Simultaneous decoupling of bottom and charm quarks

Andrey G. Grozin^{*a,b*}, Maik Höschele^{*b*}, Jens Hoff^{*b*} and Matthias Steinhauser^{*b*}

^a Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia
^b Institut für Theoretische Teilchenphysik, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany
E-mail: A.G.Grozin@inp.nsk.su, hoeschele@particle.uni-karlsruhe.de, jens@particle.uni-karlsruhe.de and matthias.steinhauser@kit.edu

ABSTRACT: We compute the decoupling relations for the strong coupling, the light quark masses, the gauge-fixing parameter, and the light fields in QCD with heavy charm and bottom quarks to three-loop accuracy taking into account the exact dependence on m_c/m_b . The application of a low-energy theorem allows the extraction of the three-loop effective Higgs-gluon coupling valid for extensions of the Standard Model with additional heavy quarks from the decoupling constant of α_s .

KEYWORDS: QCD, NLO computations.

Contents

1.	Introduction							
2.	Calculation							
	2.1 Gluon self-energy		3					
	2.2 Ghost self-energy							
	2.3	Light-quark self-energy	7					
	2.4	Ghost–gluon vertex	8					
3.	Decoupling for α_s							
4.	Dec	coupling for the light-quark masses	12					
5.	Decoupling for the fields							
	5.1	Gluon field and the gauge parameter	14					
	5.2	Light-quark fields	15					
	5.3	Ghost field	16					
6.	Phenomenological applications							
	6.1	$\alpha_s^{(5)}(M_Z)$ from $\alpha_s^{(3)}(M_\tau)$	18					
	6.2	Comparison of one- and two-step decoupling approach	19					
	6.3	Improving the two-step approach by power-suppressed terms	19					
	6.4	One-step decoupling of the bottom quark with finite charm quark mass	20					
	6.5	Decoupling effects in the strange quark mass	21					
7.	Effe	ective coupling of the Higgs boson to gluons	22					
8.	Cor	nclusion	23					
A.	. Integral $I(x)$							
в.	B. Ghost–gluon vertex at two loops							
C.	. Decoupling at on-shell masses							

1. Introduction

QCD where all six quark flavours are treated as active degrees of freedom is rarely used in practical applications. If the characteristic energy scale is below some heavy-flavour masses, it is appropriate to construct a low-energy effective theory without those heavy flavours. The Lagrangian of this theory has the same form as the one of QCD plus corrections suppressed by powers of heavy-quark masses. Usually, heavy flavours are decoupled one at a time which results in a tower of effective theories, each of them differ from the previous one by integrating out a single heavy flavour. The parameters of the Lagrangian of such an effective low-energy QCD ($\alpha_s(\mu)$, the gauge fixing parameter $a(\mu)$, light-quark masses $m_i(\mu)$) are related to the parameters of the underlying theory (including the heavy flavour) by so-called decoupling relations. The same holds for the light fields (gluon, ghost, light quarks) which exist in both theories. QCD decoupling constants are known at two- [1, 2, 3], three- [3] and even four-loop order [4, 5].

The conventional approach just described ignores power corrections in ratios of heavyquark masses. Let us, e.g., consider the relation between $\alpha_s^{(3)}$ and $\alpha_s^{(5)}$ (the superscript denotes the number of active flavours). Starting from three loops, there are diagrams containing both *b*- and *c*-quark loops which depend on m_c/m_b . The power correction $\sim (\alpha_s/\pi)^3 (m_c/m_b)^2$ is not taken into account in the standard approach, although, it might be comparable with the four-loop corrections of order $(\alpha_s/\pi)^4$. In the present paper, we consider $(m_c/m_b)^n$ power corrections at three loops by decoupling *b* and *c* quarks in a single step.

Of course, the results presented in this paper are generic and apply to any two flavours which are decoupled simultaneously from the QCD Lagrangian. Our full theory is QCD with n_l light flavours, n_c flavours with mass m_c , and n_b flavours with mass m_b (in the real world $n_c = n_b = 1$). Furthermore we introduce the total number of quarks $n_f = n_l + n_c + n_b$. We study the relation of full QCD to the low-energy effective theory containing neither bnor c.

The bare gluon, ghost and light-quark fields in the effective theory are related to the bare fields in the full theory by

$$A_0^{(n_l)} = \left(\zeta_A^0\right)^{1/2} A_0^{(n_f)}, \quad c_0^{(n_l)} = \left(\zeta_c^0\right)^{1/2} c_0^{(n_f)}, \quad q_0^{(n_l)} = \left(\zeta_q^0\right)^{1/2} q_0^{(n_f)}, \tag{1.1}$$

where the bare decoupling constants are computed in the full theory via [3]

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_A^0(\alpha_{s0}^{(n_f)}, a_0^{(n_f)}) &= 1 + \Pi_A(0) = [Z_A^{\text{os}}]^{-1} ,\\ \zeta_c^0(\alpha_{s0}^{(n_f)}, a_0^{(n_f)}) &= 1 + \Pi_c(0) = [Z_c^{\text{os}}]^{-1} ,\\ \zeta_q^0(\alpha_{s0}^{(n_f)}, a_0^{(n_f)}) &= 1 + \Sigma_V(0) = [Z_q^{\text{os}}]^{-1} , \end{aligned}$$
(1.2)

with $\alpha_{s0} = g_0^2/(4\pi)^{1-\varepsilon}$; $\Pi_A(q^2)$, $\Pi_c(q^2)$ and $\Sigma(q) = \not q \Sigma_V(q^2) + m_{q0} \Sigma_S(q^2)$ are the (bare) gluon, ghost and light-quark self-energies (we may set all light-quark masses to 0 in Σ_V and Σ_S). The fields renormalized in the on-shell scheme coincide in both theories; therefore, the bare decoupling coefficients (1.2) are the ratio of the on-shell renormalization constants of the fields. In the effective theory all the self-energies vanish at q = 0 (they contain no scale), and the on-shell Z factors are exactly 1. In the full theory, only diagrams with at least one heavy-quark loop survive.¹

Next to the fields also the parameters of the full and effective QCD Lagrangian are related by decoupling constants

$$\alpha_{s0}^{(n_l)} = \zeta_{\alpha_s}^0 \alpha_{s0}^{(n_f)}, \quad a_0^{(n_l)} = \zeta_A^0 a_0^{(n_f)}, \quad m_{q0}^{(n_l)} = \zeta_m^0 m_{q0}^{(n_f)}, \tag{1.3}$$

where a is the gauge parameter defined through the gluon propagator

$$D_{\mu\nu}(k) = -\frac{i}{k^2} \left(g_{\mu\nu} - (1-a) \frac{k_{\mu}k_{\nu}}{k^2} \right) .$$
 (1.4)

The bare decoupling constants in Eq. (1.3) are computed with the help of [3]

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\alpha_s}^0(\alpha_{s0}^{(n_f)}) &= (1 + \Gamma_{A\bar{c}c})^2 \, (Z_c^{\rm os})^2 \, Z_A^{\rm os} = (1 + \Gamma_{A\bar{q}q})^2 \, (Z_q^{\rm os})^2 \, Z_A^{\rm os} = (1 + \Gamma_{AAA})^2 \, (Z_A^{\rm os})^3 \, , \\ \zeta_m^0(\alpha_{s0}^{(n_f)}) &= Z_q^{\rm os} \, [1 - \Sigma_S(0)] \, . \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

The $A\bar{c}c$, $A\bar{q}q$ and AAA proper vertex functions are expanded in their external momenta, and only the leading non-vanishing terms are retained. In the low-energy theory they get no loop corrections, and are given by the tree-level vertices of dimension-4 operators in the Lagrangian. In full QCD (with the heavy flavours) they have just one colour and tensor (and Dirac) structure, namely, that of the tree-level vertices (if this were not the case, the Lagrangian of the low-energy theory would not have the usual QCD form²). Therefore, we have the tree-level vertices times $(1 + \Gamma_i)$, where loop corrections Γ_i contain at least one heavy-quark loop. The various versions in the first line of Eq. (1.5) are obtained with the help of the QCD Ward identities involving three-particle vertices. In our calculation we restrict ourselves for convenience to the ghost–gluon vertex. Note that the gauge parameter dependence cancels in $\zeta_{\alpha_s}^0$ and ζ_m^0 whereas the individual building blocks in Eq. (1.5) still depend on a. This serves as a check of our calculation.

The MS renormalized parameters and fields in the two theories are related by

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_s^{(n_l)}(\mu') &= \zeta_{\alpha_s}(\mu',\mu)\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\mu), \quad a^{(n_l)}(\mu') &= \zeta_A(\mu',\mu)a^{(n_f)}(\mu), \\
m_q^{(n_l)}(\mu') &= \zeta_m(\mu',\mu)m_q^{(n_f)}(\mu), \quad A^{(n_l)}(\mu') &= \zeta_A^{1/2}(\mu',\mu)A^{(n_f)}(\mu), \\
c^{(n_l)}(\mu') &= \zeta_c^{1/2}(\mu',\mu)c^{(n_f)}(\mu), \quad q^{(n_l)}(\mu') &= \zeta_q^{1/2}(\mu',\mu)q^{(n_f)}(\mu),
\end{aligned}$$
(1.6)

where we allow for two different renormalization scales in the full and effective theory. The finite decoupling constants are obtained by renormalizing the fields and parameters in

¹At low $q \neq 0$, the self-energies in the full theory are given by sums of contributions from various integration regions, see, e. g., [6]; the contribution we need comes from the completely hard region, where all loop momenta are of order of heavy-quark masses.

²The $A\bar{q}q$ vertex at 0-th order in its external momenta obviously has only the tree-level structure. For the $A\bar{c}c$ vertex at the linear order in external momenta, this statement is proven in Appendix B. The AAA vertex at the linear order in its external momenta can have, in addition to the tree-level structure, one more structure: $d^{a_1a_2a_3}(g^{\mu_1\mu_2}k_3^{\mu_3} + \text{cycle})$; however, the Slavnov–Taylor identity $\langle T\{\partial^{\mu}A_{\mu}(x), \partial^{\nu}A_{\nu}(y), \partial^{\lambda}A_{\lambda}(z)\}\rangle = 0$ leads to $\Gamma^{a_1a_2a_3}_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3} k_1^{\mu_1} k_2^{\mu_2} k_3^{\mu_3} = 0$ (see Ref. [7]), thus excluding this second structure.

Eqs. (1.2) and (1.3) which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\alpha_{s}}(\mu',\mu) &= \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu'}\right)^{2\varepsilon} \frac{Z_{\alpha}^{(n_{f})}\left(\alpha_{s}^{(n_{f})}(\mu)\right)}{Z_{\alpha}^{(n_{l})}\left(\alpha_{s}^{(n_{l})}(\mu')\right)} \zeta_{\alpha_{s}}^{0}\left(\alpha_{s0}^{(n_{f})}\right) ,\\ \zeta_{m}(\mu',\mu) &= \frac{Z_{m}^{(n_{f})}\left(\alpha_{s}^{(n_{f})}(\mu)\right)}{Z_{m}^{(n_{l})}\left(\alpha_{s}^{(n_{l})}(\mu')\right)} \zeta_{m}^{0}\left(\alpha_{s0}^{(n_{f})}\right) ,\\ \zeta_{A}(\mu',\mu) &= \frac{Z_{A}^{(n_{f})}\left(\alpha_{s}^{(n_{f})}(\mu),a^{(n_{f})}(\mu)\right)}{Z_{A}^{(n_{l})}\left(\alpha_{s}^{(n_{l})}(\mu'),a^{(n_{l})}(\mu')\right)} \zeta_{A}^{0}\left(\alpha_{s0}^{(n_{f})},a_{0}^{(n_{f})}\right) ,\\ \zeta_{q}(\mu',\mu) &= \frac{Z_{q}^{(n_{f})}\left(\alpha_{s}^{(n_{f})}(\mu),a^{(n_{f})}(\mu)\right)}{Z_{q}^{(n_{l})}\left(\alpha_{s}^{(n_{f})}(\mu),a^{(n_{l})}(\mu')\right)} \zeta_{q}^{0}\left(\alpha_{s0}^{(n_{f})},a_{0}^{(n_{f})}\right) ,\\ \zeta_{c}(\mu',\mu) &= \frac{Z_{c}^{(n_{f})}\left(\alpha_{s}^{(n_{f})}(\mu),a^{(n_{f})}(\mu)\right)}{Z_{c}^{(n_{l})}\left(\alpha_{s}^{(n_{f})}(\mu'),a^{(n_{l})}(\mu')\right)} \zeta_{c}^{0}\left(\alpha_{s0}^{(n_{f})},a_{0}^{(n_{f})}\right) , \end{aligned}$$
(1.7)

where $Z_i^{(n_f)}$ are the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalization constants in n_f -flavour QCD which we need up to three-loop order.

2. Calculation

Our calculation is automated to a large degree. In a first step we generate all Feynman diagrams with QGRAF [8]. The various diagram topologies are identified and transformed to FORM [9] with the help of q2e and exp [10, 11] (these topologies have been investigated in [12]). Afterwards we use the program FIRE [13] to reduce the two-scale three-loop integrals to four master integrals which can be found in analytic form in Ref. [14].

