frac{d}{d\mu^2} \ln \alpha_s = -\sum_{i \geq 0} \beta_i \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^{i+1} \quad (1.13)$$

for the β -function of QCD, which is available at four-loop level [16, 17]. For the renormalization of \tilde{O}_1^{B} , which mixes with a pseudoscalar fermionic operator under renormalization, and its OPE we refer to [3, 18].

The correlators of O_1 and $O_T^{\mu\nu}$ have been discussed in [1], where C_1 has been presented at two-loop level. The results of this work are derived within the same theoretical and methodical framework, which is why we can refer to this work for most technical details. C_0 is also known to three-loop level for the $T^{\mu\nu}$ -correlator [1] and at two-, three- and four-loop level for the O_1 -correlator from [19],[20] and [2] respectively. Three-loop results for C_0 and C_1 for the correlator of two operators \tilde{O}_1 have been derived in [3].

The VEV of the energy-momentum tensor correlator

$$T^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q) := \langle 0 | \hat{T}^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q) | 0 \rangle, \quad (1.14)$$

$$\hat{T}^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q) := i \int d^4x e^{iqx} T \{ T^{\mu\nu}(x) T^{\rho\sigma}(0) \} \quad (1.15)$$

is an important quantity in calculations of transport properties of a Quark Gluon Plasma (QGP), such as the shear viscosity of the plasma (see e.g. [21, 22]) and spectral functions for some tensor channels in the QGP [23].

The correlator (1.15) is linked to the O_1 -correlator

$$Q^4 \Pi^{\text{GG}}(q^2) := i \int d^4x e^{iqx} \langle 0 | T [O_1(x) O_1(0)] | 0 \rangle \quad (1.16)$$

through the trace anomaly [13, 24]

$$T_{\mu}^{\mu} = \frac{\beta(a_s)}{2} [G_{\rho\sigma}^a G^{a\rho\sigma}] = -2\beta(a_s) [O_1], \quad (1.17)$$

which leads to

$$g_{\mu\nu} g_{\rho\sigma} T^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q) = 4\beta^2(\alpha_s) Q^4 \Pi^{\text{GG}}(q^2) + \text{contact terms}. \quad (1.18)$$

Both correlators Π^{GG} and $T^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q)$ have been studied in hot Yang-Mills theory in many works, see e.g. [25–29] and references therein.

At zero temperature (1.15) has the asymptotic behaviour

$$\hat{T}^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q) \underset{q^2 \rightarrow -\infty}{=} C_0^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q)\mathbb{1} + C_1^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q)[O_1] + \dots \quad (1.19)$$

where the tensor structure of the correlator resides in the Wilson coefficients if we are ultimately only interested in the VEV of the correlator.

Local tensor operators can always be decomposed in a trace part and a traceless part, i.e. for two Lorentz indices

$$O^{\mu\nu} = \underbrace{O^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{D}g^{\mu\nu}O^\rho{}_\rho}_{\text{traceless part}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{D}g^{\mu\nu}O^\rho{}_\rho}_{\text{trace part}} \quad (1.20)$$

where D is the dimension of the space time. The VEV of the traceless part vanishes due to the Lorentz invariance of the vacuum and only a local scalar operator $O^\rho{}_\rho$ survives.

The OPE of the correlator (1.16) reads

$$Q^4 \Pi^{\text{GG}}(q^2) \underset{q^2 \rightarrow -\infty}{=} C_0^{\text{GG}} Q^4 + C_1^{\text{GG}} \langle 0|[O_1]|0\rangle. \quad (1.21)$$

2 Calculation and results

As discussed in [1] there are five independent tensor structures for (1.19) allowed by the symmetries $\mu \longleftrightarrow \nu$, $\rho \longleftrightarrow \sigma$ and $(\mu\nu) \longleftrightarrow (\rho\sigma)$ of (1.19). These are

$$\begin{aligned} t_1^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q) &= q^\mu q^\nu q^\rho q^\sigma, \\ t_2^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q) &= q^2 (q^\mu q^\nu g^{\rho\sigma} + q^\rho q^\sigma g^{\mu\nu}), \\ t_3^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q) &= q^2 (q^\mu q^\rho g^{\nu\sigma} + q^\mu q^\sigma g^{\nu\rho} + q^\nu q^\rho g^{\mu\sigma} + q^\nu q^\sigma g^{\mu\rho}), \\ t_4^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q) &= (q^2)^2 g^{\mu\nu} g^{\rho\sigma}, \\ t_5^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q) &= (q^2)^2 (g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\sigma} + g^{\mu\sigma} g^{\nu\rho}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Due to the fact that the energy-momentum tensor is conserved except for contact terms, i.e.

$$q_\mu T^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q) = \text{local contact terms}, \quad (2.2)$$

and due to the irrelevance of these contact terms for physical applications we can reduce (2.1) to only two independent tensor structures, which have already been suggested in [30], after contact term subtraction :

$$\begin{aligned} t_S^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q) &= \eta^{\mu\nu} \eta^{\rho\sigma} \\ t_T^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q) &= \eta^{\mu\rho} \eta^{\nu\sigma} + \eta^{\mu\sigma} \eta^{\nu\rho} - \frac{2}{D-1} \eta^{\mu\nu} \eta^{\rho\sigma} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\text{with } \eta^{\mu\nu}(q) = q^2 g^{\mu\nu} - q^\mu q^\nu.$$

The structure $t_T^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q)$ is traceless and orthogonal to $t_S^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q)$. Hence the latter corresponds to the part coming from the traces of the energy-momentum tensors. The Wilson coefficient in front of the local operator $[O_1]$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} C_1^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q) &= \sum_{r=1,5} t_r^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q) \frac{1}{(Q^2)^2} C_i^{(r)}(Q^2) \\ &= \sum_{r=T,S} t_r^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q) \frac{1}{(Q^2)^2} C_i^{(r)}(Q^2) \quad (+ \text{ contact terms}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where the contact terms have to be $\propto t_4^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q)$ or $\propto t_5^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q)$ as $t_r^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q) \frac{1}{(Q^2)^2}$ is not local for $r \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. This was checked explicitly in our three-loop result.

