

Lecture 5 Lehmann - Symanzik - Zimmermann formula and Lehmann - Källén representation of the 2-point function

In this lecture we will discuss some exact results in quantum field theory. They will concern a relation between Green's function and scattering amplitudes and (somewhat) related to this topic about the exact representation of a 2-point function in a particular way.

Let's start with the first point. To describe scattering experiments, we need to know

S-matrix elements $S_{fi} = \langle f | i \rangle =$

$$= \sqrt{2\omega_1 2\omega_2 \dots 2\omega_n} \langle \Omega | a_{p_3}(\infty) \dots a_{p_n}(\infty) a_{p_1}^{\dagger}(-\infty) a_{p_2}^{\dagger}(-\infty) | \Omega \rangle$$

where $|\Omega\rangle$ is the exact vacuum state,

$a_{p_i}^{\dagger}(-\infty)$ are nite-particle creation operators at $t = -\infty$ and $a_{p_i}(\infty)$ are nite-particle annihilation operators at $t = +\infty$.

Hence S.F. above corresponds to the process

$$p_1 + p_2 \rightarrow p_3 + \dots + p_n.$$

We will assume that the interaction

in our theory is switched off at $t = \pm T$, $T \rightarrow \infty$

Then, for $t > T$ and $t < -T$, the theory

is free. We then write

$$\varphi(t > T) = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2\omega_k}} \left\{ a_{\vec{k}} e^{-i k_\mu x^\mu} + a_{\vec{k}}^\dagger e^{i k_\mu x^\mu} \right\}$$

$$\text{and } \varphi(t < -T) = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2\omega_k}} \left\{ a_{\vec{k}} e^{-i k_\mu x^\mu} + a_{\vec{k}}^\dagger e^{i k_\mu x^\mu} \right\}$$

The operators $a_{\vec{k}}(t)$ and $a_{\vec{k}}^\dagger(t)$ are

the operators that appear in the formula

φ_{in} . We will now derive a formula

that allows us to express $a_{\vec{k}}(t)$, $a_{\vec{k}}^\dagger(t)$

through ~~an~~ integral of the field $\varphi(t, x)$

At the first step, consider

$$i \int d^4 x e^{i p_\mu x^\mu} (\square + m^2) \varphi(x) \equiv i \int d^4 x e^{i p_\mu x^\mu} \left(\partial_t^2 - \nabla^2 + m^2 \right) \varphi(x)$$

We assume that $\varphi(x) \rightarrow 0$ and integrate

the above equation by parts:

$$\int d^4 x e^{i p_\mu x^\mu} \overline{\partial} (\partial \varphi(x)) = - \int d^4 x e^{i p_\mu x^\mu} (\overline{\partial} \cdot \partial) (\partial \varphi(x))$$

$$= \int d^4 x e^{i p_\mu x^\mu} (-i \vec{p} \cdot \nabla) \varphi(x) = \int d^4 x (-i \vec{p} \cdot \nabla) \varphi(x) \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow i \int d^4 x e^{i p_\mu x^\mu} (\square + m^2) \varphi(x) = i \int d^4 x e^{i p_\mu x^\mu} \left(\partial_t^2 + \omega_{\vec{p}}^2 \right) \varphi(x)$$

$$\text{where } \omega_{\vec{p}}^2 = \vec{p}^2 + m^2$$

Next, we note a useful identity

$$e^{i p x} (\partial_t^2 + \omega_p^2) \varphi(x) = -i \partial_t [e^{i p x} (i \partial_t + \omega_p) \varphi(x)],$$

provided that $\partial_t \omega_p = \omega_p$. To prove this, we

just execute derivative on the r.h.s. explicitly.