As a cross check we apply the asymptotic expansion (see, e.g., Ref. [6]) in the limit $m_c \ll m_b$ and evaluate five expansion terms in $(m_c/m_b)^2$. The asymptotic expansion is automated in the program exp which provides output that is passed to the package MATAD [15] performing the actual calculation.

In the following we present explicit results for the two-point functions and $\Gamma_{A\bar{c}c}$ needed for the construction of the decoupling constants. Other vertex functions can be easily reconstructed from the bare decoupling coefficient $\zeta_{\alpha_s}^0$ in Section 3 (see Eq. (1.5)).

2.1 Gluon self-energy

The bare gluon self-energy at $q^2 = 0$ in the full theory can be cast in the following form³

$$\Pi_A(0) = \frac{1}{3} \left(n_b m_{b0}^{-2\varepsilon} + n_c m_{c0}^{-2\varepsilon} \right) T_F \frac{\alpha_{s0}^{(n_f)}}{\pi} \Gamma(\varepsilon)$$

³Note that $\Gamma(\varepsilon) = 1/\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(1)$.

$$+ P_{h} \left(n_{b} m_{b0}^{-4\varepsilon} + n_{c} m_{c0}^{-4\varepsilon} \right) T_{F} \left(\frac{\alpha_{s0}^{(n_{f})}}{\pi} \Gamma(\varepsilon) \right)^{2} \\+ \left[\left(P_{hg} + P_{hl} T_{F} n_{l} \right) \left(n_{b} m_{b0}^{-6\varepsilon} + n_{c} m_{c0}^{-6\varepsilon} \right) + P_{hh} T_{F} \left(n_{b}^{2} m_{b0}^{-6\varepsilon} + n_{c}^{2} m_{c0}^{-6\varepsilon} \right) \\+ P_{bc} \left(\frac{m_{c0}}{m_{b0}} \right) T_{F} n_{b} n_{c} \left(m_{b0} m_{c0} \right)^{-3\varepsilon} \right] T_{F} \left(\frac{\alpha_{s0}^{(n_{f})}}{\pi} \Gamma(\varepsilon) \right)^{3} + \cdots$$
(2.1)

where the exact dependence on $\varepsilon = (4 - d)/2$ (d is the space-time dimension) of the bare two-loop result is given by

$$P_h = \frac{1}{4(2-\varepsilon)(1+2\varepsilon)} \left[-C_F \frac{\varepsilon}{3} (9+7\varepsilon-10\varepsilon^2) + C_A \frac{3+11\varepsilon-\varepsilon^2-15\varepsilon^3+4\varepsilon^5}{2(1-\varepsilon)(3+2\varepsilon)} \right]$$
(2.2)

 $(C_F = (N_C^2 - 1)/(2N_C)$ and $C_A = N_C$ are the eigenvalues of the quadratic Casimir operators of the fundamental and adjoint representation of $SU(N_C)$, respectively, and $T_F = 1/2$ is the index of the fundamental representation). The three-loop quantities P_{hg} , P_{hl} and P_{hh} are only available as an expansion in ε . The analytic results read

$$\begin{aligned} P_{hg} &= C_F^2 \frac{\varepsilon^2}{24} \left[17 - \frac{1}{8} \left(95\zeta_3 + \frac{274}{3} \right) \varepsilon + \cdots \right] \\ &- C_F C_A \frac{\varepsilon}{288} \left[89 - \left(36\zeta_3 - \frac{785}{6} \right) \varepsilon - 9 \left(4B_4 - \frac{\pi^4}{5} + \frac{1957}{24} \zeta_3 - \frac{10633}{162} \right) \varepsilon^2 + \cdots \right] \\ &+ \frac{C_A^2}{1152} \left[3\xi + 41 - \frac{1}{2} \left(21\xi - \frac{781}{3} \right) \varepsilon - \left(108\zeta_3 - \frac{137}{4} \xi - \frac{3181}{12} \right) \varepsilon^2 \\ &- \left(72B_4 - \frac{27}{5} \pi^4 - \left(24\xi - \frac{1805}{4} \right) \zeta_3 + \frac{1}{24} \left(3577\xi + \frac{42799}{9} \right) \right) \varepsilon^3 + \cdots \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$P_{hl} &= \frac{5}{72} C_F \varepsilon \left[1 - \frac{31}{30} \varepsilon + \frac{971}{180} \varepsilon^2 + \cdots \right] \\ &- \frac{C_A}{72} \left[1 + \frac{5}{6} \varepsilon + \frac{101}{12} \varepsilon^2 + \left(8\zeta_3 - \frac{3203}{216} \right) \varepsilon^3 + \cdots \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$P_{hh} &= C_F \frac{\varepsilon}{18} \left[1 - \frac{5}{6} \varepsilon + \frac{37}{22} \varepsilon^2 - \frac{1}{8} \left(287\zeta_3 - \frac{6361}{27} \right) \varepsilon^3 + \cdots \right],$$

$$(2.3)$$

where $\xi = 1 - a_0^{(n_f)}$, and [16]

$$B_4 = 16 \operatorname{Li}_4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{2}{3} \log^2 2(\log^2 2 - \pi^2) - \frac{13}{180}\pi^4.$$

A new result obtained in this paper is the analytic expression for $P_{bc}(x)$ which arises from diagrams where b and c quarks are simultaneously present in the loops (see Fig. 1 for typical diagrams). The analytic expression is given by

$$P_{bc}(x) = C_F \frac{\varepsilon}{9} \left[1 - \frac{5}{6}\varepsilon + p_F(x)\varepsilon^2 + \cdots \right]$$



Figure 1: Feynman diagrams contributing to the gluon self-energy. Thick and thin straight lines correspond to b and c quarks, respectively. Wavy lines represent gluons.



Figure 2: Feynman diagrams with two heavy-quark loops contributing to the ghost self-energy. The notation is adopted from Fig. 1.

$$-\frac{C_A}{72} \left[1 + \frac{35}{6}\varepsilon + \left(\frac{9}{2}L^2 + \frac{37}{12}\right)\varepsilon^2 + p_A(x)\varepsilon^3 + \cdots \right], \qquad (2.4)$$

with $L = \log x$,

$$p_F(x) = \frac{9}{128} \left[\frac{(1+x^2)(5-2x^2+5x^4)}{x^3} L_-(x) - \frac{5-38x^2+5x^4}{x^2} L^2 + 10\frac{1-x^4}{x^2} L - 10\frac{(1-x^2)^2}{x^2} \right] + \frac{109}{144},$$

$$p_A(x) = 24L_+(x) - \frac{3}{4} \frac{(1+x^2)(4+11x^2+4x^4)}{x^3} L_-(x) + \frac{(1+6x^2)(6+x^2)}{2x^2} L^2 - 6\frac{1-x^4}{x^2} L + 6\frac{(1-x^2)^2}{x^2} + 8\zeta_3 + \frac{6361}{216},$$

where the functions $L_{\pm}(x)$ are defined in (A.6). The function $P_{bc}(x)$ satisfies the properties

$$P_{bc}(x^{-1}) = P_{bc}(x), \quad P_{bc}(1) = 2P_{hh},$$
(2.5)

which are a check of our result. For $x \to 0$, the hard contribution to $P_{bc}(x)x^{-3\varepsilon}$ is given by P_{hl} . However, there is also a soft contribution, and it is not possible to obtain a relation between $P_{bc}(x \to 0)$ and P_{hl} if they are expanded in ε (this would be possible for a non-zero $\varepsilon < 0$, cf. (A.9)).

2.2 Ghost self-energy

The bare ghost self-energy at $q^2 = 0$ can be cast in the form

$$\Pi_{c}(0) = C_{h} \left(n_{b} m_{b0}^{-4\varepsilon} + n_{c} m_{c0}^{-4\varepsilon} \right) C_{A} T_{F} \left(\frac{\alpha_{s0}^{(n_{f})}}{\pi} \Gamma(\varepsilon) \right)^{2} \\ + \left[(C_{hg} + C_{hl} T_{F} n_{l}) \left(n_{b} m_{b0}^{-6\varepsilon} + n_{c} m_{c0}^{-6\varepsilon} \right) + C_{hh} T_{F} \left(n_{b}^{2} m_{b0}^{-6\varepsilon} + n_{c}^{2} m_{c0}^{-6\varepsilon} \right) \right. \\ \left. + C_{bc} \left(\frac{m_{c0}}{m_{b0}} \right) T_{F} n_{b} n_{c} \left(m_{b0} m_{c0} \right)^{-3\varepsilon} \right] C_{A} T_{F} \left(\frac{\alpha_{s0}^{(n_{f})}}{\pi} \Gamma(\varepsilon) \right)^{3} + \cdots, \qquad (2.6)$$

where the two-loop term is given by

$$C_h = -\frac{(1+\varepsilon)(3-2\varepsilon)}{16(1-\varepsilon)(2-\varepsilon)(1+2\varepsilon)(3+2\varepsilon)},$$
(2.7)

and the ε expansions of the single-scale three-loop coefficients read

$$C_{hg} = C_F \frac{\varepsilon}{64} \left[5 - \left(4\zeta_3 + \frac{9}{2} \right) \varepsilon - \left(4B_4 - \frac{\pi^4}{5} + \frac{57}{2}\zeta_3 - \frac{157}{4} \right) \varepsilon^2 + \cdots \right] + \frac{C_A}{2304} \left[3\xi - 47 - \frac{1}{2} \left(9\xi + \frac{83}{3} \right) \varepsilon + \left(108\zeta_3 + \frac{131}{4}\xi - \frac{9083}{36} \right) \varepsilon^2 + \left(72B_4 - \frac{27}{5}\pi^4 + (24\xi + 407)\zeta_3 - \frac{1}{24} \left(2239\xi - \frac{49795}{9} \right) \right) \varepsilon^3 + \cdots \right], C_{hl} = \frac{1}{144} \left[1 - \frac{5}{6}\varepsilon + \frac{337}{36}\varepsilon^2 + \left(8\zeta_3 - \frac{5261}{216} \right) \varepsilon^3 + \cdots \right], C_{hh} = \frac{1}{72} \left[1 - \frac{5}{6}\varepsilon + \frac{151}{36}\varepsilon^2 - \left(7\zeta_3 + \frac{461}{216} \right) \varepsilon^3 + \cdots \right].$$
(2.8)

The function $C_{bc}(x)$ is obtained from the diagram of Fig. 2 and can be written as

$$C_{bc}(x) = -\frac{3 - 2\varepsilon}{64(2 - \varepsilon)} I(x), \qquad (2.9)$$

with

$$\int \frac{\Pi_b(k^2)\Pi_c(k^2)}{(k^2)^2} d^d k = iT_F^2 \frac{\alpha_{s0}^2}{16\pi^{\varepsilon}} \Gamma^3(\varepsilon) (m_{b0}m_{c0})^{-3\varepsilon} I\left(\frac{m_{c0}}{m_{b0}}\right) , \qquad (2.10)$$

where $\Pi_b(k^2)$ and $\Pi_c(k^2)$ are the *b*- and *c*-loop contributions to the gluon self-energy. The integral I(x) is discussed in Appendix A where an analytic result is presented. In analogy to Eq. (2.5), we have

$$C_{bc}(x^{-1}) = C_{bc}(x), \quad C_{bc}(1) = 2C_{hh}.$$
 (2.11)

For a non-zero $\varepsilon < 0$, $C_{bc}(x \to 0) \to C_{hl} x^{3\varepsilon}$ (only the hard part survives in (A.9)).