Just like in [1] (see this paper for more details) the method of projectors [6, 7] was used in order to compute the coefficient $C_1^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q)$. We apply the same projector to both sides of (1.3):

$$\mathbf{P}\{i \int d^4x e^{iqx} T\{[O](x)[O](0)\}\} = \sum_i C_i^{\mathbf{B}}(q) \mathbf{P}\{O_i^{\mathbf{B}}\} \stackrel{!}{=} C_1^{\mathbf{B}}(q). \quad (2.5)$$

The projector \mathbf{P} is constructed in such a way that it maps every operator on the rhs of (1.3) to zero except for $O_1^{\mathbf{B}}$, which is mapped to 1 and hence gives us the bare Wilson coefficient $C_1^{\mathbf{B}}$ on the lhs. For the $T^{\mu\nu}$ -correlator (1.15) this is done after contracting the free Lorentz indices with a tensor $\tilde{t}_{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}^{(r)}(q)$ composed of the momentum q and the metric $g^{\mu\nu}$ in order to get the scalar pieces in (2.4):³

$$\mathbf{P}\{\tilde{t}_{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}^{(r)}(q) T^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q)\} = \sum_i C_i^{\mathbf{B},(r)}(Q^2) \mathbf{P}\{O_i^{\mathbf{B}}\}. \quad (2.6)$$

We use the following projector:⁴

$$C_1^{\mathbf{B}}(q) = \frac{\delta^{ab}}{n_g} \frac{g^{\mu_1\mu_2}}{(D-1)} \frac{1}{D} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: A blue circle with two external legs. The left leg is a wavy line with momentum k_1 and index μ_1. The right leg is a wavy line with momentum k_2 and index μ_2. Two red dots on the circle are labeled g_B. A dashed line connects the two red dots.} \end{array} \right]_{k_i=0}, \quad (2.7)$$

where the blue circle represents the the sum of all bare Feynman diagrams which become 1PI after formal gluing (depicted as a dotted line in (2.7)) of the two external lines representing the operators on the lhs of the OPE. These external legs carry the large Euclidean momentum q .

In order to produce all possible Feynman diagrams we have used the program QGRAF [32]. These propagator-like diagrams were computed with the FORM [33, 34] package MINCER [35] after projecting them to scalar pieces. For the colour factors of the diagrams the FORM package COLOR [36] was used.

³The $\tilde{t}_{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}^{(r)}$ can be constructed as linear combinations of the $t_r^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q)$ in (2.1).

⁴The Feynman diagram has been drawn with the Latex package Axodraw [31].

We now give the three-loop results for the Wilson coefficient C_1 of the correlators (1.15) and (1.16) in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ -scheme. In the following the abbreviations $a_s = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} = \frac{g_s^2}{4\pi^2}$ and $l_{\mu q} = \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}\right)$ are used, where μ is the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalization scale. The number of active quark flavours is denoted by n_f . Furthermore, C_F and C_A are the quadratic Casimir operators of the quark and the adjoint representation of the gauge group, d_R is the dimension of the quark representation, n_g is the number of gluons (dimension of the adjoint representation), T_F is defined through the relation $\text{Tr}(T^a T^b) = T_F \delta^{ab}$ for the trace of two group generators.⁵

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1^{(S)} = & a_s \left\{ \frac{22C_A}{27} - \frac{8n_f T_F}{27} \right\} \\
& + a_s^2 \left\{ \frac{83C_A^2}{324} - \frac{8C_A n_f T_F}{81} - \frac{2C_F n_f T_F}{9} - \frac{4n_f^2 T_F^2}{81} \right\} \\
& + a_s^3 \left\{ -\frac{466C_A^3}{729} + \frac{1309C_A^2 n_f T_F}{1944} - \frac{7}{648} C_A C_F n_f T_F - \frac{313}{972} C_A n_f^2 T_F^2 \right. \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{36} C_F^2 n_f T_F - \frac{7}{162} C_F n_f^2 T_F^2 + \frac{20n_f^3 T_F^3}{729} \\
& \quad \left. + l_{\mu q} \left(-\frac{1331C_A^3}{3888} + \frac{121}{324} C_A^2 n_f T_F - \frac{11}{81} C_A n_f^2 T_F^2 + \frac{4n_f^3 T_F^3}{243} \right) \right\} \quad (2.8)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1^{(T)} = & a_s \left\{ -\frac{5C_A}{18} - \frac{5n_f T_F}{72} \right\} \\
& + a_s^2 \left\{ -\frac{83C_A^2}{432} + \frac{41C_A n_f T_F}{432} + \frac{43C_F n_f T_F}{96} - \frac{n_f^2 T_F^2}{216} \right\} \\
& + a_s^3 \left\{ -\frac{3C_A^3 \zeta_3}{8} + \frac{103C_A^3}{15552} - \frac{27}{80} C_A^2 n_f T_F \zeta_3 + \frac{72239C_A^2 n_f T_F}{103680} \right. \\
& \quad + \frac{3}{8} C_A C_F n_f T_F \zeta_3 + \frac{923C_A C_F n_f T_F}{1728} - \frac{3}{40} C_A n_f^2 T_F^2 \zeta_3 - \frac{217C_A n_f^2 T_F^2}{1620} \\
& \quad - \frac{241}{768} C_F^2 n_f T_F - \frac{21}{40} C_F n_f^2 T_F^2 \zeta_3 + \frac{929C_F n_f^2 T_F^2}{17280} + \frac{5n_f^3 T_F^3}{1944} \\
& \quad \left. + l_{\mu q} \left(\frac{107C_A^3}{5184} + \frac{73}{864} C_A^2 n_f T_F + \frac{131}{384} C_A C_F n_f T_F - \frac{7}{108} C_A n_f^2 T_F^2 \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{6} C_F n_f^2 T_F^2 + \frac{n_f^3 T_F^3}{648} \right) \right\} \quad (2.9)
\end{aligned}$$

