

#7 Chiral Lagrangian for the SU(3)

-1-

In the real world, there are three light quarks with masses smaller than the QCD scale ~ 1 GeV. The other three quarks are heavier and we consider them to be irrelevant at low energies. With this in mind, the QCD Lagrangian becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}}^{(3)} = \sum_{i \in \{u, d, s\}} \left(\bar{\Psi}_L^i i \hat{D} \Psi_L^i + \bar{\Psi}_R^i i \hat{D} \Psi_R^i + (m_i \bar{\Psi}_L^i \Psi_R^i + \text{h.c.}) \right)$$

Neglecting the quark masses, we find the $SU(3)_L \otimes SU(3)_R$ flavor symmetry. At low energies we expect this symmetry to be broken to $SU(3)_{L+R}$, i.e. a diagonal subgroup of $SU(3)_L \otimes SU(3)_R$. This breaking should produce Goldstone bosons and we would like to describe them by generalizing the SU(2) construction that we described in the previous lectures.

We then write $\Sigma = e^{i \frac{\pi a \lambda^a}{F}}$,

where λ^a are Gell-Mann matrices

$$\lambda_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \lambda_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \lambda_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \lambda_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \lambda_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_7 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \lambda_8 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The Gell-Mann matrices are related to generators of the $SU(3)$ ~~the~~ group T^a :
 $T^a = \frac{\lambda^a}{2}$, and $[\lambda^a, \lambda^b] = 2if^{abc}\lambda^c$, and

$$\text{Tr}[\lambda^a \lambda^b] = 2\delta^{ab}$$

If we write $\sum_{a=1}^8 \pi^a \lambda^a$ explicitly, we find

$$\sum_{a=1}^8 \pi^a \lambda^a = \begin{bmatrix} \pi_3 + \frac{\pi_8}{\sqrt{3}} & \pi_1 - i\pi_2 & \pi_4 - i\pi_5 \\ \pi_1 + i\pi_2 & -\pi_3 + \frac{\pi_8}{\sqrt{3}} & \pi_6 - i\pi_7 \\ \pi_4 + i\pi_5 & \pi_6 + i\pi_7 & -\frac{2\pi_8}{\sqrt{3}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \pi_0 + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{3}} & \sqrt{2}\pi^+ & \sqrt{2}k^+ \\ \sqrt{2}\pi^- & -\pi_0 + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{3}} & \sqrt{2}k^0 \\ \sqrt{2}k^- & \sqrt{2}k^0 & -2\eta/\sqrt{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

Let's ~~also~~ find the general transformation rules for the eight Goldstone bosons. We ~~also~~ find similar to the $SU(2)$ case discussed earlier, we require $\Sigma \rightarrow L \Sigma R^\dagger$ under a generic $SU(3)_L \otimes SU(3)_R$ transformation.

What happens under an $SU(3)_{L+R}$ transformation?

In that case, $R=L$, so that

$$\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma' = \exp\left[i\frac{\pi^a \lambda^a}{F}\right] = L \exp\left[i\frac{\pi^a \lambda^a}{F}\right] L^\dagger$$

Expand both sides of that equation in series of $\frac{\pi^a \lambda^a}{F}$ or $\frac{\pi^a \lambda^a}{F}$. Consider

n -th term:

$$L \left(\frac{\pi^a \lambda^a}{F}\right)^n L^\dagger = L \underbrace{\frac{\pi^a \lambda^a}{F} \cdot \frac{\pi^a \lambda^a}{F} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{\pi^a \lambda^a}{F}}_{n \text{ times}} L^\dagger =$$

$$= L \frac{\pi^a \lambda^a}{F} L^\dagger \cdot L \frac{\pi^a \lambda^a}{F} L^\dagger \cdot L \frac{\pi^a \lambda^a}{F} L^\dagger \dots L \frac{\pi^a \lambda^a}{F} L^\dagger \quad -3-$$

$$= \left(L \frac{\pi^a \lambda^a}{F} L^\dagger \right)^n \Rightarrow \boxed{\pi^a \lambda^a = L \frac{\pi^a \lambda^a}{F} L^\dagger}$$

This transformation rule proves that the eight Goldstone bosons transform linearly under $SU(3)_{L+R}$ and represent an octet.