2.3 Light-quark self-energy

The parts of the light-quark self-energy $\Sigma_V(0)$ and $\Sigma_S(0)$ (with vanishing light-quark masses) are conveniently written in the form

$$\Sigma_{V}(0) = V_{h} \left(n_{b} m_{b0}^{-4\varepsilon} + n_{c} m_{c0}^{-4\varepsilon} \right) C_{F} T_{F} \left(\frac{\alpha_{s0}^{(n_{f})}}{\pi} \Gamma(\varepsilon) \right)^{2} \\ + \left[\left(V_{hg} + V_{hl} T_{F} n_{l} \right) \left(n_{b} m_{b0}^{-6\varepsilon} + n_{c} m_{c0}^{-6\varepsilon} \right) + V_{hh} T_{F} \left(n_{b}^{2} m_{b0}^{-6\varepsilon} + n_{c}^{2} m_{c0}^{-6\varepsilon} \right) \right. \\ \left. + V_{bc} \left(\frac{m_{c0}}{m_{b0}} \right) T_{F} n_{b} n_{c} \left(m_{b0} m_{c0} \right)^{-3\varepsilon} \right] C_{F} T_{F} \left(\frac{\alpha_{s0}^{(n_{f})}}{\pi} \Gamma(\varepsilon) \right)^{3} + \cdots , \\ \Sigma_{S}(0) = S_{h} \left(n_{b} m_{b0}^{-4\varepsilon} + n_{c} m_{c0}^{-4\varepsilon} \right) C_{F} T_{F} \left(\frac{\alpha_{s0}^{(n_{f})}}{\pi} \Gamma(\varepsilon) \right)^{2} \\ \left. + \left[\left(S_{hg} + S_{hl} T_{F} n_{l} \right) \left(n_{b} m_{b0}^{-6\varepsilon} + n_{c} m_{c0}^{-6\varepsilon} \right) + S_{hh} T_{F} \left(n_{b}^{2} m_{b0}^{-6\varepsilon} + n_{c}^{2} m_{c0}^{-6\varepsilon} \right) \right. \\ \left. + S_{bc} \left(\frac{m_{c0}}{m_{b0}} \right) T_{F} n_{b} n_{c} \left(m_{b0} m_{c0} \right)^{-3\varepsilon} \right] C_{F} T_{F} \left(\frac{\alpha_{s0}^{(n_{f})}}{\pi} \Gamma(\varepsilon) \right)^{3} + \cdots , \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$V_h = -\frac{\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)(3-2\varepsilon)}{8(1-\varepsilon)(2-\varepsilon)(1+2\varepsilon)(3+2\varepsilon)}, \quad S_h = -\frac{(1+\varepsilon)(3-2\varepsilon)}{8(1-\varepsilon)(1+2\varepsilon)(3+2\varepsilon)}, \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$\begin{split} V_{hg} &= -C_F \frac{\varepsilon}{96} \left[1 - \frac{39}{2} \varepsilon + \left(12\zeta_3 + \frac{335}{12} \right) \varepsilon^2 + \cdots \right] \\ &+ \frac{C_A}{192} \left[\xi - 1 - \left(3\xi + \frac{10}{3} \right) \varepsilon + \frac{1}{3} \left(35\xi - \frac{227}{3} \right) \varepsilon^2 \\ &+ \left(8(\xi + 2)\zeta_3 - \frac{1}{9} \left(407\xi - \frac{1879}{6} \right) \right) \varepsilon^3 + \cdots \right], \\ V_{hl} &= \frac{\varepsilon}{72} \left[1 - \frac{5}{6} \varepsilon + \frac{337}{36} \varepsilon^2 + \cdots \right], \\ V_{hh} &= \frac{\varepsilon}{36} \left[1 - \frac{5}{6} \varepsilon + \frac{151}{36} \varepsilon^2 + \cdots \right], \\ S_{hg} &= C_F \frac{\varepsilon}{16} \left[5 - \left(4\zeta_3 + \frac{23}{3} \right) \varepsilon - \left(4B_4 - \frac{\pi^4}{5} + \frac{53}{2}\zeta_3 - \frac{257}{6} \right) \varepsilon^2 + \cdots \right] \\ &+ \frac{C_A}{576} \left[-3\xi - 41 + \left(9\xi - \frac{124}{3} \right) \varepsilon + \left(144\zeta_3 - 35\xi - \frac{836}{9} \right) \varepsilon^2 \\ &+ \left(72B_4 - \frac{36}{5} \pi^4 - (24\xi - 581)\zeta_3 + \frac{1}{3} \left(407\xi - \frac{9751}{9} \right) \right) \varepsilon^3 + \cdots \right], \\ S_{hl} &= \frac{1}{36} \left[1 - \frac{4}{3} \varepsilon + \frac{88}{9} \varepsilon^2 + 8 \left(\zeta_3 - \frac{98}{27} \right) \varepsilon^3 + \cdots \right], \end{split}$$

$$S_{hh} = \frac{1}{18} \left[1 - \frac{4}{3}\varepsilon + \frac{83}{18}\varepsilon^2 - \left(7\zeta_3 + \frac{457}{108}\right)\varepsilon^3 + \cdots \right].$$
(2.14)

Exact d-dimensional expressions for these coefficients have been obtained in [17].

The quantities $V_{bc}(x)$ and $S_{bc}(x)$ arise from diagrams similar to Fig. 2 and can be expressed in terms of I(x):

$$V_{bc}(x) = -\frac{\varepsilon(3-2\varepsilon)}{32(2-\varepsilon)}I(x), \quad S_{bc}(x) = -\frac{3-2\varepsilon}{32}I(x).$$
(2.15)

They satisfy the relations analogous to Eq. (2.5) which again serves as a welcome check of our calculation. Retaining only the hard part of (A.9) for $x \to 0$, we reproduce V_{hl} , S_{hl} . V_{bc} has been calculated up to $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)$ in Ref. [18].

2.4 Ghost–gluon vertex

The two-loop correction vanishes in the arbitrary covariant gauge exactly in ε , see Appendix B. For the same reasons, the three-loop correction contains only diagrams with a single quark loop (bottom or charm), and vanishes in Landau gauge:

$$\Gamma_{A\bar{c}c} = 1 + \Gamma_3 (1 - \xi) (n_b m_{b0}^{-6\varepsilon} + n_c m_{c0}^{-6\varepsilon}) C_A^2 T_F \left(\frac{\alpha_{s0}^{(n_f)}}{\pi} \Gamma(\varepsilon)\right)^3 + \cdots, \qquad (2.16)$$

$$\Gamma_3 = -\frac{1}{384} \left[1 - \frac{5}{2}\varepsilon + \frac{67}{6}\varepsilon^2 + \left(8\zeta_3 - \frac{727}{18}\right)\varepsilon^3 + \cdots \right].$$

3. Decoupling for α_s

The gauge parameter dependence cancels in the bare decoupling constant (1.5) (which relates $\alpha_{s0}^{(n_l)}$ to $\alpha_{s0}^{(n_f)}$, see Eq. (1.3)). Since the result is more compact we present analytical expressions for $(\zeta_{\alpha_s}^0)^{-1}$ which reads

$$\left(\zeta_{\alpha_{s}}^{0}\right)^{-1} = 1 + \frac{1}{3} \left(n_{b} m_{b0}^{-2\varepsilon} + n_{c} m_{c0}^{-2\varepsilon} \right) T_{F} \frac{\alpha_{s0}^{(n_{f})}}{\pi} \Gamma(\varepsilon) + Z_{h} \varepsilon T_{F} (n_{b} m_{b0}^{-4\varepsilon} + n_{c} m_{c0}^{-4\varepsilon}) \left(\frac{\alpha_{s0}^{(n_{f})}}{\pi} \Gamma(\varepsilon) \right)^{2} + \left[(Z_{hg} + Z_{hl} T_{F} n_{l}) \left(n_{b} m_{b0}^{-6\varepsilon} + n_{c} m_{c0}^{-6\varepsilon} \right) + Z_{hh} T_{F} \left(n_{b}^{2} m_{b0}^{-6\varepsilon} + n_{c}^{2} m_{c0}^{-6\varepsilon} \right) + Z_{bc} \left(\frac{m_{c0}}{m_{b0}} \right) T_{F} n_{b} n_{c} \left(m_{b0} m_{c0} \right)^{-3\varepsilon} \right] \varepsilon T_{F} \left(\frac{\alpha_{s0}^{(n_{f})}}{\pi} \Gamma(\varepsilon) \right)^{3} + \cdots,$$
(3.1)

where

$$Z_h = \frac{1}{4(2-\varepsilon)(1+2\varepsilon)} \left[-\frac{1}{3}C_F(9+7\varepsilon-10\varepsilon^2) + \frac{1}{2}C_A \frac{10+11\varepsilon-4\varepsilon^2-4\varepsilon^3}{3+2\varepsilon} \right],$$

$$Z_{hg} = \frac{C_F^2\varepsilon}{24} \left[17 - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{95}{2}\zeta_3 + \frac{137}{3} \right)\varepsilon + \cdots \right]$$

$$\begin{split} & -\frac{C_FC_A}{72}\left[11+\frac{257}{6}\varepsilon-\frac{1}{16}\left(\frac{3819}{2}\zeta_3-\frac{8549}{9}\right)\varepsilon^2+\cdots\right]\\ & +\frac{C_A^2}{216}\left[19+\frac{359}{24}\varepsilon+\frac{1}{32}\left(\frac{45}{2}\zeta_3-\frac{3779}{3}\right)\varepsilon^2+\cdots\right],\\ & Z_{hl}=\frac{C_F}{72}\left[5-\frac{31}{6}\varepsilon+\frac{971}{36}\varepsilon^2+\cdots\right]-\frac{C_A}{216}\left[5-\frac{17}{6}\varepsilon+\frac{343}{12}\varepsilon^2+\cdots\right],\\ & Z_{hh}=\frac{C_F}{18}\left[1-\frac{5}{6}\varepsilon+\frac{1}{16}\left(\frac{63}{2}\zeta_3+\frac{109}{9}\right)\varepsilon^2+\cdots\right]\\ & -\frac{C_A}{108}\left[5-\frac{113}{24}\varepsilon-\frac{1}{16}\left(\frac{189}{2}\zeta_3-311\right)\varepsilon^2+\cdots\right],\\ & Z_{bc}(x)=\frac{C_F}{9}\left[1-\frac{5}{6}\varepsilon+z_F(x)\varepsilon^2+\cdots\right]-\frac{C_A}{54}\left[5-\frac{113}{24}\varepsilon+z_A(x)\varepsilon^2+\cdots\right]\\ & z_F(x)=\frac{9}{64}\left[\frac{(1+x^2)(5-2x^2+5x^4)}{2x^3}L_-(x)\\ & -\frac{5-38x^2+5x^4}{2x^2}L^2+5\frac{1-x^4}{x^2}L-5\frac{(1-x^2)^2}{x^2}\right]+\frac{109}{144},\\ & z_A(x)=\frac{3}{16}\left[-9\frac{(1+x^2)(1+x^4)}{2x^3}L_-(x)\\ & +\frac{9+92x^2+9x^4}{2x^2}L^2-9\frac{1-x^4}{x^2}L+9\frac{(1-x^2)^2}{x^2}\right]+\frac{311}{16}. \end{split}$$

Note that $Z_{bc}(x^{-1}) = Z_{bc}(x)$, $Z_{bc}(1) = 2Z_{hh}$. If desired, the vertices $\Gamma_{A\bar{q}q}$ and Γ_{AAA} can be reconstructed using Eq. (1.5).

In order to relate the renormalized couplings $\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\mu)$ and $\alpha_s^{(n_l)}(\mu)$, we first express all bare quantities in the right-hand side of the equation

$$\alpha_{s0}^{(n_l)} = \zeta_{\alpha_s}^0(\alpha_{s0}^{(n_f)}, m_{b0}, m_{c0})\alpha_{s0}^{(n_f)}$$

via the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalized ones [19, 20, 21, 22]

$$\frac{\alpha_{s0}^{(n_f)}}{\pi}\Gamma(\varepsilon) = \frac{\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\mu)}{\pi\varepsilon} Z_{\alpha}^{(n_f)}\left(\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\mu)\right) e^{\gamma_E \varepsilon} \Gamma(1+\varepsilon)\mu^{2\varepsilon} , \qquad (3.2)$$

$$m_{b0} = Z_m^{(n_f)} \left(\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\mu) \right) m_b(\mu)$$
(3.3)

(and similarly for m_{c0}). This leads to an equation where $\alpha_{s0}^{(n_l)}$ is expressed via the n_f -flavour $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalized quantities⁴ $\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\mu)$, $m_c(\mu)$ and $m_b(\mu)$. In a next step we invert the series

$$\frac{\alpha_{s0}^{(n_l)}}{\pi}\Gamma(\varepsilon) = \frac{\alpha_s^{(n_l)}(\mu')}{\pi\varepsilon} Z_{\alpha}^{(n_l)} \left(\alpha_s^{(n_l)}(\mu')\right) e^{\gamma_E \varepsilon} \Gamma(1+\varepsilon) \left(\mu'\right)^{2\varepsilon}$$

⁴Note that the masses $m_c(\mu)$ and $m_b(\mu)$ (and m_{c0} , m_{b0}) are those in the full n_f -flavour QCD. They do not exist in the low-energy n_l -flavour QCD, and therefore we do not assign a superscript n_f to these masses.

to express $\alpha_s^{(n_l)}(\mu')$ via $\alpha_{s0}^{(n_l)}$, and substitute the series for $\alpha_{s0}^{(n_l)}$ derived above. In order to obtain compact formulae it is convenient to set $\mu = \bar{m}_b$ where \bar{m}_b is defined as the root of the equation $m_b(\bar{m}_b) = \bar{m}_b$. Furthermore, we choose $\mu' = m_c(\bar{m}_b)$ and thus obtain $\alpha_s^{(n_l)}(m_c(\bar{m}_b))$ as a series in $\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)$ with coefficients depending on

$$x = \frac{m_c(\bar{m}_b)}{\bar{m}_b}.$$
(3.4)