In [1] it was shown that up to two-loop level the coefficient $C_1^{(S)}$, which corresponds to the trace of the two energy-momentum tensors in the correlator (1.15), can be written in the

⁵For an $\text{SU}(N)$ gauge group these are $d_R = N$, $C_A = 2T_F N$ and $C_F = T_F (N - \frac{1}{N})$. For QCD ($\text{SU}(3)$) this means $C_F = 4/3$, $C_A = 3$, $T_F = 1/2$ and $d_R = 3$.

form

$$C_1^{(S)} = -\frac{8}{9}\beta(a_s) \left(1 + \frac{\beta(a_s)}{2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3), \quad (2.10)$$

where the first factor $\beta(a_s)$ is due to the trace anomaly (1.17). It is interesting to check whether we can find a similar structure in terms of the β -function at three-loop level. However, we do not find such an elegant representation at the next loop order. The closest we get is

$$C_1^{(S)} = -\frac{8}{9}\beta(a_s) \left[1 + \frac{\beta(a_s)}{2} - \left(\frac{5}{3} + l_{\mu q}\right) \frac{\beta(a_s)^2}{2} + a_s^2 \left(-\frac{5C_A^2}{18} + \frac{13C_A n_f T_F}{72} + \frac{C_F n_f T_F}{8}\right)\right] + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4). \quad (2.11)$$

From the renormalization group invariance (RGI) of the energy-momentum tensor and (1.17) follows that RGI invariant Wilson coefficients for RGI operators on the rhs of the OPE (1.4) can be constructed as already explained in [1]. The scale invariant version of the operator O_1 is defined by

$$O_1^{\text{RGI}} := \hat{\beta}(a_s) [O_1], \quad \hat{\beta}(a_s) = \frac{-\beta(a_s)}{\beta_0} = a_s \left(1 + \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{\beta_i}{\beta_0} a_s^i\right). \quad (2.12)$$

From this and the scale invariance of the correlator (1.15) RGI Wilson coefficients can be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1,\text{RGI}}^{(S)} &:= C_1^{(S)} / \hat{\beta}(a_s), \\ C_{1,\text{RGI}}^{(T)} &:= C_1^{(T)} / \hat{\beta}(a_s), \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

such that

$$C_{1,\text{RGI}}^{(S,T)} O_1^{\text{RGI}} = C_1^{(S,T)} [O_1]. \quad (2.14)$$

We find

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1,\text{RGI}}^{(S)} &= \frac{22C_A}{27} - \frac{8n_f T_F}{27} \\ &+ a_s \left\{ -\frac{121C_A^2}{324} + \frac{22C_A n_f T_F}{81} - \frac{4n_f^2 T_F^2}{81} \right\} \\ &+ a_s^2 \left\{ -\frac{12661C_A^3}{11664} + \frac{365}{324} C_A^2 n_f T_F + \frac{11}{54} C_A C_F n_f T_F \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{83}{243} C_A n_f^2 T_F^2 - \frac{2}{27} C_F n_f^2 T_F^2 + \frac{20n_f^3 T_F^3}{729} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + l_{\mu q} \left(-\frac{1331C_A^3}{3888} + \frac{121}{324} C_A^2 n_f T_F - \frac{11}{81} C_A n_f^2 T_F^2 + \frac{4n_f^3 T_F^3}{243} \right) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{1,\text{RGI}}^{(T)} = & -\frac{5C_A}{18} - \frac{5n_f T_F}{72} \\
& + a_s \left\{ \frac{1}{(11C_A - 4n_f T_F)} \left[\frac{107C_A^3}{432} + \frac{73C_A^2 n_f T_F}{72} - 2C_F n_f^2 T_F^2 \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \frac{131C_A C_F n_f T_F}{32} + \frac{n_f^3 T_F^3}{54} - \frac{7C_A n_f^2 T_F^2}{9} \right] \right\} \\
& + a_s^2 \left\{ -\frac{3}{40} C_A n_f^2 T_F^2 \zeta_3 - \frac{217C_A n_f^2 T_F^2}{1620} - \frac{241}{768} C_F^2 n_f T_F - \frac{21}{40} C_F n_f^2 T_F^2 \zeta_3 \right. \\
& + \frac{929C_F n_f^2 T_F^2}{17280} + \frac{5n_f^3 T_F^3}{1944} - \frac{3C_A^3 \zeta_3}{8} + \frac{103C_A^3}{15552} - \frac{27}{80} C_A^2 n_f T_F \zeta_3 \\
& + \frac{72239C_A^2 n_f T_F}{103680} + \frac{3}{8} C_A C_F n_f T_F \zeta_3 + \frac{923C_A C_F n_f T_F}{1728} \\
& + \frac{1}{(11C_A - 4n_f T_F)} \left[+\frac{1411C_A^4}{864} - \frac{509C_A^3 n_f T_F}{288} - \frac{2525C_A^2 C_F n_f T_F}{576} \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{37C_A^2 n_f^2 T_F^2}{72} + \frac{43C_F^2 n_f^2 T_F^2}{32} - \frac{C_F n_f^3 T_F^3}{72} + \frac{727C_A C_F n_f^2 T_F^2}{288} - \frac{5C_A n_f^3 T_F^3}{216} \right] \\
& + \frac{1}{(11C_A - 4n_f T_F)^2} \left[+\frac{53095C_A^5}{5184} - \frac{308465C_A^4 n_f T_F}{20736} + \frac{965C_A^3 C_F n_f T_F}{864} \right. \\
& + \frac{10255C_A^3 n_f^2 T_F^2}{3456} + \frac{55C_A^2 C_F^2 n_f T_F}{48} - \frac{65C_A^2 C_F n_f^2 T_F^2}{128} + \frac{3175C_A^2 n_f^3 T_F^3}{5184} \\
& \left. - \frac{35C_F^2 n_f^3 T_F^3}{48} - \frac{505C_A C_F^2 n_f^2 T_F^2}{192} - \frac{55C_F n_f^4 T_F^4}{216} - \frac{175C_A C_F n_f^3 T_F^3}{144} - \frac{395C_A n_f^4 T_F^4}{1296} \right] \\
& + l_{\mu q} \left(\frac{107C_A^3}{5184} + \frac{73}{864} C_A^2 n_f T_F + \frac{131}{384} C_A C_F n_f T_F - \frac{7}{108} C_A n_f^2 T_F^2 \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{6} C_F n_f^2 T_F^2 + \frac{n_f^3 T_F^3}{648} \right) \left. \right\}. \tag{2.16}
\end{aligned}$$