We can also construct an object that transforms as an octet from the quark fields. Taking $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ s \end{pmatrix}$, we write

$(\hat{\Pi}_q)_{ij} = \Psi_i \otimes \bar{\Psi}_j - \frac{1}{3} (\bar{\Psi} \cdot \Psi) \delta_{ij}$, where the last term is needed to ensure that $\hat{\Pi}_q$ is traceless. Since entries in $\pi^a \lambda^a$ and $\hat{\Pi}_q$ have the same "SU(3) quantum numbers", we can read-off the quark content of Goldstone mesons from such a comparison.

We find (examples, not complete exist)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\hat{\Pi}_q)_{12} = u\bar{d} \sim \pi^+ \quad (\hat{\Pi}_q)_{11} (\hat{\Pi}_q)_{22} \sim \pi_0 \sim \\ (\hat{\Pi}_q)_{21} = d\bar{u} \sim \pi^- \quad \sim u\bar{u} - d\bar{d} \\ \eta \sim u\bar{u} + d\bar{d} - 2s\bar{s} \end{array} \right.$$

On the other hand, under pure axial transformations, the transformation rules for Goldstone bosons are different.

We take $L = e^{i\theta^a \lambda^a}$ and $R = L^\dagger = e^{-i\theta^a \lambda^a}$

Then $\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma' = L e^{\frac{i\pi^a \lambda^a}{F}} R^\dagger = L e^{\frac{i\pi^a \lambda^a}{F}} L$

We can check what happens for the infinitesimal transformations and small fields. We find:

$$1 + i \frac{\pi^a \lambda^a}{F} \simeq (1 + i \theta_b \lambda^b) \left(1 + i \frac{\pi^a \lambda^a}{F} \right) (1 + i \theta_c \lambda^c) \Rightarrow$$

$$\pi^a \simeq \pi^a + 2F\theta_a + O(\theta, \pi)$$

The non-linear nature of the transformation is evident and is essential for π^a 's being the Goldstone bosons. ("shift invariance").

Having the matrix Σ , we can easily construct the "kinetic" term of the chiral Lagrangian. Indeed, it is the exact copy of the construction that we had in case of $SU(2)$. We write

$$\mathcal{L}_{O(E^2)} = \frac{F^2}{4} \text{Tr} (\partial_\mu \Sigma \partial^\mu \Sigma^\dagger).$$

This Lagrangian describes physics of massless Goldstone bosons and, as we know well, actual π 's and K 's and η 's are not massless. We will now try to ~~construct~~ construct the mass term for the $SU(3)$ chiral Lagrangian assuming that the major source of ~~the~~ masses for the Goldstone bosons is the explicit $L \otimes R$ symmetry breaking

mass term in the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}}^{(3)}$. -5-

The mass term reads:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD, mass}}^{(3)} = \sum_{i \in (u, d, s)} (m_i \bar{\psi}_L^i \psi_R^i + \text{h.c.}) = \bar{\psi}_L \hat{M} \psi_R + \bar{\psi}_R \hat{M}^\dagger \psi_L,$$

where $\hat{M} = \begin{pmatrix} m_u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_s \end{pmatrix}$ is the mass matrix.

The $\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD, mass}}^{(3)}$ is not invariant under $SU_L(3) \otimes SU_R(3)$ but it could have been invariant provided that the matrix \hat{M} transforms as $M \rightarrow LMR^\dagger$.

Suppose now that the mass term in $\mathcal{L}_{O(E^2)}$ is linear in \hat{M} (think about expanding in M and truncating at the first ~~one~~ term). Then, the goal is to write a mass term that is linear in \hat{M} and is invariant under $\Sigma \rightarrow L \Sigma R^\dagger$ and $M \rightarrow LMR^\dagger$.

There is basically one term that we can write (we also need to minimize number of derivatives)

$$\mathcal{L}_{O(E^2)}^{\text{mass}} = B_0 \text{Tr}(\Sigma^\dagger \hat{M}) + \text{h.c.}$$

The full Lagrangian becomes:

$$\mathcal{L}_{O(E^2)} = \frac{F^2}{4} \text{Tr}(\partial_\mu \Sigma \partial^\mu \Sigma^\dagger) + B_0 \text{Tr}(\Sigma^\dagger \hat{M})$$

We will now try to see what this Lagrangian means.