We obtain $(L = \log x)$

$$\zeta_{\alpha_s}(m_c(\bar{m}_b), \bar{m}_b) = e^{-2L\varepsilon} \left[1 + d_1 \frac{\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)}{\pi} + d_2 \left(\frac{\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)}{\pi} \right)^2 + d_3 \left(\frac{\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)}{\pi} \right)^3 + \cdots \right],$$
(3.5)

where

$$\begin{split} d_1 &= -\left[11C_A - 4T_F(n_l + n_c)\right] \frac{L}{6} + \left\{\left[11C_A - 4T_F(n_l + n_c)\right]L^2 - T_F(n_b + n_c)\frac{\pi^2}{6}\right\} \frac{\varepsilon}{6} \\ &- \left\{\left[11C_A - 4T_F(n_l + n_c)\right]L^3 - T_Fn_c\frac{\pi^2}{2}L - T_F(n_b + n_c)\zeta_3\right\} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{9} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3), \\ d_2 &= \left[11C_A - 4T_F(n_l + n_c)\right]^2\frac{L^2}{36} - \left[17C_A^2 - 6C_FT_F(n_l - n_c) - 10C_AT_F(n_l + n_c)\right]\frac{L}{12} \\ &- \frac{(39C_F - 32C_A)T_F(n_b + n_c)}{144} \\ &+ \left\{- \left[11C_A - 4T_F(n_l + n_c)\right]^2\frac{L^3}{18} \right] \\ &+ \left[17C_A^2 - 6C_FT_F(n_l - 2n_c) - 10C_AT_F(n_l + n_c)\right]\frac{L^2}{6} \\ &+ T_F\left[\frac{13}{12}C_Fn_c + \frac{C_A}{9}\left(\frac{11}{12}\pi^2(n_b + n_c) - 8n_c\right) - T_F\frac{\pi^2}{27}(n_b + n_c)(n_l + n_c)\right]L \\ &+ \left[\frac{C_F}{4}\left(\pi^2 + \frac{35}{2}\right) - \frac{C_A}{3}\left(\frac{5}{4}\pi^2 + \frac{43}{3}\right)\right]\frac{T_F(n_b + n_c)}{12}\right]\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), \\ d_3 &= -\frac{\left[11C_A - 4T_F(n_l + n_c)\right]^3}{216}L^3 \\ &+ \left[\frac{935}{24}C_A^3 - \frac{55}{4}C_FC_AT_F(n_l - n_c) - \frac{445}{12}C_A^2T_F(n_l + n_c) \right] \\ &+ 5C_FT_F^2(n_l^2 - n_c^2) + \frac{25}{3}C_AT_F^2(n_l + n_c)^2\right]\frac{L^2}{6} \\ &+ \left[-\frac{2857}{1728}C_A^3 - C_F^2T_F\frac{n_l - 9n_c}{16} + \frac{C_FC_AT_F}{48}\left(\frac{205}{6}n_l - 19n_c + \frac{143}{3}n_b\right) \right] \\ &+ \frac{C_A^2T_F}{27}\left(\frac{1415}{32}n_l + \frac{359}{32}n_c - 22n_b\right) - C_FT_F^2\frac{(n_l + n_c)(11n_l + 30n_c) + 26n_ln_b}{72} \\ &- C_AT_F^2\frac{(n_l + n_c)(79n_l - 113n_c) - 128n_ln_b}{432}\right]L \\ &+ \left[\frac{C_F^2}{96}\left(\frac{95}{2}\zeta_3 - \frac{97}{3}\right) - \frac{C_FCA}{96}\left(\frac{1273}{8}\zeta_3 - \frac{2999}{27}\right) - \frac{C_A^2}{768}\left(\frac{5}{2}\zeta_3 - \frac{11347}{27}\right) \end{split}$$

$$-\frac{41}{162}C_F T_F n_l - \frac{C_F T_F (n_b + n_c)}{16} \left(\frac{7}{4}\zeta_3 - \frac{103}{81}\right) - \frac{C_A T_F n_l}{2592} - \frac{7}{64}C_A T_F (n_b + n_c) \left(\frac{1}{2}\zeta_3 - \frac{35}{81}\right) \right] T_F (n_b + n_c) + T_F^2 n_b n_c \left(C_F d_F(x) + C_A d_A(x)\right) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) .$$

The functions

$$d_F(x) = -\frac{(1+x^2)(5-2x^2+5x^4)}{128x^3}L_-(x) + \frac{7}{32}\zeta_3 + \left[\frac{5}{4}\frac{(1-x^2)^2}{x^2} + \frac{11}{3}\right]\frac{L^2}{32} - \frac{5}{4}\left[\frac{1-x^4}{16x^2} + \frac{1}{3}\right]L + \frac{5}{64}\frac{(1-x^2)^2}{x^2} d_A(x) = -\frac{(1+x^2)(1+x^4)}{64x^3}L_-(x) + \frac{7}{64}\zeta_3 + \left[\frac{(1-x^2)^2}{2x^2} + \frac{5}{3}\right]\frac{L^2}{32} - \left[\frac{1-x^4}{2x^2} - \frac{113}{27}\right]\frac{L}{16} + \frac{(1-x^2)^2}{32x^2}$$

are defined in such a way that $d_{F,A}(1) = 0$. Thus for x = 1 Eq. (3.5) reduces to the ordinary decoupling of $n_b + n_c$ flavours with the same mass [3]. For $x \ll 1$ the functions $d_F(x)$ and $d_A(x)$ become

$$d_F(x) = -\frac{1}{36} \left(13L - \frac{89}{12} \right) + \frac{7}{32} \zeta_3 + \left(2L + \frac{13}{30} \right) \frac{x^2}{15} + \cdots$$
$$d_A(x) = \frac{1}{27} \left(8L - \frac{41}{16} \right) + \frac{7}{64} \zeta_3 - \left(\frac{1}{2} L^2 - \frac{121}{30} L + \frac{19}{225} \right) \frac{x^2}{60} + \cdots$$
(3.6)

An expression for $\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)$ via $\alpha_s^{(n_l)}(m_c(\bar{m}_b))$ can be obtained by inverting the series (3.5). If one wants to express $\alpha_s^{(n_l)}(\mu_c)$ as a truncated series in $\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\mu_b)$ (without resummation) for some other choice of $\mu_b \sim m_b$ and $\mu_c \sim m_c$, this can be easily done in three steps: (i) run from μ_b to \bar{m}_b in the n_f -flavour theory (without resummation); (ii) use Eq. (3.5) for the decoupling; and (iii) run from $m_c(\bar{m}_b)$ to μ_c in the n_l -flavour theory (without resummation). After that, relating $\alpha_s^{(n_l)}(\mu')$ and $\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\mu)$ for any values of μ and μ' (possibly widely separated from m_b and m_c) can be done in a similar way: (i) run from μ to μ_b in the n_f -flavour theory (with resummation); (ii) use the decoupling relation derived above; and (iii) run from μ_c to μ' in the n_l -flavour theory (with resummation). The steps (i) and (iii) can conveniently be performed using the program RunDec [23].

In the case of QCD ($T_F = 1/2$, $C_A = 3$, $C_F = 4/3$, $n_b = n_c = 1$) the decoupling constant in Eq. (3.5) reduces to (for $\varepsilon = 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\alpha_s}(m_c(\bar{m}_b), \bar{m}_b) &= 1 + \frac{2n_l - 31}{6} L \frac{\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)}{\pi} \\ &+ \left[\frac{(2n_l - 31)^2}{36} L^2 + \frac{19n_l - 142}{12} L + \frac{11}{36} \right] \left(\frac{\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)}{\pi} \right)^2 \\ &+ \left[\frac{(2n_l - 31)^3}{216} L^3 + \left(\frac{95}{9} n_l^2 - \frac{485}{2} n_l + \frac{58723}{48} \right) \frac{L^2}{8} \end{aligned}$$

$$-\left(\frac{325}{6}n_l^2 - \frac{15049}{6}n_l + 12853\right)\frac{L}{288} - \frac{(1+x^2)(19-4x^2+19x^4)}{768x^3}L_-(x) + \frac{19}{768}\left(\frac{(1-x^2)^2}{x^2}(L^2+2) - 2\frac{1-x^4}{x^2}L\right) - \frac{1}{1728}\left(\frac{82043}{8}\zeta_3 + \frac{2633}{9}n_l - \frac{572437}{36}\right)\left[\left(\frac{\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)}{\pi}\right)^3 + \cdots \right]$$
(3.7)

For $x \ll 1$ the coefficient of $(\alpha_s/\pi)^3$ becomes

$$\frac{(2n_l-31)^3}{216}L^3 + \frac{5(2n_l-31)(19n_l-142)}{144}L^2 - \frac{325n_l^2 - 15049n_l + 77041}{1728}L - \frac{1}{1728}\left(\frac{82043}{8}\zeta_3 + \frac{2633}{9}n_l - \frac{563737}{36}\right) - \left(L^2 - \frac{683}{45}L - \frac{926}{675}\right)\frac{x^2}{160} + \mathcal{O}(x^4).$$

4. Decoupling for the light-quark masses

The bare quark mass decoupling coefficient ζ_m^0 of Eq. (1.2) is determined by $\Sigma_V(0)$ and $\Sigma_S(0)$, see Eq. (2.12); it is gauge parameter independent. The renormalized decoupling constant ζ_m in Eq. (1.7) (see [21, 22] for the mass renormalization constants) can be obtained by re-expressing $\alpha_s^{(n_l)}$ in the denominator via $\alpha_s^{(n_f)}$ (cf. Sect. 3; note that in ζ_{α_s} positive powers of ε should be kept). Our result reads

$$\zeta_m(m_c(\bar{m}_b), \bar{m}_b) = 1 + d_1^m C_F \frac{\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)}{\pi} + d_2^m C_F \left(\frac{\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)}{\pi}\right)^2 + d_3^m C_F \left(\frac{\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)}{\pi}\right)^3 + \cdots,$$
(4.1)

where

$$\begin{split} d_1^m &= -\frac{3}{2}L\left(1 - L\varepsilon + \frac{2}{3}L^2\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)\right),\\ d_2^m &= \left[9C_F + 11C_A - 4T_F(n_l + n_c)\right]\frac{L^2}{8} - \left[9C_F + 97C_A - 20T_F(n_l + n_c)\right]\frac{L}{48} \\ &+ \frac{89}{288}T_F(n_b + n_c) \\ &+ \left\{-\left[9C_F + 11C_A - 4T_F(n_l + n_c)\right]\frac{L^3}{4} + \left[9C_F + 97C_A - 20T_F(n_l + n_c)\right]\frac{L^2}{24} \\ &+ \frac{3\pi^2n_b - 89n_c}{72}T_FL - \left(5\pi^2 + \frac{869}{6}\right)T_F\frac{n_b + n_c}{288}\right\}\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2),\\ d_3^m &= \left[-\frac{(9C_F + 11C_A)(9C_F + 22C_A)}{16} + \frac{27C_F + 44C_A}{4}T_F(n_l + n_c) \\ &- T_F^2(2(n_l + n_c)^2 - n_bn_c)\right]\frac{L^3}{9} \\ &+ \left[\frac{9}{4}C_F^2 + 27C_FC_A + \frac{1373}{36}C_A^2 - \left(9C_F + \frac{197}{9}C_A\right)T_F(n_l + n_c) \\ &+ T_F^2\frac{20(n_l + n_c)^2 - 29n_bn_c}{9}\right]\frac{L^2}{8} \end{split}$$

$$+ \left[-129C_F\left(C_F - \frac{C_A}{2}\right) - \frac{11413}{54}C_A^2 - 96(C_F - C_A)T_F(n_l + n_c)\zeta_3 \\ + 4C_FT_F\left(23n_l + \frac{67}{12}n_c - \frac{11}{12}n_b\right) + \frac{8}{3}C_AT_F\left(\frac{139}{9}n_l - \frac{47}{4}n_c - 8n_b\right) \\ + \frac{8}{27}T_F^2\left((n_l + n_c)(35n_l + 124n_c) + 124n_bn_c\right)\right]\frac{L}{64} \\ + \left[\frac{C_F}{4}\left(B_4 - \frac{\pi^4}{20} + \frac{57}{8}\zeta_3 - \frac{683}{144}\right) - \frac{C_A}{8}\left(B_4 - \frac{\pi^4}{10} + \frac{629}{72}\zeta_3 - \frac{16627}{1944}\right) \\ + \frac{T_F}{18}\left(-(4n_l - 7(n_b + n_c))\zeta_3 + \frac{2654n_l - 1685(n_b + n_c)}{432}\right)\right]T_F(n_b + n_c) \\ + \left[-64L_+(x) + \frac{(1 + x^2)(5 + 22x^2 + 5x^4)}{x^3}L_-(x) - 96\zeta_3 \\ - 5\left(\frac{(1 - x^2)^2}{x^2}(L^2 + 2) - 2\frac{1 - x^4}{x^2}L\right)\right]\frac{T_F^2n_bn_c}{96} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \,.$$

At x = 1 this result reduces to the ordinary decoupling of $n_b + n_c$ flavours with the same mass [3].