In [1] the three-loop logarithmic terms of (2.15) und (2.16) were constructed from the two-loop result and the requirement that $\mu^2 \frac{d}{d\mu^2} C_{1,\text{RGI}}^{(S,T)}$ vanishes identically. and indeed we find the same result in this explicit calculation. This requirement also explains the absence of

Logarithms in the lower-order terms [1].

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1^{\text{GG}} = & -1 + a_s \left\{ -\frac{49C_A}{36} + \frac{5n_f T_F}{9} + l_{\mu q} \left(\frac{n_f T_F}{3} - \frac{11C_A}{12} \right) \right\} \\
& + a_s^2 \left\{ \frac{33C_A^2 \zeta_3}{8} - \frac{11509C_A^2}{1296} + \frac{3}{2} C_A n_f T_F \zeta_3 + \frac{3095C_A n_f T_F}{648} - 3C_F n_f T_F \zeta_3 \right. \\
& + \frac{13C_F n_f T_F}{4} - \frac{25n_f^2 T_F^2}{81} + l_{\mu q} \left(-\frac{1151C_A^2}{216} + \frac{97C_A n_f T_F}{27} + C_F n_f T_F \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \frac{10n_f^2 T_F^2}{27} \right) + l_{\mu q}^2 \left(-\frac{121C_A^2}{144} + \frac{11C_A n_f T_F}{18} - \frac{n_f^2 T_F^2}{9} \right) \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[-\frac{17C_A^2}{24} + \frac{5C_A n_f T_F}{12} + \frac{C_F n_f T_F}{4} \right] \right\} \tag{2.17} \\
& + a_s^3 \left\{ +\frac{5315C_A^3 \zeta_3}{144} - \frac{55C_A^3 \zeta_5}{8} - \frac{9775633C_A^3}{186624} - \frac{263}{144} C_A^2 n_f T_F \zeta_3 \right. \\
& - 5C_A^2 n_f T_F \zeta_5 + \frac{1299295C_A^2 n_f T_F}{31104} - \frac{331}{16} C_A C_F n_f T_F \zeta_3 - \frac{15}{2} C_A C_F n_f T_F \zeta_5 \\
& + \frac{35707C_A C_F n_f T_F}{1152} - \frac{121}{36} C_A n_f^2 T_F^2 \zeta_3 - \frac{116773C_A n_f^2 T_F^2}{15552} - 9C_F^2 n_f T_F \zeta_3 \\
& + 15C_F^2 n_f T_F \zeta_5 - \frac{45}{16} C_F^2 n_f T_F + \frac{13}{2} C_F n_f^2 T_F^2 \zeta_3 - \frac{2399}{288} C_F n_f^2 T_F^2 + \frac{125n_f^3 T_F^3}{729} \\
& + l_{\mu q} \left(\frac{363C_A^3 \zeta_3}{32} - \frac{360325C_A^3}{10368} + \frac{55757C_A^2 n_f T_F}{1728} - \frac{33}{4} C_A C_F n_f T_F \zeta_3 \right. \\
& + \frac{2527}{192} C_A C_F n_f T_F - \frac{3}{2} C_A n_f^2 T_F^2 \zeta_3 - \frac{2057}{288} C_A n_f^2 T_F^2 - \frac{9}{32} C_F^2 n_f T_F \\
& \left. + 3C_F n_f^2 T_F^2 \zeta_3 - \frac{209}{48} C_F n_f^2 T_F^2 + \frac{25n_f^3 T_F^3}{81} \right) + l_{\mu q}^2 \left(-\frac{1793C_A^3}{216} \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{273}{32} C_A^2 n_f T_F + \frac{55}{32} C_A C_F n_f T_F - \frac{181}{72} C_A n_f^2 T_F^2 - \frac{5}{8} C_F n_f^2 T_F^2 + \frac{5n_f^3 T_F^3}{27} \right) \\
& + l_{\mu q}^3 \left(-\frac{1331C_A^3}{1728} + \frac{121}{144} C_A^2 n_f T_F - \frac{11}{36} C_A n_f^2 T_F^2 + \frac{n_f^3 T_F^3}{27} \right) \\
& \left. \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[+\frac{1415C_A^2 n_f T_F}{864} - \frac{2857C_A^3}{1728} + \frac{205C_A C_F n_f T_F}{288} - \frac{79C_A n_f^2 T_F^2}{432} - \frac{C_F^2 n_f T_F}{16} - \frac{11C_F n_f^2 T_F^2}{72} \right] \right. \\
& \left. \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left[-\frac{89C_A^2 n_f T_F}{144} + \frac{187C_A^3}{288} - \frac{11C_A C_F n_f T_F}{48} + \frac{5C_A n_f^2 T_F^2}{36} + \frac{C_F n_f^2 T_F^2}{12} \right] \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

The tree-level, one-loop and two-loop terms in (2.17) have been computed in [9], [37, 38] and [1] correspondingly.