We do this in an usual way by ...
 expanding around small fields and keeping quadratic terms only. We then find;

$$*) \frac{F^2}{4} \text{Tr}(\partial_\mu \Sigma \partial^\mu \Sigma^\dagger) \rightarrow \frac{F^2}{4} \text{Tr} \left(\frac{\partial_\mu \hat{\pi} \partial^\mu \hat{\pi}}{F^2} \right) = \sum_{a=1}^8 \frac{(\partial_\mu \pi^a)^2}{2}$$

$$**) B_0 \text{Tr}(\Sigma^\dagger \hat{M}) + h.c. \rightarrow B_0 \left[\left(\text{Tr}(-i\pi^a \lambda^a \hat{M}) + h.c. \right) - \left[\frac{B_0}{2F^2} \text{Tr}(\hat{\pi}^2 \hat{M}) + h.c. \right] \right] = -\frac{B_0}{2F^2} \left(\text{Tr}(\hat{\pi} \hat{\pi} \hat{M}) + h.c. \right) = -\frac{2B_0}{F^2} \text{Tr}(\lambda_a \lambda_b \hat{M}) \frac{\pi_a \pi_b}{2} = -m_{ab}^2 \frac{\pi_a \pi_b}{2}$$

Hence the mass matrix for the Goldstone bosons is given by

$$\boxed{m_{ab}^2 = \frac{2B_0}{F^2} \text{Tr}(\lambda_a \lambda_b \hat{M})}$$

One can show that m_{ab}^2 is ~~symmetric~~ ^{diagonal}, except for the possible off-diagonal entries $\frac{1}{2} m_{38}^2$ and $\frac{1}{2} m_{83}^2$ (HW). Using this, we first compute a few diagonal contributions to m_{ab}^2 and express the results in terms of meson masses:

$$m_{11}^2 = \frac{2B_0}{F^2} \text{Tr}[\lambda_1^2 \hat{M}] = \frac{2B_0}{F^2} \text{Tr} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \hat{M} \right] \Rightarrow$$

$$m_{11}^2 = \frac{2B_0}{F^2} (m_u + m_d)$$

$$m_{22}^2 = \frac{2B_0}{F^2} \text{Tr}[\lambda_2^2 \hat{M}] = \frac{2B_0}{F^2} \text{Tr} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \hat{M} \right] \Rightarrow$$

$$m_{22}^2 = \frac{2B_0}{F^2} (m_u + m_d)$$

Since $m_{12}^2 = m_{21}^2 = 0$ and $\pi^\pm = \frac{\pi_1 \mp i\pi_2}{\sqrt{2}}$, -7-

we find $m_{11}^2 = m_{22}^2 = m_{\pi^\pm}^2 = \frac{2B_0}{F^2} (m_u + m_d)$

Similar calculations give us masses of charged and neutral k -mesons:

$$m_{K^\pm}^2 = \frac{2B_0}{F^2} (m_u + m_s) \quad m_{K_0}^2 = m_{\bar{K}_0}^2 = \frac{2B_0}{F^2} (m_d + m_s)$$

What remains to be understood is the π_3 - π_8 sector. We find, by an explicit computation;

$$m_{33}^2 = \frac{2B_0}{F^2} (m_u + m_d) \quad m_{88}^2 = \frac{2B_0}{3F^2} (m_u + m_d + 4m_s)$$

$$m_{38}^2 = \frac{2B_0}{\sqrt{3}F^2} (m_u - m_d) \quad m_{83}^2 = \frac{2B_0}{\sqrt{3}F^2} (m_u - m_d)$$

The presence of off-diagonal entries means that π_3 & π_8 are not physical fields; ~~but~~ they need to be rotated to diagonalize the ~~matrix~~ mass matrix.

To diagonalize the mixing matrix, assuming the mixing is small, we can write $[\pi_3 \approx \pi_0 + \eta_0 \theta, \pi_8 \approx \eta_0 - \theta \pi_0]$

so that the mixing angle is fixed to

$$\theta \approx \frac{m_{38}^2}{m_{88}^2 - m_{33}^2} \approx \frac{m_u - m_d}{(m_u + m_d + 4m_s)}$$

Since $m_u \sim m_d \sim \text{few MeV}$ and $m_s \sim 100 \text{ MeV}$, $\theta \ll 1$. Neglecting it completely, we find:

$$m_{\pi_0}^2 = \frac{2B_0}{F^2} (m_u + m_d), \quad \text{and} \quad m_{\eta}^2 = \frac{2B_0}{3F^2} (m_u + m_d + 4m_s)$$