Specifying to QCD leads to (for $\varepsilon=0)$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_m(m_c(\bar{m}_b), \bar{m}_b) &= 1 - 2L \frac{\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)}{\pi} \\ &+ \left[-\left(n_l - \frac{43}{2}\right) \frac{L^2}{3} + \left(5n_l - \frac{293}{2}\right) \frac{L}{18} + \frac{89}{216} \right] \left(\frac{\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)}{\pi}\right)^2 \\ &+ \left[-2\left(n_l^2 - 40n_l + \frac{1589}{4}\right) \frac{L^3}{27} + \left(\frac{5}{3}n_l^2 - \frac{679}{6}n_l + \frac{2497}{2}\right) \frac{L^2}{18} \\ &+ \left(5\zeta_3(n_l + 1) + \frac{1}{72}\left(\frac{35}{3}n_l^2 + 607n_l - \frac{103771}{12}\right)\right) \frac{L}{3} - \frac{2}{9}L_+(x) \\ &+ \frac{(1 + x^2)(5 + 22x^2 + 5x^4)}{288x^3}L_-(x) - \frac{5}{288}\left(\frac{(1 - x^2)^2}{x^2}(L^2 + 2) - 2\frac{1 - x^4}{x^2}L\right) \\ &- \frac{1}{18}\left(B_4 - \frac{\pi^4}{2} + \frac{8}{3}\zeta_3n_l - \frac{439}{24}\zeta_3 - \frac{1327}{324}n_l - \frac{21923}{648}\right) \right] \left(\frac{\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)}{\pi}\right)^3 + \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.2)$$

where for $x \ll 1$ the coefficient of $(\alpha_s/\pi)^3$ takes the form

$$-2\left(n_l^2 - 40n_l + \frac{1591}{4}\right)\frac{L^3}{27} + \left(5n_l^2 - \frac{679}{2}n_l + \frac{15011}{4}\right)\frac{L^2}{54}$$
$$\left[5\zeta_3(n_l+1) + \frac{1}{72}\left(\frac{35}{3}n_l^2 + 607n_l - \frac{104267}{12}\right)\right]\frac{L}{3}$$
$$-\frac{1}{18}\left(B_4 - \frac{\pi^4}{2} + \frac{8}{3}\zeta_3n_l + \frac{439}{24}\zeta_3 - \frac{1327}{324}n_l - \frac{24935}{648}\right)$$
$$-\left(2L - \frac{47}{30}\right)\frac{x^2}{15} + \mathcal{O}(x^4).$$

5. Decoupling for the fields

5.1 Gluon field and the gauge parameter

Decoupling of the gluon field and the gauge fixing parameter are given by the same quantity ζ_A^0 (cf. (1.2)):

$$a_0^{(n_l)} = a_0^{(n_f)} \zeta_A^0(\alpha_{s0}^{(n_f)}, a_0^{(n_f)}, m_{b0}, m_{c0}).$$
(5.1)

In a first step we replace the bare quantities in the right-hand side via the renormalized ones using Eqs. (3.2), (3.3), and [24, 25, 20]

$$a_0^{(n_f)} = Z_A^{(n_f)} \left(\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\mu), a^{(n_f)}(\mu) \right) a^{(n_f)}(\mu) , \qquad (5.2)$$

and thus we express $a_0^{(n_l)}$ via the n_f -flavour renormalized quantities. In a next step we can find $a^{(n_l)}(\mu')$ in terms of $a_0^{(n_l)}$ by solving the equation

$$a_0^{(n_l)} = Z_A^{(n_l)} \left(\alpha_s^{(n_l)}(\mu'), a^{(n_l)}(\mu') \right) a^{(n_l)}(\mu')$$
(5.3)

iteratively. The result reads

$$\zeta_A(m_c(\bar{m}_b), \bar{m}_b) = 1 + d_1^A \frac{\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)}{\pi} + d_2^A \left(\frac{\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)}{\pi}\right)^2 + d_3^A \left(\frac{\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)}{\pi}\right)^3 + \cdots, \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$\begin{split} d_1^A &= -\frac{C_A(3a-13)+8T_F(n_l+n_c)}{12}L \\ &+ \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} C_A(3a-13)+8T_F(n_l+n_c) \end{bmatrix} L^2 + T_F(n_b+n_c) \frac{\pi^2}{3} \right\} \frac{\varepsilon}{12} \\ &- \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} C_A(3a-13)+8T_F(n_l+n_c) \end{bmatrix} L^3 + T_Fn_c \pi^2 L + 2T_F(n_b+n_c) \zeta_3 \right\} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{18} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) \,, \\ d_2^A &= C_A \frac{2a+3}{96} \begin{bmatrix} C_A(3a-13)+8T_F(n_l+n_c) \end{bmatrix} L^2 \\ &- \begin{bmatrix} C_A^2 \frac{2a^2+11a-59}{64} + C_F T_F \frac{n_l-n_c}{2} + \frac{5}{8} C_A T_F(n_l+n_c) \end{bmatrix} L \\ &+ \frac{13}{192} (4C_F - C_A) T_F(n_b+n_c) \\ &+ \left\{ -C_A \frac{2a+3}{48} \begin{bmatrix} C_A(3a-13)+8T_F(n_l+n_c) \end{bmatrix} L^3 \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} C_A^2 \frac{2a^2+11a-59}{32} + C_F T_F(n_l-2n_c) + \frac{5}{4} C_A T_F(n_l+n_c) \end{bmatrix} L^2 \\ &- T_F \begin{bmatrix} 13C_Fn_c + C_A \frac{\pi^2(n_c(a+3)+n_ba)-39n_c}{12} \end{bmatrix} \frac{L}{12} \\ &- \begin{bmatrix} C_F(2\pi^2+35) - \frac{C_A}{2} \left(5\pi^2 + \frac{169}{6} \right) \end{bmatrix} \frac{T_F(n_b+n_c)}{96} \right\} \varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \,, \\ d_3^A &= \frac{C_A}{18} \begin{bmatrix} -C_A^2 \frac{(3a-13)(6a^2+18a+31)}{64} - C_A T_F(n_l+n_c) \frac{6a^2+15a+44}{8} \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &+T_F^2((n_l+n_c)^2+n_bn_c)\bigg]L^3\\ &+\bigg[\frac{C_A^3}{128}\bigg(\frac{5}{2}a^3+\frac{29}{3}a^2-17a-\frac{3361}{18}\bigg)+C_FC_AT_F\frac{6a(n_l-n_c)+31n_l-49n_c}{48}\\ &+\frac{C_A^2T_F(n_l+n_c)}{16}\bigg(\frac{a^2}{3}+3a+\frac{401}{18}\bigg)-\frac{C_FT_F^2}{6}\bigg(n_l^2-n_c^2+\frac{11}{16}n_bn_c\bigg)\\ &-\frac{C_AT_F^2}{18}\bigg(5(n_l+n_c)^2+\frac{73}{16}n_bn_c\bigg)\bigg]L^2\\ &+\bigg[-\frac{C_A^3}{1024}\bigg(6\zeta_3(a+1)(a+3)+7a^3+33a^2+167a-\frac{9965}{9}\bigg)+C_F^2T_F\frac{n_l-9n_c}{16}\\ &-\frac{C_FC_AT_F}{4}\bigg(3\zeta_3(n_l+n_c)+\frac{13}{48}a(n_b+n_c)+\frac{1}{36}\bigg(\frac{5}{4}n_l-227n_c\bigg)\bigg)\\ &+\frac{C_A^2T_F}{16}\bigg(9\zeta_3(n_l+n_c)+a\bigg(n_l+\frac{61}{8}n_c-\frac{25}{72}n_b\bigg)\\ &-\frac{1}{36}\bigg(911n_l+\frac{3241}{4}n_c-\frac{1157}{12}n_b\bigg)\bigg)\\ &+C_FT_F^2\frac{(n_l+n_c)(11n_l+4n_c)+4n_bn_c}{72}\\ &+\frac{C_AT_F^2}{32}\bigg(\frac{(n_l+n_c)(76n_l+63n_c)}{9}+n_b\bigg(7n_c-\frac{178}{54}n_l\bigg)\bigg)\bigg]L\\ &+\bigg[-\frac{C_A^2}{2}\bigg(g_2^5\zeta_3-\frac{97}{3}\bigg)+C_FC_A\bigg(B_4-\frac{\pi^4}{20}+\frac{1957}{96}\zeta_3-\frac{36979}{2592}\bigg)\\ &-\frac{C_A^2}{2}\bigg(B_4-\frac{3\pi^4}{40}+\frac{\zeta_3a}{3}+\frac{1709}{288}\zeta_3-\frac{677}{182}a+\frac{22063}{3888}\bigg)\\ &+\frac{164}{81}C_FT_Fn_l+C_FT_F(n_b+n_c)\bigg(\frac{7}{8}\zeta_3-\frac{103}{162}\bigg)\\ &-\frac{C_AT_Fn_l}{9}\bigg(8\zeta_3-\frac{665}{54}\bigg)+\frac{C_AT_F(n_b+n_c)}{18}\bigg(\frac{287}{8}\zeta_3-\frac{605}{27}\bigg)\bigg]\frac{T_F(n_b+n_c)}{8}\\ &+T_F^2n_bn_c\bigg[-\frac{C_A}{3}L_+(x)+\frac{1+x^2}{32x^3}\bigg(C_F\frac{5-2x^2+5x^4}{4}+C_A\frac{4+11x^2+4x^4}{3}\bigg)L_-(x)\\ &-\frac{14C_F+39C_A}{64}\zeta_3\\ &-\bigg(\frac{5}{16}C_F+\frac{C_A}{3}\bigg)\bigg(\frac{(1-x^2)^2}{8x^2}(L^2+2)-\frac{1-x^4}{4x^2}L\bigg)\bigg]+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)\,, \end{split}$$

with $a \equiv a^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)$. The easiest way to express $a^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)$ via $a^{(n_l)}(m_c(\bar{m}_b))$ is to reexpress $\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)$ via $\alpha_s^{(n_l)}(m_c(\bar{m}_b))$ in the right-hand side of the equation $a^{(n_l)}(m_c(\bar{m}_b)) = a^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)\zeta_A(\bar{m}_b, m_c(\bar{m}_b))$ and then solve it for $a^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)$ iteratively.

5.2 Light-quark fields

The bare decoupling coefficient ζ_q^0 of Eq. (1.2) is determined by $\Sigma_V(0)$ (cf. Eq. (2.12)). The renormalized version ζ_q (1.7) can be obtained (see Refs. [24, 26, 20] for the three-loop wave function renormalization constant) by re-expressing $\alpha_s^{(n_l)}$ and $a^{(n_l)}$ in the denominator via

the n_f -flavour quantities (see Sects. 3 and 5.1; note that positive powers of ε should be kept). The result can be cast in the form

$$\zeta_q(m_c(\bar{m}_b), \bar{m}_b) = 1 + d_1^q C_F \frac{\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)}{\pi} + d_2^q C_F \left(\frac{\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)}{\pi}\right)^2 + d_3^q C_F \left(\frac{\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)}{\pi}\right)^3 + \cdots,$$
(5.5)

where

$$\begin{split} d_1^q &= -\frac{a}{2}L\left(1 - L\varepsilon + \frac{2}{3}L^2\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)\right), \\ d_2^q &= \frac{a}{16}\left[2C_Fa + C_A(a+3)\right]L^2 + \left(6C_F - C_A(a^2 + 8a + 25) + 8T_F(n_l + n_c)\right)\frac{L}{32} \\ &+ \frac{5}{96}T_F(n_b + n_c) \\ &- \left[a\left[2C_Fa + C_A(a+3)\right]L^3 + \left(6C_F - C_A(a^2 + 8a + 25) + 8T_F(n_l + n_c)\right)\frac{L^2}{2} \\ &+ \frac{5}{3}T_Fn_cL + \frac{T_F(n_b + n_c)}{12}\left(\pi^2 + \frac{89}{6}\right)\right]\frac{\varepsilon}{8} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), \\ d_3^q &= \frac{a}{8}\left[-C_F^2\frac{a^2}{6} - C_FC_A\frac{a(a+3)}{4} - C_A^2\frac{2a^2 + 9a + 31}{24} + C_AT_F\frac{n_l + n_c}{3}\right]L^3 \\ &+ \left[-\frac{3}{32}C_F^2a + C_FC_A\frac{a^3 + 8a^2 + 25a - 22}{64} + \frac{C_A^2}{64}\left(a^3 + \frac{25}{4}a^2 + \frac{343}{12}a + \frac{275}{3}\right)\right) \\ &- T_F\frac{n_l + n_c}{8}\left(C_F(a-1) + C_A\frac{13a + 94}{12}\right) + T_F^2\frac{(n_l + n_c)^2}{6}\right]L^2 \\ &+ \left[-\frac{3}{64}C_F^2 - \frac{C_FC_A}{8}\left(3\zeta_3 - \frac{143}{16}\right) \\ &- \frac{C_A^2}{512}\left(6\zeta_3(a^2 + 2a - 23) + 5a^3 + \frac{39}{2}a^2 + \frac{263}{2}a + \frac{9155}{9}\right) \\ &- \frac{C_FT_F}{288}\left(\frac{153(n_l + n_c) - 89n_b}{4}a + 287n_l + 232n_c\right) - \frac{5}{72}T_F^2n_l(n_l + n_c)\right]L \\ &+ \left[-C_F\left(3\zeta_3 + \frac{155}{48}\right) - C_A\left(\zeta_3(a-3) - \frac{1}{72}\left(\frac{2387}{8}a + \frac{1187}{3}\right)\right) \\ &+ \frac{35}{2592}T_F(2n_l + n_b + n_c)\right]\frac{T_F(n_b + n_c)}{24} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \,. \end{split}$$

Note that the power corrections in x drop out in the sum of all diagrams. For x = 1 this result reduces to the ordinary decoupling of $n_b + n_c$ flavours with the same mass [3] (see Ref. [17] for an expression in terms of C_A and C_F).