As already observed at two-loop level [1] there are divergent contact terms in C_1^{GG} starting from $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$. It is interesting to observe that these divergent terms can be expressed through the β -function coefficients from (1.13):

$$C_1^{\text{GG}} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[-a_s^2 \beta_1 - a_s^3 2\beta_2 \right] + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left[-a_s^3 \beta_0 \beta_1 \right] + \text{finite} \tag{2.18}$$

This feature points to the possibility that the contact terms and hence the additive part of the renormalization of the Wilson coefficient C_1^{GG} could be expressed completely through the β -function. An explanation for this curious behaviour and its meaning for the O_1 -correlator remains to be found in the future.

An unambiguous result can be obtained for the Adler function of C_1^{GG} , in which all contact terms, finite and divergent, vanish:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^2 \frac{d}{dQ^2} C_1^{\text{GG}} = & a_s \left\{ \frac{11C_A}{12} - \frac{n_f T_F}{3} \right\} \\
& + a_s^2 \left\{ \frac{1151C_A^2}{216} - \frac{97C_A n_f T_F}{27} - C_F n_f T_F + \frac{10n_f^2 T_F^2}{27} \right. \\
& \left. + l_{\mu q} \left(\frac{121C_A^2}{72} - \frac{11C_A n_f T_F}{9} + \frac{2n_f^2 T_F^2}{9} \right) \right\} \\
& + a_s^3 \left\{ -\frac{363C_A^3 \zeta_3}{32} + \frac{360325C_A^3}{10368} - \frac{55757C_A^2 n_f T_F}{1728} + \frac{33}{4} C_A C_F n_f T_F \zeta_3 \right. \\
& - \frac{2527}{192} C_A C_F n_f T_F + \frac{3}{2} C_A n_f^2 T_F^2 \zeta_3 + \frac{2057}{288} C_A n_f^2 T_F^2 + \frac{9}{32} C_F^2 n_f T_F \\
& - 3C_F n_f^2 T_F^2 \zeta_3 + \frac{209}{48} C_F n_f^2 T_F^2 - \frac{25n_f^3 T_F^3}{81} + l_{\mu q} \left(\frac{1793C_A^3}{108} \right. \\
& - \frac{273}{16} C_A^2 n_f T_F - \frac{55}{16} C_A C_F n_f T_F + \frac{181}{36} C_A n_f^2 T_F^2 + \frac{5}{4} C_F n_f^2 T_F^2 - \left. \frac{10n_f^3 T_F^3}{27} \right) \\
& \left. + l_{\mu q}^2 \left(\frac{1331C_A^3}{576} - \frac{121}{48} C_A^2 n_f T_F + \frac{11}{12} C_A n_f^2 T_F^2 - \frac{n_f^3 T_F^3}{9} \right) \right\} \quad (2.19)
\end{aligned}$$

In analogy to the construction above we can also find an RGI Wilson coefficient

$$C_1^{\text{GG,RGI}} := \hat{\beta}(a_s) C_1^{\text{GG}}, \quad (2.20)$$

which fulfills

$$C_1^{\text{GG,RGI}} O_1^{\text{RGI}} = C_1^{\text{GG}} [O_1]. \quad (2.21)$$

For the derivative of the Wilson coefficient wrt Q^2 we find

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^2 \frac{d}{dQ^2} C_1^{\text{GG,RGI}} = & a_s^2 \left\{ \frac{11C_A}{12} - \frac{n_f T_F}{3} \right\} \\
& + a_s^3 \left\{ \frac{163C_A^2}{27} - \frac{433C_A n_f T_F}{108} - \frac{5C_F n_f T_F}{4} + \frac{10n_f^2 T_F^2}{27} \right. \\
& \left. + l_{\mu q} \left(\frac{121C_A^2}{72} - \frac{11C_A n_f T_F}{9} + \frac{2n_f^2 T_F^2}{9} \right) \right\} \\
& + a_s^4 \frac{1}{(11C_A - 4n_f T_F)} \left\{ -\frac{3993C_A^4 \zeta_3}{32} + \frac{565933C_A^4}{1296} + \frac{363C_A^3 n_f T_F \zeta_3}{8} \right. \\
& - \frac{730223C_A^3 n_f T_F}{1296} + \frac{363C_A^2 C_F n_f T_F \zeta_3}{4} - \frac{16625C_A^2 C_F n_f T_F}{96} \\
& + \frac{33C_A^2 n_f^2 T_F^2 \zeta_3}{2} + \frac{100667C_A^2 n_f^2 T_F^2}{432} + \frac{7C_F^2 n_f^2 T_F^2}{4} + \frac{55C_A C_F^2 n_f T_F}{16} \\
& + 12C_F n_f^3 T_F^3 \zeta_3 - \frac{113C_F n_f^3 T_F^3}{6} - 66C_A C_F n_f^2 T_F^2 \zeta_3 + \frac{1423C_A C_F n_f^2 T_F^2}{12} \\
& + \frac{100n_f^4 T_F^4}{81} - 6C_A n_f^3 T_F^3 \zeta_3 - \frac{11075C_A n_f^3 T_F^3}{324} \\
& \left. + l_{\mu q} \left(\frac{85063C_A^4}{432} - \frac{117887C_A^3 n_f T_F}{432} - \frac{2057C_A^2 C_F n_f T_F}{48} + \frac{1184C_A^2 n_f^2 T_F^2}{9} \right. \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{17C_F n_f^3 T_F^3}{3} + \frac{187C_A C_F n_f^2 T_F^2}{6} + \frac{40n_f^4 T_F^4}{27} - \frac{683C_A n_f^3 T_F^3}{27} \right) \\
& \left. + l_{\mu q}^2 \left(\frac{14641C_A^4}{576} - \frac{1331C_A^3 n_f T_F}{36} + \frac{121C_A^2 n_f^2 T_F^2}{6} + \frac{4n_f^4 T_F^4}{9} - \frac{44C_A n_f^3 T_F^3}{9} \right) \right\}. \tag{2.22}
\end{aligned}$$