Using the above equation we write:

$$i \int dx e^{i p x} (\partial_t^2 + \omega_p^2) \varphi(x) = i \int dx e^{i p x} (\partial_t^2 + \omega_p^2) \varphi(x)$$

$$= i \int dx (-i \partial_t) [e^{i p x} (i \partial_t + \omega_p) \varphi(x)] =$$

$$= \int dx e^{i p x} (i \partial_t + \omega_p) \varphi(x) \Big|_{t=-\infty}^{t=+\infty}$$

At $t = \pm \infty$, $\varphi(x)$ is written as

$$\varphi(x) = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{2\omega_k} \left(a_{\vec{k}}(\pm\infty) e^{-i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} + a_{\vec{k}}^\dagger(\pm\infty) e^{i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{i p x} (i \partial_t + \omega_p) \varphi(x) = e^{i p x} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{2\omega_k} \left(a_{\vec{k}}(\pm\infty) (k_0 + \omega_p) e^{-i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} + a_{\vec{k}}^\dagger(\pm\infty) (\omega_p - k_0) e^{i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \right)$$

$$= \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{2\omega_k} \left\{ a_{\vec{k}}(\pm\infty) (k_0 + \omega_p) e^{i(p_0 - k_0)t} (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{k}) + a_{\vec{k}}^\dagger(\pm\infty) (\omega_p - k_0) e^{i(p_0 + k_0)t} (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} + \vec{k}) \right\}$$

$$\equiv a_{\vec{p}}(\pm\infty) \sqrt{2\omega_p}, \text{ since } k_0 = \omega_{\vec{k}} \text{ \& } \omega_{-\vec{p}} = \omega_{\vec{p}}$$

Hence $\int dx e^{i p x} (\partial_t^2 + \omega_p^2) \varphi(x) = \sqrt{2\omega_p} (a_{\vec{p}}(+\infty) - a_{\vec{p}}(-\infty))$

free theory $a_p(\infty) = a_p(-\infty)$ and $\varphi(x)$

satisfies the Klein-Gordon equation $(\square + m^2)\varphi = 0$

so that equation doesn't seem to be very useful

there. However, in an interacting theory

$(\square + m^2)\varphi(x) \neq 0$ and $a_p(+\infty) \neq a_p(-\infty)$

The above equation then tells us that

the minimality of $a_p(\pm\infty)$ is due to interactions

Now, we would like this result to be used

in the calculation of the S-matrix element

$$S_{fi} = \sqrt{\prod_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha}} \langle \Omega | T(a_3(\infty) \dots a_{p_1}(\infty) a_{p_1}^{\dagger}(-\infty) a_{p_2}^{\dagger}(-\infty)) | \Omega \rangle$$

The first thing we do is to put T-ordering

"inside" the matrix element. That is straightforward

as all $+\infty$ operators are supposed to be

to the left of $-\infty$ operators in S.F. anyhow

So,

$$S_{fi} = \sqrt{\prod_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha}} \langle \Omega | T(a_3(\infty) \dots a_{p_n}(\infty) a_{p_1}^{\dagger}(-\infty) a_{p_2}^{\dagger}(-\infty)) | \Omega \rangle$$

Now, lets write $\Delta_{p_3} \xi_1 = a_{p_3}(\infty) - a_{p_3}(-\infty)$, i.e.

a combination of operators that appeared

in formulas for $\int (D+m^2)\varphi(x)$.

Then $\langle \Omega | T(a_{p_3}(\infty) \dots | \Omega \rangle = \langle \Omega | T(\Delta_{p_3} + a_{p_3}(-\infty)) | \Omega \rangle$

$$= \langle \Omega | T \Delta_{p_3} \cdot a_{p_3}^{\dagger}(-\infty) a_{p_1}^{\dagger}(-\infty) a_{p_2}^{\dagger}(-\infty) | \Omega \rangle$$