5.3 Ghost field

The bare decoupling coefficient ζ_c^0 in Eq. (1.2) is determined by $\Pi_c(0)$ as given in Eq. (2.6). The renormalized decoupling constant ζ_c of Eq. (1.7) is given by (see Refs. [25, 20] for the corresponding renormalization constant)

$$\zeta_c(m_c(\bar{m}_b), \bar{m}_b) = 1 + d_1^c C_A \frac{\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)}{\pi} + d_2^c C_A \left(\frac{\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)}{\pi}\right)^2 + d_3^c C_A \left(\frac{\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\bar{m}_b)}{\pi}\right)^3 + \cdots,$$
(5.6)

where

$$\begin{split} d_1^c &= -\frac{a-3}{8} L \left(1 - L\varepsilon + \frac{2}{3} L^2 \varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) \right), \\ d_2^c &= \left[C_A \frac{3a^2 - 35}{16} + T_F(n_l + n_c) \right] \frac{L^2}{8} + \left[C_A \frac{3a + 95}{8} - 5T_F(n_l + n_c) \right] \frac{L}{48} \\ &\quad - \frac{89}{1152} T_F(n_b + n_c) \\ &\quad + \left\{ - \left[C_A \frac{3a^2 - 35}{16} + T_F(n_l + n_c) \right] \frac{L^3}{4} - \left[C_A \frac{3a + 95}{8} - 5T_F(n_l + n_c) \right] \frac{L^2}{24} \\ &\quad - T_F \frac{3\pi^2 n_b - 89n_c}{288} L + \frac{T_F(n_b + n_c)}{1152} \left(5\pi^2 + \frac{869}{6} \right) \right\} \varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), \\ d_3^c &= \left[-\frac{C_A^2}{256} \left(5a^3 + 9a^2 - \frac{35}{3}a - \frac{2765}{9} \right) - C_A T_F(n_l + n_c) \frac{3a + 149}{144} \\ &\quad + \frac{T_F^2}{9} (2(n_l + n_c)^2 - n_b n_c) \right] \frac{L^3}{4} \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{C_A^2}{16} \left(a^3 + \frac{9}{2}a^2 - \frac{11}{3}a - \frac{5773}{18} \right) + \left(3C_F + C_A \frac{3a + 545}{36} \right) T_F(n_l + n_c) \\ &\quad - \frac{T_F^2}{9} (20(n_l + n_c)^2 - 29n_b n_c) \right] \frac{L^2}{32} \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{C_A^2}{128} \left(3\zeta_3(a + 1)(a + 3) - \frac{3}{2}a^3 - 3a^2 - 17a + \frac{15817}{54} \right) \\ &\quad + C_F T_F \left(3\zeta_3(n_l + n_c) + \frac{45n_l + 25n_c + 13n_b}{16} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{C_A T_F}{32} \left(-72\zeta_3(n_l + n_c) + \frac{252n_l + 341n_c - 89n_b}{36} a - \frac{194}{27} n_l + \frac{695n_c + 167n_b}{12} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{T_F^2}{27} \left(\frac{(n_l + n_c)(35n_l + 124n_c)}{4} + 31n_b n_c \right) \right] \frac{L}{8} \\ &\quad + \left[-\frac{C_F}{2} \left(B_4 - \frac{\pi^4}{40} + \frac{5}{8}\zeta_3 - \frac{481}{96} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{4}{9} T_F n_l \left(\zeta_3 - \frac{1327}{864} \right) - \frac{T_F(n_b + n_c)}{9} \left(7\zeta_3 - \frac{1685}{432} \right) \right] \frac{T_F(n_b + n_c)}{8} \\ &\quad + \frac{T_F^2 n_b n_c}{6} \left[L_+ - \frac{(1 + x^2)(5 + 22x^2 + 5x^4)}{64x^3} L_- + \frac{3}{2}\zeta_3 \\ &\quad + \frac{5}{64} \left(\frac{(1 - x^2)^2}{x^2} (L^2 + 2) - 2\frac{1 - x^4}{x^2} L \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) . \end{split}$$



Figure 3: $\alpha_s^{(5)}(M_Z)$ as obtained from $\alpha_s^{(3)}(M_\tau)$ as a function μ_{dec} . The dashed lines (long dashes include higher order perturbative results) correspond to the single-step approach and the dash-dotted curves (short dashes: $\mu_{\text{dec},c} = \mu_{\text{dec}}$, long dashes: $\mu_{\text{dec},b} = \mu_{\text{dec}}$) are obtained in the conventional analysis using four-loop running and three-loop decoupling relations. The dotted line results from a five-loop analysis of the two-step (see text for details).

6. Phenomenological applications

In this section we study the numerical consequences of the decoupling relations computed in the previous sections. For convenience we use in this Section the decoupling relations in terms of on-shell heavy quark masses (see Appendix C and the Mathematica file which can be downloaded from [27]) which we denote by M_c and M_b .

6.1
$$\alpha_s^{(5)}(M_Z)$$
 from $\alpha_s^{(3)}(M_\tau)$

Let us in a first step check the dependence on the decoupling scales which should become weaker after including higher order perturbative corrections. We consider the relation between $\alpha_s^{(3)}(M_{\tau})$ and $\alpha_s^{(5)}(M_Z)$. $\alpha_s^{(3)}(M_{\tau})$ has been extracted from experimental data using perturbative results up to order α_s^4 [28]. Thus it is mandatory to perform the transition from the low to the high scale with the highest possible precision. In the following we compare the conventional approach with the single-step decoupling up to three-loop order.

For our analysis we use for convenience the decoupling constants expressed in terms of on-shell quark masses. In this way the mass values are fixed and they are not affected by the running from M_{τ} to M_Z . In our analysis we use $M_c = 1.65$ GeV and $M_b = 4.7$ GeV. Furthermore, $\alpha_s^{(3)}(M_{\tau}) = 0.332$ [28] is used as starting value of our analysis.

In Fig. 3(a) we show $\alpha_s^{(5)}(M_Z)$ as a function of μ_{dec} , the scale where the *c* and *b* quarks are simultaneously integrated out. In a first step $\alpha_s^{(3)}(M_\tau)$ is evolved to $\alpha_s^{(3)}(\mu_{\text{dec}})$ using the *N*-loop renormalization group equations. Afterwards the (N-1)-loop decoupling relation is applied and finally *N*-loop running is employed in order to arrive at $\alpha_s^{(5)}(M_Z)$. One observes a strong dependence on μ_{dec} for N = 1 (short-dashed line) which becomes rapidly weaker when increasing *N* leading to a reasonably flat curve for N = 4 (longer dashes correspond to larger values of *N*).

6.2 Comparison of one- and two-step decoupling approach

In the step-by-step decoupling approach we have two decoupling scales $\mu_{\text{dec},c}$ and $\mu_{\text{dec},b}$ which can be chosen independently. First we choose⁵ $\mu_{\text{dec},c} = 3$ GeV and identify $\mu_{\text{dec},b}$ with μ_{dec} . The result for N = 4 is shown in Fig. 3(b) together with the four-loop curve from Fig. 3(a) as dash-dotted line (long dashes). One observes a significantly flatter behaviour as for the one-step decoupling which can be explained by the occurrence of $\log(\mu^2/M_c^2)$ terms in the one-step formula which might become large for large values of $\mu = \mu_{\text{dec}}$. Alternatively it is also possible to study the dependence on $\mu_{\text{dec},c}$, i.e., identify $\mu_{\text{dec},c}$ with μ_{dec} , set $\mu_{\text{dec},b} = 10$ GeV and compare to the one-step decoupling. The results are also shown in Fig. 3(b) as dash-dotted line (short dashes) where only values $\mu_{\text{dec}} \leq 10$ GeV are considered.

For comparison we show in Fig. 3(b) also the result of the two-step five-loop analysis as dotted line where the four-loop decoupling relation is taken from Refs. [4, 5]. The (unknown) five-loop coefficient of the β function, β_4 , is set to zero.⁶ If one restricts to scales μ_{dec} between 2 GeV and 10 GeV it seems that the four-loop decoupling constant is numerically more relevant than the power-suppressed terms included by construction in the one-step decoupling procedure. Thus, from these considerations one tends to prefer the two-step decoupling over the one-step approach as it seems that the resummation of $\log(\mu^2/M_{c,b}^2)$ is more important than the inclusion of power-suppressed corrections.

Let us in a next step restrict ourselves to decoupling scales which are of the order of the respective quark masses. In Tab. 1 we compare the value for $\alpha_s^{(5)}(M_Z)$ as obtained from the one- and two-step decoupling where two variants of the former are used: ζ_{α_s} which directly relates $\alpha_s^{(3)}(\mu_c)$ and $\alpha_s^{(5)}(\mu_b)$ as given in Eq. (1.7) with $\mu' = \mu_c$ and $\mu = \mu_b$ $(\zeta_{\alpha_s}(\mu_c, \mu_b)$; see also [27]) and the version with only one decoupling scale where $\mu' = \mu$ has been set $(\zeta_{\alpha_s}(\mu))$. We thus define two deviations

$$\delta \alpha_s^{(a)} = \alpha_s^{(5)}(M_Z) \Big|_{\zeta_{\alpha_s}(\mu_c,\mu_b)} - \alpha_s^{(5)}(M_Z) \Big|_{\text{2-step}},$$

$$\delta \alpha_s^{(b)} = \alpha_s^{(5)}(M_Z) \Big|_{\zeta_{\alpha_s}(\mu)} - \alpha_s^{(5)}(M_Z) \Big|_{\text{2-step}},$$
 (6.1)

where the scale μ in the second equation is either identified with μ_c (right part of Tab. 1) or μ_b (left part), respectively.

It is interesting to note that (except for the choice $\mu_c = 2$ GeV and $\mu_b = 10$ GeV) the deviations presented in Tab. 1 amount to about 30% to 50% of the uncertainty of the world average for $\alpha_s(M_Z)$ which is given by $\delta \alpha_s = 0.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$ [30].

6.3 Improving the two-step approach by power-suppressed terms

From the previous considerations it is evident that the resummation of logarithms of the form $[\alpha_s \log(\mu_c/\mu_b)]^k$, which is automatically incorporated in the two-step approach, is numerically more important than power-suppressed terms in M_c/M_b . Thus it is natural to use

⁵It has been argumented in Refs. [29] that in the case of charm the scale $\mu = m_c$ is too small leading to a value of α_s which is too large. Thus $m_c(3 \text{ GeV})$ has been proposed as reference value.

⁶For $\beta_4 > 0$ the dotted curve in Fig. 3(b) moves towards the four-loop curve.

μ_b	$\alpha_s^{(5)}(M_Z)$	$\delta \alpha_s^{(a)}$	$\delta \alpha_s^{(b)}$	μ_c	$\alpha_s^{(5)}(M_Z)$	$\delta \alpha_s^{(a)}$	$\delta \alpha_s^{(b)}$
(GeV)		$\times 10^3$	$\times 10^3$	(GeV)		$\times 10^3$	$\times 10^3$
			$(\mu = \mu_b)$				$(\mu = \mu_c)$
2	0.11985	-0.28	0.18	2	0.11984	-4.02	0.20
5	0.11977	0.23	-0.16	3	0.11970	0.19	0.14
7	0.11974	0.36	-0.26	4	0.11961	0.33	0.10
10	0.11970	0.19	-0.36	5	0.11955	0.26	0.06

Table 1: Decoupling scale $\alpha_s^{(5)}(M_Z)$ as obtained from the four-loop analysis of the two-step approach, and the deviations as defined in the text. In the left table $\mu_c = 3$ GeV and in the right one $\mu_b = 10$ GeV has been chosen.

the two-step approach as default method and add the power-corrections afterwards. This is achieved in the following way: In a first step we invert $\zeta_{\alpha_s}(\mu_c, \mu_b)$ (cf. Eq. (1.7)) and express it in terms of $\alpha_s^{(3)}(\mu_c)$ in order to arrive at the equation $\alpha_s^{(5)}(\mu_b) = \zeta_{\alpha_s}^{-1}(\mu_c, \mu_b)\alpha_s^{(3)}(\mu_c)$. Now an expansion is performed for $M_c/M_b \to 0$ to obtain the leading term which is then subtracted from $\zeta_{\alpha_s}^{-1}(\mu_c, \mu_b)$ since it is part of the two-step decoupling procedure. The result is independent of μ_c and μ_b and has following series expansion

$$\delta\zeta_{\alpha_s}^{-1} = \left(\frac{\alpha_s^{(3)}(\mu_c)}{\pi}\right)^3 \left[\frac{\pi^2}{18}x + \left(-\frac{6661}{18000} - \frac{1409}{21600}L + \frac{1}{160}L^2\right)x^2 + \mathcal{O}(x^3)\right]$$
$$\approx 0.170 \left(\frac{\alpha_s^{(3)}(\mu_c)}{\pi}\right)^3, \tag{6.2}$$

where the numerical value in the second line has been obtained with the help of the exact dependence on x. Note that the linear term in x arises from the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ -on-shell quark mass relation. The quantity $\delta \zeta_{\alpha_s}^{-1}$ is used in order to compute an additional contribution to $\alpha_s^{(5)}(\mu_b)$ as obtained from the two-step method:

$$\delta \alpha_s^{(5)}(\mu_b) = \delta \zeta_{\alpha_s}^{-1} \alpha_s^{(3)}(\mu_c) \,. \tag{6.3}$$

Inserting numerical values leads to shifts which are at most a few times 10^{-5} and are thus beyond the current level of accuracy. It is in particular more than an order of magnitude smaller than the four-loop decoupling term which is shown as dotted curve in Fig. 3(b).