3 Numerics

Finally, we consider two cases which are interesting for applications numerically, that is gluodynamics ($n_f = 0$) and QCD with only three light quarks ($n_f = 3$). For this we choose the scale $\mu^2 = Q^2$, i.e. we set $l_{\mu q} = 0$. For the correlator (1.15) we find

$$C_1^{(S)}(\mu^2 = Q^2, n_f = 0) = \frac{22}{9} a_s \left(1 + 0.943182 a_s - 7.06061 a_s^2 \right), \tag{3.1}$$

$$C_1^{(S)}(\mu^2 = Q^2, n_f = 3) = 2a_s \left(1 + 0.652778 a_s - 5.18519 a_s^2 \right), \tag{3.2}$$

$$C_1^{(T)}(\mu^2 = Q^2, n_f = 0) = -\frac{5}{6} a_s \left(1 + 2.075 a_s + 14.3904 a_s^2 \right), \tag{3.3}$$

$$C_1^{(T)}(\mu^2 = Q^2, n_f = 3) = -\frac{15}{16} a_s \left(1 + 0.444444 a_s + 6.64113 a_s^2 \right). \tag{3.4}$$

and for (1.16) we get

$$Q^2 \frac{d}{dQ^2} C_1^{\text{GG}}(\mu^2 = Q^2, n_f = 0) = \frac{11}{4} a_s^2 \left(1 + 17.4394 a_s + 207.338 a_s^2\right), \quad (3.5)$$

$$Q^2 \frac{d}{dQ^2} C_1^{\text{GG}}(\mu^2 = Q^2, n_f = 3) = \frac{9}{4} a_s^2 \left(1 + 13.6111 a_s + 78.8642 a_s^2\right). \quad (3.6)$$

For the RGI coefficients the numerical evaluation yields

$$C_{1,\text{RGI}}^{(S)}(\mu^2 = Q^2, n_f = 0) = \frac{22}{9} \left(1 - 1.375 a_s - 11.9896 a_s^2\right), \quad (3.7)$$

$$C_{1,\text{RGI}}^{(S)}(\mu^2 = Q^2, n_f = 3) = 2 \left(1 - 1.125 a_s - 7.65625 a_s^2\right), \quad (3.8)$$

$$C_{1,\text{RGI}}^{(T)}(\mu^2 = Q^2, n_f = 0) = -\frac{5}{6} \left(1 - 0.2431825 a_s + 6.83767 a_s^2\right), \quad (3.9)$$

$$C_{1,\text{RGI}}^{(T)}(\mu^2 = Q^2, n_f = 3) = -\frac{15}{16} \left(1 - 1.33333 a_s + 4.54043 a_s^2\right) \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$Q^2 \frac{d}{dQ^2} C_1^{\text{GG,RGI}}(\mu^2 = Q^2, n_f = 0) = \frac{11}{4} a_s \left(1 + 19.7576 a_s + 255.882 a_s^2\right), \quad (3.11)$$

$$Q^2 \frac{d}{dQ^2} C_1^{\text{GG,RGI}}(\mu^2 = Q^2, n_f = 3) = \frac{9}{4} a_s \left(1 + 15.3889 a_s + 107.533 a_s^2\right). \quad (3.12)$$

The numerical impact of the higher order corrections can be seen by evaluating the RGI coefficients at $\mu = M_Z$, $\mu = 3.5$ GeV and $\mu = 2$ GeV, where

$$\alpha_s^{(n_f=5)}(M_Z) \approx 0.118, \quad \alpha_s^{(n_f=3)}(3.5\text{GeV}) \approx 0.24 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_s^{(n_f=3)}(2\text{GeV}) \approx 0.30 \quad [39] \quad (3.13)$$

for the cases $n_f = 5$ and $n_f = 3$ respectively. We find

$$C_{1,\text{RGI}}^{(S)}(Q^2 = \mu^2 = M_Z^2, n_f = 5) = \frac{46}{27} \left(\underbrace{-0.00705235}_{3 \text{ loop}} - \underbrace{0.0359955}_{2 \text{ loop}} + \underbrace{1}_{1 \text{ loop}} \right), \quad (3.14)$$

$$C_{1,\text{RGI}}^{(S)}(Q^2 = \mu^2 = (3.5 \text{ GeV})^2, n_f = 3) = 2 \left(\underbrace{-0.0446826}_{3 \text{ loop}} - \underbrace{0.0859437}_{2 \text{ loop}} + \underbrace{1}_{1 \text{ loop}} \right), \quad (3.15)$$

$$C_{1,\text{RGI}}^{(S)}(Q^2 = \mu^2 = (2 \text{ GeV})^2, n_f = 3) = 2 \left(\underbrace{-0.0698166}_{3 \text{ loop}} - \underbrace{0.10743}_{2 \text{ loop}} + \underbrace{1}_{1 \text{ loop}} \right) \quad (3.16)$$

and

$$C_{1,\text{RGI}}^{(T)}(Q^2 = \mu^2 = M_Z^2, n_f = 5) = -\frac{145}{144} \left(\underbrace{0.00930401}_{3 \text{ loop}} - \underbrace{0.0640238}_{2 \text{ loop}} + \underbrace{1}_{1 \text{ loop}} \right), \quad (3.17)$$

$$C_{1,\text{RGI}}^{(T)}(Q^2 = \mu^2 = (3.5 \text{ GeV})^2, n_f = 3) = -\frac{15}{16} \left(\underbrace{0.0264984}_{3 \text{ loop}} - \underbrace{0.101859}_{2 \text{ loop}} + \underbrace{1}_{1 \text{ loop}} \right), \quad (3.18)$$

$$C_{1,\text{RGI}}^{(T)}(Q^2 = \mu^2 = (2 \text{ GeV})^2, n_f = 3) = -\frac{15}{16} \left(\underbrace{0.0414038}_{3 \text{ loop}} - \underbrace{0.127324}_{2 \text{ loop}} + \underbrace{1}_{1 \text{ loop}} \right). \quad (3.19)$$

for the correlator (1.15). This shows that for the energy-momentum tensor the Wilson coefficient $C_1^{(T)}$ is well convergent, even at $\mu = 2$ GeV. The three-loop approximation for $C_1^{(S)}$ at low scales is less good, but still acceptable. At $\mu = 3.5$ GeV the three-loop correction is 50% of the two-loop correction but both together are only a 12% correction to the one-loop result.