We assume further that scattering occurred,

so $p_3 \neq p_1 \neq p_2$. Then

5- [a_{p_1}^{\dagger}(-\omega), a_{p_2}^{\dagger}(-\omega)] = 0, one can more $\alpha_{p_1}(-\omega)$

all the way to the right to annihilate the vacuum state $|\Omega\rangle$. Repeating these steps for other operators and using

$$-\tau \int d^4x e^{-i p_n x_n} (D+m)^2 \varphi(x) = \sqrt{2\omega_p} (a_p^{\dagger}(\omega) - a_p^{\dagger}(-\omega)),$$

we write

$$S_{F_2} \equiv \tau \int \prod_n d^4x_n e^{i \left(\sum_{j=3}^n p_j x_j - p_n x_n \right)} \prod_n (D_j + m_j^2) \times$$

$$\times \langle \Omega | T \varphi(x_1) \dots \varphi(x_n) | \Omega \rangle$$

This is the Lehman - Hyman - Zimmernann

formula that relates Green's functions

with S-matrix elements.

points that there are a few important ~~things~~ that

need to be ~~made~~ ^{discussed} in connection with

that formula. We will approach them

by first considering a particular

representation of a two-point function

(due to Källén-Lehmann).

Consider $G(x) = \langle \Omega | T \phi(x) \phi(0) | \Omega \rangle$ and

take its Fourier transform

$$G(p) = \int d^4x e^{i p x} \langle \Omega | T \phi(x) \phi(0) | \Omega \rangle =$$

$$= \int d^4x e^{i p x} \left\{ \theta(x_0) \langle \Omega | \phi(x) \phi(0) | \Omega \rangle + \right.$$

$$\left. + \theta(-x_0) \langle \Omega | \phi(0) \phi(x) | \Omega \rangle \right\}$$

To simplify this equation, we use the completeness relation $\hat{1} = \sum |n\rangle \langle n|$, where $\{|n\rangle\}$ is the set of states that form a basis of the Hilbert space (we do not know these states, but we imagine that they exist). ~~The simplicity~~ We write

$$\langle \Omega | \phi(x) \phi(0) | \Omega \rangle \equiv \langle \Omega | \phi(x) \sum |n\rangle \langle n| \phi(0) | \Omega \rangle \equiv \sum_n \langle \Omega | \phi(x) | n \rangle \langle n | \phi(0) | \Omega \rangle$$

The field $\phi(x)$ is related to the field $\phi(0)$ by $\phi(x) = e^{i\vec{p}_x \cdot \vec{x}} \phi(0) e^{-i\vec{p}_x \cdot \vec{x}}$,

where $\vec{P}_x = (\vec{H}, \vec{P})$ is the 4-vector built of the Hamiltonian operator and the 3-momentum

Then operators of the free theory

$$\langle \Omega | \phi(x) | n \rangle = e^{-i\vec{p}_x \cdot \vec{x}} \langle \Omega | \phi(0) | n \rangle$$

$\langle \vec{p}_x | \Omega \rangle = 0$ (vacuum energy is zero and vacuum momentum is zero as well)

Therefore

$$\langle \Omega | \phi(x) \phi(0) | \Omega \rangle \equiv \sum_n e^{-i\vec{p}_x \cdot \vec{x}} |\langle \Omega | \phi(0) | n \rangle|^2$$

$$\langle \Omega | \phi(x) \phi(0) | \Omega \rangle \equiv \sum_n e^{2i\vec{p}_x \cdot \vec{x}} |\langle \Omega | \phi(0) | n \rangle|^2$$

Hence, the function $G(p)$ reads:

$$G(p) = \int d^4x e^{2ipx} \sum_n \theta(x_0) \theta(-x_0) e^{-ipx} \cdot |\langle \Omega | \phi(0) | n \rangle|^2$$

To simplify this, insert $\int \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \delta^{(4)}(q-p_n) = 1$ into the integrand for $G(p)$. We find

$$G(p) = \sum_n \int \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} |\langle n | \phi(0) | n \rangle|^2 (2\pi)^4 \delta(q-p_n) \times \int d^4 x \left\{ \theta(x_0) e^{i(p-q)_\mu x^\mu} + \theta(-x_0) e^{i(p+q)_\mu x^\mu} \right\}$$