Note that as far as the strong coupling in Eq. (6.2) is concerned both the number of flavours and the renormalization scale of α_s are not fixed since power-suppressed terms appear for the first time at this order. However, the smallness of the contribution is not affected by the choices made in Eq. (6.2).

6.4 One-step decoupling of the bottom quark with finite charm quark mass

An alternative approach to implement power-suppressed corrections in m_c/m_b in the decoupling procedure is as follows: We consider the step-by-step decoupling and use at the scale $\mu_{dec,c}$ the standard formalism for the decoupling of the charm quark as implemented in RunDec [23]. At the scale $\mu_{\text{dec,b}}$, however, we consider the matching of five- to fourflavour QCD where we keep the charm quark massive. This requires a modification of the formulae in Eqs. (1.2) and (1.5) to $(n'_f = n_f - 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_A^0 &= \frac{1 + \Pi_A^{(n_f)}(0)}{1 + \Pi_A^{(n'_f)}(0)}, \quad \zeta_c^0 &= \frac{1 + \Pi_c^{(n_f)}(0)}{1 + \Pi_c^{(n'_f)}(0)}, \quad \zeta_q^0 &= \frac{1 + \Pi_q^{(n_f)}(0)}{1 + \Pi_q^{(n'_f)}(0)}, \\ \zeta_m^0 &= (\zeta_q^0)^{-1} \frac{1 - \Sigma_S^{(n_f)}(0)}{1 - \Sigma_S^{(n'_f)}(0)}, \quad \zeta_{\alpha_s}^0 &= (\zeta_c^0)^{-2} (\zeta_A^0)^{-1} \frac{\left(1 + \Gamma_{A\bar{c}c}^{(n_f)}\right)^2}{\left(1 + \Gamma_{A\bar{c}c}^{(n'_f)}\right)^2}, \end{aligned}$$
(6.4)

where the n_f -flavour quantities contain contributions form massive charm and bottom quarks. They are identical to the one-step decoupling procedure described above. In the n'_f -flavour quantities appearing in the denominators those diagrams have to be considered which contain a charm quark. Note that they depend on the bare parameters of the effective theory $(\alpha_{s0}^{(n'_f)}, a_0^{(n'_f)}, m_{c0}^{(n'_f)})$ and thus they have to be decoupled iteratively in order to express all quantities on the r.h.s. of the above equations by the same parameters $(\alpha_{s0}^{(n_f)}, a_0^{(n_f)}, m_{c0}^{(n_f)})$. In the standard approach the n'_f -flavour quantities vanish since only scale-less integrals are involved.

As a cross check we have verified that we reobtain the analytical result for the singlestep decoupling if we apply the formalism of Eq. (6.4) and the subsequent decoupling of the charm quark at the same scale.

We have incorporated the finite charm quark mass effects in the two-step decoupling approach (cf. Fig. 3) and observe small numerical effects. A minor deviation from the $m_c = 0$ curve can only be seen for decoupling scales of the order of 1 GeV which confirms the conclusions reached above that the power-suppressed terms are numerically negligible. Thus we both refrain from explicitly presenting numerical results and analytical formulae for the renormalized decoupling coefficients as obtained from Eqs. (6.4).

6.5 Decoupling effects in the strange quark mass

In analogy to the strong coupling we study in the following the relation of the strange quark mass $m_s(\mu)$ defined with three and five active quark flavours, respectively. The numerical analysis follows closely the one for α_s : N-loop running is accompanied by (N -1)-loop decoupling relations. It is, however, slightly more involved since besides $m_s(\mu)$ also $\alpha_s(\mu)$ has to be known for the respective renormalization scale and number of active flavours. We organized the calculation in such a way that we simultaneously solve the renormalization group equations for $m_s(\mu)$ and $\alpha_s(\mu)$ (truncated to the considered order) using Mathematica.

In Fig. 4 we show $m_s^{(5)}(M_Z)$ as a function of μ_{dec} and again compare the single-step (dashed lines) to the two-step (dash-dotted lines) approach. For our numerical analysis we use in addition to the parameters specified above $m_s(2 \text{ GeV}) = 100 \text{ MeV}$. The same conclusion as for α_s can be drawn: The difference between the two approaches becomes smaller with increasing loop order. At the same time the prediction for $m_s^{(5)}(M_Z)$ becomes



Figure 4: $m_s^{(5)}(M_Z)$ as a function of μ_{dec} . The dashed lines correspond to the single-step approach and the dash-dotted curves are obtained in the conventional analysis (with $\mu_{dec,c} = 3$ GeV and $\mu_{dec,b} = \mu_{dec}$). Longer dashes correspond to higher loop orders. See text for more details.

more and more independent of μ_{dec} . The results again suggest that the power-corrections M_c/M_b are small justifying the application of the two-step decoupling.

7. Effective coupling of the Higgs boson to gluons

The production and decay of an intermediate-mass Higgs boson can be described to good accuracy by an effective Lagrange density where the top quark is integrated out. It contains an effective coupling of the Higgs boson to gluons given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{\phi}{v} C_1 \mathcal{O}_1 \,, \tag{7.1}$$

with $\mathcal{O}_1 = G_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu}$. C_1 is the coefficient function containing the remnant contributions of the top quark, $G^{\mu\nu}$ is the gluon field strength tensor, ϕ denotes the CP-even Higgs boson field and v is the vacuum expectation value.

The effective Lagrange density in Eq. (7.1) can also be used for theories beyond the Standard Model like supersymmetric models or extensions with further generations of heavy quarks. In all cases the effect of the heavy particles is contained in the coefficient function C_1 .

In Ref. [3] a low-energy theorem has been derived which relates the effective Higgsgluon coupling C_1 to the decoupling constant for α_s . In this Section we apply this theorem to an extension of the Standard Model containing additional heavy quarks which couple to the Higgs boson via a top quark-like Yukawa coupling. Restating Eq. (39) of Ref. [3] in our notation and for the case of several heavy quarks leads to

$$C_{1} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} M_{i}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}M_{i}^{2}} \log \zeta_{\alpha_{s}}, \qquad (7.2)$$

where N_h is the number of heavy quarks with on-shell masses M_i . Using ζ_{α_s} from Eq. (1.7) (see also [27]) we obtain for C_1 the following result⁷

$$C_{1} = \frac{\alpha_{s}^{(\text{full})}(\mu)}{\pi} \left(-T_{F} \frac{N_{h}}{6} \right) + \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}^{(\text{full})}(\mu)}{\pi} \right)^{2} \left(\frac{C_{F}T_{F}}{8} - C_{A}T_{F} \frac{5}{24} + T_{F}^{2} \frac{\Sigma_{h}}{18} \right) N_{h} \\ + \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}^{(\text{full})}(\mu)}{\pi} \right)^{3} \left\{ -C_{F}^{2}T_{F} \frac{9}{64} N_{h} + C_{F}C_{A}T_{F} \left[\frac{25}{72} N_{h} + \frac{11}{96} \Sigma_{h} \right] \right. \\ + C_{F}T_{F}^{2} \left[\frac{5}{96} N_{h}n_{l} + \frac{17}{288} N_{h}^{2} - \Sigma_{h} \left(\frac{N_{h}}{8} + \frac{n_{l}}{12} \right) \right] - C_{A}^{2}T_{F} \left[\frac{1063}{3456} N_{h} + \frac{7}{96} \Sigma_{h} \right] \\ + C_{A}T_{F}^{2} \left[\frac{47}{864} n_{l} - \frac{49}{1728} N_{h} + \frac{5}{24} \Sigma_{h} \right] N_{h} - T_{F}^{3} \Sigma_{h}^{2} \frac{N_{h}}{54} \right\},$$

$$(7.3)$$

where $\alpha_s^{(\text{full})}$ is the strong coupling in the full theory with $n_l + N_h$ active quark flavours and $\Sigma_h = \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} \log(\mu^2/M_i^2)$. After expressing $\alpha_s^{(\text{full})}$ in terms of $\alpha_s^{(5)}$ and specifying the colour factors to SU(3) we reproduce the result of Ref. [31] which has been obtained by an explicit calculation of the Higgs-gluon vertex corrections. For $N_h = 1$ the result obtained in Ref. [3] is reproduced. It is remarkable that although ζ_{α_s} contains di- and tri-logarithms there are only linear logarithms present in C_1 .

8. Conclusion

The main result of this paper is the computation of a decoupling constant relating the strong coupling defined with three active flavours to the one in the five-flavour theory. At three-loop order Feynman diagrams with two mass scales, the charm and the bottom quark mass, have to be considered. The corresponding integrals have been evaluated exactly and analytical results have been presented. The new results can be used in order to study the effect of power-suppressed terms in M_c/M_b which are neglected in the conventional approach [3]. Various analyses are performed which indicate that the mass corrections present in the one-step approach are small as compared to $\log(\mu^2/M_{c,b}^2)$ which are resummed using the conventional two-step procedure.

Using a well-known low-energy theorem [3] we can use our result for the decoupling constant in order to obtain the effective gluon-Higgs boson coupling for models containing

⁷Note that up to three-loop order there are only diagrams with at most two different quark flavours. Thus it is possible to obtain the result for C_1 for N_h heavy quarks.



Figure 5: Master integral I_1 with four massive lines. Thick and thin straight lines correspond to b and c quarks, respectively. Master integral I_2 contains an additional numerator.

several heavy quarks which couple to the Higgs boson via the same mechanism as the top quark. This constitutes a first independent check of the result presented in Ref. [31] where the matching coefficient has been obtained by a direct evaluation of the Higgs-gluon-gluon vertex diagrams.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through the SFB/TR-9 "Computational Particle Physics". We are grateful to K. G. Chetyrkin for useful discussions.

A. Integral I(x)

With the help of FIRE [13] we can express the integral I(x) as defined in Eq. (2.10) as a linear combination of master integrals

$$I(x) = I(x^{-1}) = \frac{1}{(d-1)(d-4)(d-6)(d-8)(d-10)}$$

$$\times \left[\frac{1}{4} \left(c_{10} + c_{11}(x^{-2} + x^2) + c_{12}(x^{-4} + x^4)\right) I_1(x) + \frac{3}{16}(d-2)(x^{-1} + x) \left(c_{20} + c_{21}(x^{-2} + x^2)\right) I_2(x) - \frac{c_{-1}(x^{2+\varepsilon} + x^{-2-\varepsilon}) + c_0(x^{\varepsilon} + x^{-\varepsilon}) + c_1(x^{-2+\varepsilon} + x^{2-\varepsilon}) + c_2(x^{-4+\varepsilon} + x^{4-\varepsilon})}{(d-2)^2(d-3)(d-5)(d-7)}\right].$$
(A.1)

 I_1 and I_2 are master integrals with four massive lines (see Fig. 5) which are given by

$$I_{1}(x) = I_{1}(x^{-1}) = \frac{(m_{b}m_{c})^{-2+3\varepsilon}}{(i\pi^{d/2})^{3}\Gamma^{3}(\varepsilon)} \int \frac{d^{d}k_{1} d^{d}k_{2} d^{d}k_{3}}{D_{1}D_{2}D_{3}D_{4}},$$

$$I_{2}(x) = I_{2}(x^{-1}) = \frac{(m_{b}m_{c})^{-3+3\varepsilon}}{(i\pi^{d/2})^{3}\Gamma^{3}(\varepsilon)} \int \frac{N d^{d}k_{1} d^{d}k_{2} d^{d}k_{3}}{D_{1}D_{2}D_{3}D_{4}},$$

$$D_{1} = m_{b}^{2} - k_{1}^{2}, \quad D_{2} = m_{b}^{2} - k_{2}^{2}, \quad D_{3} = m_{c}^{2} - k_{3}^{2},$$

$$D_{4} = m_{c}^{2} - (k_{1} - k_{2} + k_{3})^{2}, \quad N = -(k_{1} - k_{2})^{2},$$
(A.2)

and c_i and c_{ij} are coefficients depending on $d = 4 - 2\varepsilon$

$$\begin{split} c_{10} &= (d-1)(5d^4 - 104d^3 + 73d^2 - 2116d + 2086) \,, \\ c_{11} &= (d-1)(2d-7)(2d^3 - 35d^2 + 180d - 256) \,, \\ c_{12} &= (d-9)(2d-5)(2d-7)(2d-9) \,, \\ c_{20} &= 2(d^4 - 22d^3 + 165d^2 - 491d + 487) \,, \\ c_{21} &= (d-9)(2d-7)(2d-9) \,, \\ c_{-1} &= (d-3)(d-5)(d-7)(d-9)(2d-5)(2d-7)(2d-9) \,, \\ c_{0} &= (d-1)(d-3)(4d^5 - 108d^4 + 1090d^3 - 5009d^2 + 9838d - 5335) \,, \\ c_{1} &= (d-1)(d-7)(2d^5 - 46d^4 + 384d^3 - 1423d^2 + 2158d - 739) \,, \\ c_{2} &= (d-1)(d-5)(d-7)(d-9)(2d-7)(2d-9) \,. \end{split}$$