For the correlator (1.16) we find with

$$\alpha_s^{(n_f=3)}(5\text{GeV}) \approx 0.213 \text{ [39]} \quad (3.20)$$

in addition to (3.13):

$$\begin{aligned} & Q^2 \frac{d}{dQ^2} C_1^{\text{GG,RGI}}(Q^2 = \mu^2 = M_Z^2, n_f = 5) \\ &= \frac{23}{12} a_s^2(\mu = M_Z) \left(\underbrace{0.0074766}_{3 \text{ loop}} + \underbrace{0.439205}_{2 \text{ loop}} + \underbrace{1}_{1 \text{ loop}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & Q^2 \frac{d}{dQ^2} C_1^{\text{GG,RGI}}(Q^2 = \mu^2 = (5 \text{ GeV})^2, n_f = 3) \\ &= \frac{9}{4} a_s^2(\mu = 5 \text{ GeV}) \left(\underbrace{0.494311}_{3 \text{ loop}} + \underbrace{1.04337}_{2 \text{ loop}} + \underbrace{1}_{1 \text{ loop}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & Q^2 \frac{d}{dQ^2} C_1^{\text{GG,RGI}}(Q^2 = \mu^2 = (2 \text{ GeV})^2, n_f = 3) \\ &= \frac{9}{4} a_s^2(\mu = 2 \text{ GeV}) \left(\underbrace{0.980582}_{3 \text{ loop}} + \underbrace{1.46953}_{2 \text{ loop}} + \underbrace{1}_{1 \text{ loop}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Here the convergence at low scales is not so good as the two-loop correction becomes larger than the one-loop correction at $\mu = 5$ GeV and the three-loop correction shifts the result by another 50% of the one-loop results. This suggests that higher order corrections should always be taken into account when this coefficient is used e.g. in sum rules and special care has to be taken with regard to the convergence of the perturbation series at the scale where perturbative and non-perturbative physics are separated in the OPE. With this in mind, extending C_1^{GG} to even higher orders in the future could therefore be an interesting task.

4 Conclusions

We have presented the missing three-loop corrections to the OPE of the correlator of two scalar gluonic operators $[O_1] = -\frac{Z_G}{4} G^{B^a \mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}^{B^a}$ and of the correlator of two energy-momentum tensors $T^{\mu\nu}$ in massless QCD at zero temperature.

These are the three-loop contributions to the coefficient C_1 in front of the local operator $[O_1]$. We have also constructed renormalization group invariant versions of these coefficients and confirmed the predictions made in [1] for the logarithmic part of these coefficients.

In the coefficient C_1^{GG} for the O_1 -correlator we observe the curious feature that divergent contact terms appear which are expressible through the QCD β -function. These contact terms as well as contact terms in the $T^{\mu\nu}$ -correlator proportional to the tensor structures $t_4^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q)$ and $t_5^{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}(q)$ from (2.1) have to be subtracted. If we consider only derivatives wrt Q^2 of ambiguous Wilson coefficients these terms vanish automatically.

All results can be found in a machine-readable form at

<http://www-ttp.particle.uni-karlsruhe.de/Progdata/ttp14/ttp14-023/>

Acknowledgments

I thank K. G. Chetyrkin for many useful discussions and his collaboration on the previous project [1]. I am also grateful to J. H. Kühn for his support and useful comments. This work has been supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft in the Sonderforschungsbereich/Transregio SFB/TR-9 “Computational Particle Physics”, the Graduiertenkolleg “Elementarteilchenphysik bei höchsten Energien und höchster Präzision” and the “Karlsruhe School of Elementary Particle and Astroparticle Physics: Science and Technology (KSETA)”.

References

- [1] M. F. Zoller and K. G. Chetyrkin, *OPE of the energy-momentum tensor correlator in massless QCD*, *JHEP* **1212** (2012) 119, [[arXiv:1209.1516](https://arxiv.org/abs/1209.1516)].
- [2] P. A. Baikov and K. G. Chetyrkin, *Higgs decay into hadrons to order α_s^5* , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** (2006) 061803, [[hep-ph/0604194](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0604194)].
- [3] M. F. Zoller, *OPE of the pseudoscalar gluonium correlator in massless QCD to three-loop order*, *JHEP* **1307** (2013) 040, [[arXiv:1304.2232](https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.2232)].
- [4] H. Forkel, *Direct instantons, topological charge screening, and qcd glueball sum rules*, *Phys. Rev. D* **71** (2005), no. 5 054008.
- [5] K. G. Wilson, *Non-lagrangian models of current algebra*, *Phys. Rev.* **179** (1969), no. 5 1499–1512.
- [6] S. G. Gorishny, S. A. Larin, and F. V. Tkachov, *The Algorithm For OPE Coefficient Functions In The MS Scheme*, *Phys. Lett.* **B124** (1983) 217–220.
- [7] S. G. Gorishny and S. A. Larin, *Coefficient Functions Of Asymptotic Operator Expansions In Minimal Subtraction Scheme*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B283** (1987) 452.
- [8] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, *QCD and Resonance Physics. Sum Rules*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B147** (1979) 385–447.
- [9] V. A. Novikov, M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, *In search of scalar gluonium*, *Nuclear Physics B* **165** (1980), no. 1 67 – 79.
- [10] V. Novikov, M. A. Shifman, A. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, *eta-prime Meson as Pseudoscalar Gluonium*, *Phys.Lett.* **B86** (1979) 347.

