

Lecture 4: - 1 -

Chiral symmetry: linear σ -model

This is an old model of Gell-Mann & Levy, who attempted to describe interactions of protons, neutrons and pions.

Consider a proton & neutron & form an $SU(2)$ doublet $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ n \end{pmatrix}$. The $SU(2)$

symmetry is an isospin symmetry of strong interactions: if $\psi \rightarrow \psi + \delta\psi$, with $\delta\psi = i \epsilon^a \tau^a \psi$, $\tau^a = \frac{\mathbf{T}^a}{2} \in SU(2)$, the Lagrange density must be invariant.

Now, consider only kinetic term assuming that ψ is a massless field.

$\mathcal{L}_{kin} = \bar{\psi} i \hat{\partial} \psi$. Write $\psi = \psi_L + \psi_R$,
 $\psi_{L,R} = \frac{1 \pm \gamma_5}{2} \psi$. $\bar{\psi}_{L,R} = \frac{\bar{\psi} (1 \mp \gamma_5)}{2} \Rightarrow$

$\mathcal{L}_{kin} = \bar{\psi}_L i \hat{\partial} \psi_L + \bar{\psi}_R i \hat{\partial} \psi_R$, so the

left- and right- fields do not talk to each other. This is violated

by the mass term $m \bar{\psi} \psi \equiv m(\bar{\psi}_L \psi_R + R \leftrightarrow L)$,

but we will consider first the $m \rightarrow 0$ limit.

If $\psi_{L,R}$ decouple, we can perform an $SU(2)$ rotation on ψ_L & ψ_R separately. In other words

\mathcal{L}_{kin} is invariant under:

-2-

$$\begin{cases} \psi_L \rightarrow \psi_L + \delta\psi_L, & \delta\psi_L = i\varepsilon_L^a T^a \psi_L \\ \psi_R \rightarrow \psi_R + \delta\psi_R, & \delta\psi_R = i\varepsilon_R^a T^a \psi_R \end{cases}$$

We say that the first transformation is generated by the group $SU(2)_L$, & the second - by the group $SU(2)_R$. The Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{kin} is invariant under $SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$.

It is instructive to write how the field ψ transforms under generic $SU(2)$ transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\psi &= \delta\psi_L + \delta\psi_R = i\varepsilon_L^a T^a \psi_L + i\varepsilon_R^a T^a \psi_R = \\ &= \left[i\varepsilon_L^a T^a \frac{(1+\gamma_5)}{2} + i\varepsilon_R^a T^a \frac{(1-\gamma_5)}{2} \right] \psi = \\ &= i \left[\frac{(\varepsilon_L^a + \varepsilon_R^a)}{2} T^a + i \frac{(\varepsilon_L^a - \varepsilon_R^a)}{2} \gamma_5 T^a \right] \psi \Rightarrow \end{aligned}$$

$$\delta\psi = i(\varepsilon^a - \gamma_5 \varepsilon_5^a) T^a \cdot \psi, \text{ where}$$

$$\varepsilon^a = \frac{\varepsilon_R^a + \varepsilon_L^a}{2}, \quad \varepsilon_5^a = \frac{\varepsilon_R^a - \varepsilon_L^a}{2}.$$

For $\varepsilon^a \neq 0, \varepsilon_5^a = 0$, we have an isospin rotation,
for $\varepsilon^a = 0, \varepsilon_5^a \neq 0$, we have a chiral rotation.

Of course, in the real world protons and neutrons aren't massless, so it is not clear why this limit is useful.

However, Gell-Mann and Levy realized that the masses can be given to proton & neutron as a consequence of spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry.

To describe this, introduce a matrix field $\Sigma(x)$. We assume that Σ is a 2×2 matrix; it transforms under ~~$SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$~~ in the following way:
 $SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$ in the following way:
 $\Sigma \rightarrow L \Sigma R^\dagger$, $R, L \in SU(2)_{R,L}$.

The Lagrangian is written as
 $\mathcal{L} = i \bar{\Psi} i \hat{\partial} \Psi - g \bar{\Psi}_L \Sigma \Psi_R - g \bar{\Psi}_R \Sigma^\dagger \Psi_L + \mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$

Let us assume that $\mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$ is invariant under L, R transformations. This is easy to accomplish provided that

$\mathcal{L}(\Sigma) \equiv f[\text{Tr}(\Sigma \Sigma^\dagger)]$ where a Tr is a trace in the $SU(2)$ space.

For the rest, a set of transformations $\Psi_L \rightarrow \hat{L} \Psi_L$, $\Psi_R \rightarrow \hat{R} \Psi_R$, $\Sigma \rightarrow \hat{L} \Sigma \hat{R}^\dagger$, leave the Lagrangian invariant.

In general, an arbitrary 2×2 matrix can be written as a linear combination of an identity matrix and three Pauli matrices with complex coefficients.

For our purposes we write ($\tau^a \equiv \sigma^a$, Paulim.) -4-

$$\boxed{\Sigma = \sigma + i\pi^a \tau^a}, \text{ with } \sigma \text{ \& } \pi^a, a \in 1, 2, 3$$

real. We will argue that the L Σ R⁺ transformation keeps this form intact.