The master integrals used in Ref. [14] are related to $I_{1,2}$ by

$$I_{4.3} = (m_b m_c)^{2-3\varepsilon} \Gamma^3(\varepsilon) I_1(x) ,$$

$$I_{4.3a} = (m_b m_c)^{1-3\varepsilon} \Gamma^3(\varepsilon) \frac{x}{1-x^2} \times \left[-\frac{1}{4} \left(d-3 - (2d-5)x^2 \right) I_1(x) + \frac{3}{16} (d-2)x I_2(x) + \frac{x^{\varepsilon} + x^{2-\varepsilon}}{(d-2)^2} \right] . \quad (A.3)$$

Using their expansions in ε [14] we obtain

$$I(x) = -\frac{32}{27} \left[1 - \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{25}{3} + 3L^2 \right) \varepsilon^2 + B\varepsilon^3 + \cdots \right],$$
(A.4)

where

$$\frac{32}{3}B = 64L_{+}(x) - \frac{(1+x^{2})(5+22x^{2}+5x^{4})}{x^{3}}L_{-}(x) + \frac{5+18x^{2}+5x^{4}}{x^{2}}L^{2} - 10\frac{1-x^{4}}{x^{2}}L + 10\frac{(1-x^{2})^{2}}{x^{2}} + \frac{64}{3}\zeta_{3} - \frac{1256}{81}, \quad (A.5)$$

and

$$L_{\pm}(x) = L_{\pm}(x^{-1}) = \text{Li}_{3}(x) - L \text{Li}_{2}(x) - \frac{L^{2}}{2}\log(1-x) + \frac{L^{3}}{12}$$
$$\pm \left[\text{Li}_{3}(-x) - L \text{Li}_{2}(-x) - \frac{L^{2}}{2}\log(1+x) + \frac{L^{3}}{12}\right], \quad (A.6)$$

with $L = \log x$. Note that the functions $L_{\pm}(x)$ are analytical from 0 to $+\infty$.

For x = 1, $I_2(1)$ is not independent [16]:

$$I_2(1) = -\frac{4}{3} \left(I_1(1) + \frac{8}{(d-2)^3} \right) .$$
 (A.7)

The expansion of $I_1(1)$ in ε has been studied in Refs. [16, 32]. Using the explicit formulas (3.2) and (2.3) from [14], it is easy to get

$$I(1) = -\frac{32}{27} \left[1 - \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon + \frac{25}{6}\varepsilon^2 - \left(7\zeta_3 + \frac{157}{108}\right)\varepsilon^3 + \cdots \right],$$
(A.8)

in agreement with (A.4).

For $x \to 0$, two regions [6] contribute to I(x) (see Eq. (2.10)), the hard $(k \sim m_b)$ and and the soft $(k \sim m_c)$ one. The result for the leading term is given by

$$I(x) = I_h x^{3\varepsilon} \left[1 + \mathcal{O}(x^2) \right] + I_s x^{-\varepsilon} \left[1 + \mathcal{O}(x^2) \right],$$

$$I_h = \frac{8}{3} \frac{d-5}{(d-1)(d-3)(2d-9)(2d-11)} \frac{\Gamma(1-\varepsilon)\Gamma^2(1+2\varepsilon)\Gamma(1+3\varepsilon)}{\Gamma^2(1+\varepsilon)\Gamma(1+4\varepsilon)},$$

$$I_s = \frac{8}{3} \frac{d-6}{(d-2)(d-5)(d-7)}.$$
(A.9)

Expanding this formula in ε we reproduce Eq. (A.4) for $x \to 0$.

B. Ghost–gluon vertex at two loops

We need this vertex expanded in the external momenta up to the linear terms. Let us consider the right-most vertex on the ghost line:



The tensor $A^{\mu\nu}$ may be calculated at zero external momenta, hence $A^{\mu\nu} = Ag^{\mu\nu}$. Therefore all loop diagrams have the Lorentz structure of the tree vertex, as expected.

Now let us consider the left-most vertex:



It gives k^{λ} , thus singling out the longitudinal part of the gluon propagator. Therefore, all loop corrections vanish in Landau gauge. Furthermore, diagrams with self-energy insertions into the left-most gluon propagator vanish in any covariant gauge:



In the diagrams including a quark triangle, the contraction of k^{λ} transfers the gluon propagator to a spin 0 propagator and a factor k^{ρ} which contracts the quark-gluon vertex. After decomposing k into a difference of the involved fermion denominators one obtains in graphical form





The diagrams with a massless triangle vanish. The non-vanishing diagrams contain the same Feynman integral, but differ by the order of the colour matrices along the quark line, thus leading to a commutator of two Gell-Mann matrices.

The remaining diagram contains a three-gluon vertex with a self energy inserted in the right-most gluon propagator. The contraction of k^{λ} with the three-gluon vertex cancels the gluon propagator to the right of the three-gluon vertex:



The colour structure of the three-gluon vertex is identical to the commutator above, however with opposite sign. Therefore, after summing all contributions the result is zero.

C. Decoupling at on-shell masses

For some applications it is convenient to parametrize the decoupling constants in terms of the on-shell instead of $\overline{\text{MS}}$ quark masses. The corresponding counterterm relation reads

$$m_{b0} = Z_{m_b}^{\mathrm{os}(n_f)} \left(\alpha_{s0}^{(n_f)} \right) M_b \,, \quad m_{c0} = Z_{m_c}^{\mathrm{os}(n_f)} \left(\alpha_{s0}^{(n_f)} \right) M_c \,, \tag{C.1}$$

where in our application $Z_{m_b}^{os(n_f)}$ and $Z_{m_c}^{os(n_f)}$ are needed to two-loop accuracy. They have been calculated in Ref. [33] (see also [34, 12]). Note that the two-loop coefficients of $Z_{m_b}^{os(n_f)}$ and $Z_{m_c}^{os(n_f)}$ are non-trivial functions of m_c/m_b ; a compact expression can be found in Ref. [12].

The advantage of using on-shell masses is that they are identical in all theories (with any number of flavours). Furthermore their numerical value does not depend on the renormalization scale. However, it is well known that usually the coefficients of perturbative series for physical quantities grow fast when expressed via on-shell quark masses and hence the ambiguities of the mass values (extracted from those observable quantities) are quite large. Nevertheless, using on-shell masses in intermediate theoretical formulae (at any finite order of perturbation theory) can be convenient.

The decoupling relations are particularly compact if $\alpha_s^{(n_l)}(M_c)$ is expressed as a series in $\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(M_b)$ since then the coefficients only depend on $x_{os} = M_c/M_b$ (see results in [27]).

References

 W. Bernreuther and W. Wetzel, Decoupling of heavy quarks in the minimal subtraction scheme, Nucl. Phys. B 197 (1982) 228; Erratum: Nucl. Phys. B 513 (1998) 758.

- [2] S. A. Larin, T. van Ritbergen and J. A. M. Vermaseren, The Large quark mass expansion of Γ(Z⁰ → hadrons) and Γ(τ⁻ → ν_τ + hadrons) in the order α³_s, Nucl. Phys. B 438 (1995) 278 [arXiv:hep-ph/9411260].
- [3] K. G. Chetyrkin, B. A. Kniehl and M. Steinhauser, Decoupling relations to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$ and their connection to low-energy theorems, Nucl. Phys. **B 510** (1998) 61 [arXiv:hep-ph/9708255].
- [4] Y. Schröder and M. Steinhauser, Four-loop decoupling relations for the strong coupling, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2006) 051 [arXiv:hep-ph/0512058].
- [5] K. G. Chetyrkin, J. H. Kühn and C. Sturm, QCD decoupling at four loops, Nucl. Phys. B 744 (2006) 121 [arXiv:hep-ph/0512060].
- [6] V. A. Smirnov, Applied asymptotic expansions in momenta and masses, Springer Tracts Mod. Phys. 177 (2002) 1.
- [7] P. Pascual, R. Tarrach, *Qcd: Renormalization for the practitioner*, Lecture notes in physics 194, Springer (1984).
- [8] P. Nogueira, Automatic Feynman graph generation, J. Comput. Phys. 105 (1993) 279.
- [9] J.A.M. Vermaseren, New features of FORM, math-ph/0010025.
- [10] R. Harlander, T. Seidensticker, M. Steinhauser, Complete corrections of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha \alpha_s)$ to the decay of the Z boson into bottom quarks, Phys. Lett. B 426 (1998) 125 [arXiv:hep-ph/9712228].
- T. Seidensticker, Automatic application of successive asymptotic expansions of Feynman diagrams, arXiv:hep-ph/9905298.
- [12] S. Bekavac, A. G. Grozin, D. Seidel, M. Steinhauser, Light quark mass effects in the on-shell renormalization constants, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2007) 006 [arXiv:0708.1729 [hep-ph]].
- [13] A. V. Smirnov, Algorithm FIRE Feynman Integral REduction, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2008) 107 [arXiv:0807.3243 [hep-ph]].
- [14] S. Bekavac, A. G. Grozin, D. Seidel, V. A. Smirnov, Three-loop on-shell Feynman integrals with two masses, Nucl. Phys. B 819 (2009) 183 [arXiv:0903.4760 [hep-ph]].
- [15] M. Steinhauser, MATAD: A program package for the computation of massive tadpoles, Comput. Phys. Commun. 134 (2001) 335 [arXiv:hep-ph/0009029].
- [16] D. J. Broadhurst, Three loop on-shell charge renormalization without integration: $\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^{\text{QED}}$ to four loops, Z. Physik C 54 (1992) 599.
- [17] A. G. Grozin, A. V. Smirnov, V. A. Smirnov, Decoupling of heavy quarks in HQET, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2006) 022 [arXiv:hep-ph/0609280].
- [18] S. Bekavac, A. G. Grozin, P. Marquard, J. H. Piclum, D. Seidel, M. Steinhauser, Matching QCD and HQET heavy-light currents at three loops, Nucl. Phys. B 833 (2010) 46 [arXiv:0911.3356 [hep-ph]].
- [19] T. van Ritbergen, J. A. M. Vermaseren, S. A. Larin, The four-loop β-function in quantum chromodynamics, Phys. Lett. B 400 (1997) 379 [arXiv:hep-ph/9701390].
- [20] M. Czakon, The four-loop QCD β-function and anomalous dimensions, Nucl. Phys. B 710 (2005) 485 [arXiv:hep-ph/0411261].
- [21] K. G. Chetyrkin, Quark mass anomalous dimension to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4)$, Phys. Lett. **B** 404 (1997) 161 [arXiv:hep-ph/9703278].

- [22] J. A. M. Vermaseren, S. A. Larin, T. van Ritbergen, The 4-loop quark mass anomalous dimension and the invariant quark mass, Phys. Lett. B 405 (1997) 327 [arXiv:hep-ph/9703284].
- [23] K. G. Chetyrkin, J. H. Kühn, M. Steinhauser, RunDec: A Mathematica package for running and decoupling of the strong coupling and quark masses, Comput. Phys. Commun. 133 (2000) 43 [arXiv:hep-ph/0004189].
- [24] S. A. Larin, J. A. M. Vermaseren, The three-loop QCD β-function and anomalous dimensions, Phys. Lett. B 303 (1993) 334 [arXiv:hep-ph/9302208].
- [25] K. G. Chetyrkin, Four-loop renormalization of QCD: Full set of renormalization constants and anomalous dimensions, Nucl. Phys. B 710 (2005) 499 [arXiv:hep-ph/0405193].
- [26] K. G. Chetyrkin, A. Rétey, Renormalization and running of quark mass and field in the regularization invariant and MS schemes at three loops and four loops, Nucl. Phys. B 583 (2000) 3 [arXiv:hep-ph/9910332].
- [27] http://www-ttp.particle.uni-karlsruhe.de/Progdata/ttp11/ttp11-07/
- [28] P. A. Baikov, K. G. Chetyrkin, J. H. Kühn, Order α_s^4 QCD corrections to Z and τ decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 012002 [arXiv:0801.1821 [hep-ph]].
- [29] J. H. Kühn, M. Steinhauser, C. Sturm, Heavy quark masses from sum rules in four-loop approximation, Nucl. Phys. B 778 (2007) 192 [arXiv:hep-ph/0702103].
- [30] K. Nakamura et al. [Particle Data Group], Review of particle physics, J. Phys. G 37 (2010) 075021.
- [31] C. Anastasiou, R. Boughezal, E. Furlan, The NNLO gluon fusion Higgs production cross-section with many heavy quarks, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2010) 101 [arXiv:1003.4677 [hep-ph]].
- [32] D. J. Broadhurst, On the enumeration of irreducible k-fold Euler sums and their roles in knot theory and field theory, arXiv:hep-th/9604128.
- [33] N. Gray, D. J. Broadhurst, W. Grafe, K. Schilcher, Three loop relation of quark MS and pole masses, Z. Physik C 48 (1990) 673.
- [34] A. I. Davydychev, A. G. Grozin, Effect of m_c on b quark chromomagnetic interaction and on-shell two-loop integrals with two masses, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 054023
 [arXiv:hep-ph/9809589].