Comparing masses of different mesons, it is easy to see that

$$3m_{\eta}^2 + m_{\pi_0}^2 = 2m_{K^+}^2 + 2m_{K_0}^2$$

This relation is known as Gell-Mann-Okubo relation. Numerically, if we take

$$m_{\eta} = 548 \text{ MeV}, \quad m_{\pi_0} = 135 \text{ MeV}, \quad m_{K^+} = 494 \text{ MeV},$$

$$m_{K_0} = 498 \text{ MeV}, \quad \text{we find}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 3m_{\eta}^2 + m_{\pi_0}^2 = 0.919 \text{ GeV}^2 \\ 2(m_{K^+}^2 + m_{K_0}^2) = 0.984 \text{ GeV}^2 \end{array} \right.$$

Therefore, the Gell-Mann-Okubo relation is accurate ~~to~~ to about 6%!

Another interesting information that we can get from these formulas are ratios of quark masses, but this will require us to discuss one more topic - electromagnetism.

What we would like to understand -9-
 is how to account for a potential
 electromagnetic mass differences between
 charged and neutral mesons.

To this end, we'll follow what we did
 for the case of $SU(2)$ and modify the
 Lagrangian to introduce the electromagnetic
 interactions:

$$\frac{F^2}{4} \text{Tr}(\partial_\mu \Sigma \partial^\mu \Sigma^\dagger) \rightarrow \frac{F^2}{4} \text{Tr}((D_\mu \Sigma)(D^\mu \Sigma)^\dagger),$$

where $D_\mu \Sigma \equiv \partial_\mu \Sigma + ie A_\mu [\hat{Q}, \hat{\Sigma}]$.

The "electric charge" matrix \hat{Q} reads:

$$\hat{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} 2/3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1/3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can write $\hat{Q} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_3 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \lambda_8$.

Then, looking up the structure constants of
 $SU(3)$, one concludes that \hat{Q} commutes
 with $\lambda_3, \lambda_8, \lambda_6$ and λ_7 . Since $\pi_3, \pi_8,$
 π_6 & π_7 correspond to $\pi_0, \eta, K_0, \bar{K}_0,$
 we see that neutral particles do not
 interact with electromagnetic fields
 to first order in the EM coupling.

It is also easy to see that all
 other particles (π^\pm, K^\pm) interact
 with the same strength (this

means π^\pm, K^\pm charges are the same, (up to a sign). Hence, we write the following ansatz for meson masses: -10-

$$m_{\pi^\pm}^2 = \frac{2B_0}{F^2} (m_u + m_d) + \Delta m_{em}^2 \quad m_{K^\pm}^2 = \frac{2B_0}{F^2} (m_u + m_s) + \Delta m_{em}^2$$

$$m_{\pi_0}^2 = \frac{2B_0}{F^2} (m_u + m_d) \quad m_{K_0}^2 = \frac{2B_0}{F^2} (m_d + m_s)$$

$$m_\eta^2 = \frac{2B_0}{3F^2} (m_u + m_d + 4m_s)$$

We find:

$$\frac{m_d}{m_s} = \frac{m_{K_0}^2 - m_{K^\pm}^2 + m_{\pi^\pm}^2}{m_{K_0}^2 + m_{K^\pm}^2 - m_{\pi^\pm}^2} \approx 5 \times 10^{-2}$$

$$\frac{m_d}{m_u} = \frac{-m_{K^\pm}^2 + m_{K_0}^2 + m_{\pi^\pm}^2}{m_{K^\pm}^2 - m_{K_0}^2 - m_{\pi^\pm}^2 + 2m_{\pi_0}^2} \approx 1.805$$

As follows from these formulas, we obtain ratios of masses without any reference to the η -meson! Hence, we can turn this around and predict the η -meson's mass. Since $m_s \gg m_d, m_u$,

We find $m_\eta^2 \approx \frac{2}{3} \frac{B_0}{F^2} 4 \cdot m_s \approx \frac{4m_{K_0}^2}{3} \approx (566 \text{ MeV})^2$,
 where we used $m_{K_0} \approx 497 \text{ MeV}$.

The measured value is $m_\eta \approx 549 \text{ MeV}$, which means that our prediction ~~works~~ works out quite well.