First, consider $\sum_n |\langle n | \phi(0) | n \rangle|^2 (2\pi)^4 \delta(q-p_n)$

This is a function of q^2 (Lorentz-invariance)

that is non-vanishing for $q^0 > 0$ (p^0 is the energy of the state $|n\rangle$, must be positive-

-definite). Hence, we write

$$\boxed{\sum_n |\langle n | \phi(0) | n \rangle|^2 (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(q-p_n) \equiv \rho(q^2) \theta(q^0)}$$

$$\Rightarrow G(p) = \int \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \rho(q^2) \theta(q^0) \times \int d^4 x \left\{ \theta(x_0) e^{i(p-q)_\mu x^\mu} + \theta(-x_0) e^{i(p+q)_\mu x^\mu} \right\}$$

To integrate over x , we use

$$\int d^4 x e^{iKx} = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(K) \text{ and } \int_0^\infty dx_0 e^{i\omega x_0} = \frac{i}{\omega + i0} ; \int_{-\infty}^0 dx_0 e^{i\omega x_0} = \frac{-i}{\omega - i0}$$

Hence

$$G(p) = \int \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \rho(q^2) \theta(q^0) \left\{ (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(p-q) \frac{i}{p_0 - q_0 + i0} + (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(p+q) \frac{-i}{p_0 + q_0 - i0} \right\} \quad (*)$$

To simplify this expression, note that $\rho(q^2)$ does not depend on the sign of q^0 .

Therefore, we can change $\vec{q} \rightarrow -\vec{q}$ in

the second term of Eq. (*, p.g. 7).

Then

$$G(p) = i \int \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \rho(q^2) \theta(q^0) (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p}-\vec{q}) \frac{p^0 - q^0 + i0}{2q^0}$$

$$G(p) = i \int \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \rho(q^2) \theta(q^0) (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{q}) (p-\vec{q}) \frac{p^2 - q^2 + i0}{2q^0}$$

Now, we can write $d^4 q \theta(q^0)$ as

$$d^4 q \theta(q^0) = (d^3 \vec{q}) \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} 2\pi \delta^3(\vec{q}) 2q^0$$

the integrand of $G(p)$ and integrate in \vec{q}

(i.e. removing the δ -function), we

obtain:

$$G(p) = i \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \rho(q^2) \frac{p^2 - q^2 + i0}{2q^0} = i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dM^2}{(2\pi)} \rho(M^2) \frac{p^2 - M^2 + i0}{2q^0}$$

This is the Källen-Lehmann representation

$\rho(M^2)$ is called spectral density. You

should also note the ambiguity of

this representation with dispersion

relations discussed in the previous lecture

We will now calculate $\rho(M^2)$. Recall:

$$\rho(M^2) \theta(q^0) = \sum_n |\langle \Omega | \phi(0) | n \rangle|^2 (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(q-p_n)$$

The sign \sum_n means an integral over n

the phase space of n -particles and the

sum over all possible states proper.

Let's imagine that there is a single-particle

state in the spectrum, and its mass is " m ".

$$\rho = \sum_n \int \frac{d^3 p_1}{(2\pi)^3 2E_1} + \sum_{n>1}$$

$$\rho_1(q^2) = \int \frac{d^3 p_1}{(2\pi)^3 2E_1} \langle \Omega | \phi(0) | \frac{1}{2} \rangle \langle \frac{1}{2} | (\alpha\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(q-p_1) =$$

$$= \int \frac{d^4 p_1}{(2\pi)^4} 2\pi \delta(p_1^2 - m^2) \langle \Omega | \phi(0) | \frac{1}{2} \rangle \langle \frac{1}{2} | (\alpha\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(q-p_1)$$

$$\equiv 2\pi \delta(q^2 - m^2) \langle \Omega | \phi(0) | \frac{1}{2} \rangle^2$$