- [11] M. Bochicchio and S. P. Muscinelli, *Ultraviolet asymptotics of glueball propagators*, *JHEP* **1308** (2013) 064, [[arXiv:1304.6409](#)].
- [12] M. Bochicchio, *Yang-Mills mass gap, Floer homology, glueball spectrum, and conformal window in large- N QCD*, [arXiv:1312.1350](#).
- [13] N. K. Nielsen, *The energy-momentum tensor in a non-Abelian quark gluon theory*, *Nuclear Physics B* **120** (1977), no. 2 212 – 220.
- [14] N. Nielsen, *Gauge Invariance and Broken Conformal Symmetry*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B97** (1975) 527.
- [15] V. Spiridonov, *Anomalous dimension of $g_{\mu\nu}^2$ and β -function*, *Preprint IYAI-P-0378* (1984).
- [16] T. van Ritbergen, J. Vermaseren, and S. Larin, *The Four loop beta function in quantum chromodynamics*, *Phys.Lett.* **B400** (1997) 379–384, [[hep-ph/9701390](#)].
- [17] M. Czakon, *The Four-loop QCD beta-function and anomalous dimensions*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B710** (2005) 485–498, [[hep-ph/0411261](#)].
- [18] S. Larin, *The Renormalization of the axial anomaly in dimensional regularization*, *Phys.Lett.* **B303** (1993) 113–118, [[hep-ph/9302240](#)].
- [19] A. Kataev, N. Krasnikov, and A. Pivovarov, *Two loop calculations for the propagators of gluonic currents*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B198** (1982) 508–518, [[hep-ph/9612326](#)].
- [20] K. G. Chetyrkin, B. A. Kniehl, and M. Steinhauser, *Hadronic Higgs decay to order α_s^4* , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** (1997) 353–356, [[hep-ph/9705240](#)].
- [21] H. B. Meyer, *Energy-momentum tensor correlators and viscosity*, *PoS LATTICE2008* (2008) 017, [[arXiv:0809.5202](#)].
- [22] H. B. Meyer, *A calculation of the shear viscosity in $SU(3)$ gluodynamics*, *Phys. Rev.* **D76** (2007) 101701, [[arXiv:0704.1801](#)].
- [23] H. B. Meyer, *Energy-momentum tensor correlators and spectral functions*, *JHEP* **08** (2008) 031, [[arXiv:0806.3914](#)].
- [24] J. C. Collins, A. Duncan, and S. D. Joglekar, *Trace and Dilatation Anomalies in Gauge Theories*, *Phys.Rev.* **D16** (1977) 438–449.
- [25] M. Laine, *Thermal 2-loop master spectral function at finite momentum*, *JHEP* **1305** (2013) 083, [[arXiv:1304.0202](#)].
- [26] K. Kajantie, M. Krssak, and A. Vuorinen, *Energy momentum tensor correlators in hot Yang-Mills theory: holography confronts lattice and perturbation theory*, *JHEP* **1305** (2013) 140, [[arXiv:1302.1432](#)].
- [27] Y. Zhu and A. Vuorinen, *The shear channel spectral function in hot Yang-Mills theory*, *JHEP* **1303** (2013) 002, [[arXiv:1212.3818](#)].
- [28] M. Laine, M. Vepsalainen, and A. Vuorinen, *Ultraviolet asymptotics of scalar and pseudoscalar correlators in hot Yang-Mills theory*, *JHEP* **1010** (2010) 010, [[arXiv:1008.3263](#)].
- [29] Schröder, Y. and Vepsalainen, M. and Vuorinen, A. and Zhu, Y., *The Ultraviolet limit and sum rule for the shear correlator in hot Yang-Mills theory*, *JHEP* **1112** (2011) 035, [[arXiv:1109.6548](#)].
- [30] A. A. Pivovarov, *Two-loop corrections to the correlator of tensor currents in gluodynamics*, *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **63** (2000) 1646–1649, [[hep-ph/9905485](#)].

- [31] J. A. M. Vermaseren, *Axodraw*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **83** (1994) 45–58.
- [32] P. Nogueira, *Automatic Feynman graph generation*, *J. Comput. Phys.* **105** (1993) 279–289.
- [33] J. A. M. Vermaseren, *New features of FORM*, [math-ph/0010025](#).
- [34] M. Tentyukov and J. A. M. Vermaseren, *The multithreaded version of FORM*, [hep-ph/0702279](#).
- [35] S. G. Gorishnii, S. A. Larin, L. R. Surguladze, and F. V. Tkachov, *MINCER: Program for multiloop calculations in quantum field theory for the SCHOONSCHIP system*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **55** (1989) 381–408.
- [36] T. Van Ritbergen, A. Schellekens, and J. Vermaseren, *Group theory factors for feynman diagrams*, *International Journal of Modern Physics A* **14** (1999), no. 1 41–96.
- [37] E. Bagan and T. G. Steele, *Infrared Aspects Of The One Loop, Scalar Glueball Operator Product Expansion*, *Phys.Lett.* **B234** (1990) 135.
- [38] D. Harnett and T. G. Steele, *Quark effects in the gluon condensate contribution to the scalar glueball correlation function*, *JHEP* **0412** (2004) 037, [[hep-ph/0410388](#)].
- [39] K. Chetyrkin, J. H. Kuhn, and M. Steinhauser, *RunDec: A Mathematica package for running and decoupling of the strong coupling and quark masses*, *Comput.Phys.Commun.* **133** (2000) 43–65, [[hep-ph/0004189](#)].