Hence:

$$\rho(M^2) \Theta(q_0) \equiv 2\pi \delta(M^2 - m^2) \langle \Omega | \phi(0) | \frac{1}{2} \rangle^2 + \rho_{n>1}(M^2)$$

If we now substitute this formula

into Källén-Lehmann representation,

we will find $(Z = |\langle \Omega | \phi(0) | \frac{1}{2} \rangle|^2)$

$$\rho(p) \equiv \underbrace{\frac{iZ}{p^2 - m^2 + i0}}_{\text{single-particle contribution}} + \int \frac{dM^2}{2\pi} \underbrace{\frac{i\rho_{n>1}(M^2)}{p^2 - M^2 + i0}}_{\text{multi-particle states}}$$

$\times \Theta(q_0)$

It is possible to get an interacting constraint or Z by repeating the same calculation for the commutator rather than the time-ordered product. We will find

$$\langle 0 | [\phi(x), \phi(0)] | 0 \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dM^2}{2\pi} \rho(M^2) \langle 0 | [\phi(x), \phi(0)] | 0 \rangle$$

where $[\langle 0 | [\phi(x), \phi(0)] | 0 \rangle]_n$ refers to

Fourier transform of a free field theory

Green's function with $p^2 = M^2$. Taking

derivative of the above equation w.r.t. x_0

and using canonical commutation relations,

we find

$$1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dM^2}{2\pi} \rho(M^2) \equiv Z + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dM^2}{2\pi} \rho_a(M^2)$$

Here, we conclude that $0 < Z < 1$,

in a fully-interacting QFT.

Note that $Z = |\langle 0 | \phi(0) | 0 \rangle|^2$,

i.e. it is given by the matrix

element between a single particle

state and the vacuum. In a

free field theory, $Z = 1$ and

we were assuming that this is

correct also in the interacting

theory earlier in this lecture, when we wrote down asymptotics of $\varphi(x)$ at $t \rightarrow \infty$

If this assumption doesn't hold, the

LSZ formula will have to be modified

To see how this happens, note that

$$Z = |\langle p | \phi(0) | \Omega \rangle|^2 \text{ is constant with}$$

$$\varphi(t \rightarrow \pm\infty) \rightarrow \sqrt{Z} (a_{\vec{p}}(\pm\infty) + a_{\vec{p}}^{\dagger}(\pm\infty)),$$

so that

$$\int d^4x e^{ipx} \varphi(x) = \sqrt{2\omega_p} \sqrt{Z} (a_{\vec{p}}(\infty) - a_{\vec{p}}^{\dagger}(\infty))$$

$$\Rightarrow S_{fi} = i^n \int \prod_{i=1}^n d^4x_i e^{i(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j - p_1 x_1 - p_2 x_2)} \prod_{i=1}^n (\square_i + m_i^2) \left(\frac{Z_i}{-i/2} \right) \times \langle \Omega | T \varphi(x_1) \dots \varphi(x_n) | \Omega \rangle \quad (*)$$

Now, suppose we take the Fourier transform of the Green's function and write

$$\langle \Omega | T \varphi(x_1) \dots \varphi(x_n) | \Omega \rangle$$

$$= \int \frac{d^4p_1}{(2\pi)^4} \dots \frac{d^4p_n}{(2\pi)^4} e^{i\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i} \langle \Omega | T \varphi(x_1) \dots \varphi(x_n) | \Omega \rangle$$

$$(*) \times G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$$

can be represented by the

amputated Green's functions in momentum

space and the S-matrix elements:

$$S_{fi}^{\text{amp}} = \prod_n Z_i^{1/2} \times \left[\text{amputated} \right]$$

The reduction (LSZ) formulas can be generalized to cases with external

fermions and bosons. Although

generalization is simple ($\square + m^2 \rightarrow i\partial + m$), one has to

pay attention to details. The

consequences of LSZ reduction formulas are the Feynman rules that allow you

to calculate S-matrix elements from Feynman diagrams by assigning proper

polarization vectors, spins, etc. to external states.