To this end, write

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma \Sigma^\dagger &= (\sigma + i\pi^a \tau^a)(\sigma - i\pi^b \tau^b) = \\ &= \sigma^2 + \pi^a \pi^b \tau^a \tau^b = \sigma^2 + \pi^a \pi^b \delta^{ab} = (\sigma^2 + \pi^2) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\det \Sigma = \bar{\sigma}^2 + \bar{\pi}^2$. It

follows that $\Sigma = (\bar{\sigma}^2 + \bar{\pi}^2)^{1/2} \cdot U$, where

$$\det U = 1 \text{ and } U^\dagger U = 1.$$

$$\text{Now } \Sigma \rightarrow L \Sigma R^\dagger = (\bar{\sigma}^2 + \bar{\pi}^2)^{1/2} L U R^\dagger = (\bar{\sigma}^2 + \bar{\pi}^2)^{1/2} U_1$$

$$U_1 \in SU(2) \Leftrightarrow U_1^\dagger U_1 = 1 \text{ and } \det U_1 = 1$$

since both L & R are from SU(2)

To calculate transformation rules for (π^a, σ) ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{we write } L &\approx 1 + i\varepsilon_L^a T^a \\ R &\approx 1 + i\varepsilon_R^a T^a \end{aligned} \Rightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \Sigma &= i\varepsilon_L^a T^a (\sigma + i\pi^b \tau^b) + (\sigma + i\pi^b \tau^b) (-i\varepsilon_R^a T^a) \\ &= i \left(\frac{\varepsilon_L^a - \varepsilon_R^a}{2} \right) \tau^a \sigma + \frac{\pi^b \varepsilon_L^a}{2} \tau^a \tau^b + \frac{\tau^b \tau^a \varepsilon_R^a}{2} \pi^b \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Now, } \tau^a \tau^b = \delta^{ab} + i\varepsilon^{abc} \tau^c \Rightarrow$$

$$\frac{\pi^b \varepsilon_L^a}{2} \tau^a \tau^b = \frac{\bar{\pi} \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}_L}{2} + i\varepsilon^{abc} \frac{\varepsilon_L^a}{2} \pi^b \tau^c$$

$$\frac{\pi^b \varepsilon_R^a}{2} \tau^b \tau^a = \frac{\bar{\pi} \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}_R}{2} - i\varepsilon^{abc} \frac{\varepsilon_R^a}{2} \pi^b \tau^c$$

$$\delta \Sigma = -i \left(\frac{\varepsilon_R^a - \varepsilon_L^a}{2} \right) \tau^a \sigma + \frac{\bar{\pi} \cdot (\bar{\varepsilon}_R - \bar{\varepsilon}_L)}{2} - i\varepsilon^{abc} \frac{(\varepsilon_R^a + \varepsilon_L^a)}{2} \pi^b \tau^c$$

Since $\delta \Sigma = \delta \sigma + i \delta \pi^a \tau^a$, we find -5-

$$\boxed{\delta \sigma = \vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{E}_5 \quad \delta \pi^a = -\epsilon_5^a \cdot \sigma - \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^b \pi^c}$$

We can use this decomposition of $\Sigma = \sigma + i \tau^a \pi^a$ to write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= i \bar{\psi} i \hat{\partial} \psi - g \bar{\Psi}_L (\sigma + i \vec{\pi}^a \tau^a) \Psi_R - g \bar{\Psi}_R (\sigma - i \vec{\pi}^a \tau^a) \Psi_L \\ &\quad + \mathcal{L}(\Sigma) = \\ &= i \bar{\psi} i \hat{\partial} \psi - g \bar{\Psi} \Psi \sigma - i g \vec{\pi}^a (\bar{\Psi} \gamma_5 \tau^a \Psi) + \mathcal{L}(\Sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Our Lagrangian has $\bar{\Psi} \Psi \sigma$ and $\bar{\Psi} \Psi \vec{\pi}^a$ couplings and is therefore reasonably close to what we want from phenomenology.

The problem is that all particles are still massless. ~~Fix~~ To take care of that,

we need $\mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$. To write it down,

note that $\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma) = \sigma^2 + \vec{\pi}^2$.

Similarly $\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\partial_\mu \Sigma)(\partial^\mu \Sigma^\dagger) = (\partial_\mu \sigma)(\partial^\mu \sigma) + (\partial_\mu \pi^a)^2$.

Hence, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\Sigma) &= \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}(\partial_\mu \Sigma)(\partial^\mu \Sigma^\dagger) - \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma) - F_\pi^2 \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \sigma)(\partial^\mu \sigma) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \pi^a)(\partial^\mu \pi^a) - \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(\sigma^2 + \pi^2 - F_\pi^2 \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

This is the Lagrangian that develops spontaneous symmetry breaking.

To this end, write $\sigma = F_\pi + \sigma'$, -6-
and rewrite \mathcal{L} using new fields.

We find $\{$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & i \bar{\psi} \hat{\partial} \psi - g F_\pi \bar{\psi} \psi - g \sigma' \bar{\psi} \psi \\ & + i g \pi_a \bar{\psi} \tau_a \gamma_5 \psi + \frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu \sigma' \partial_\mu \sigma' + \frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu \pi_a \partial_\mu \pi_a \\ & - \frac{\lambda}{4} (\sigma'^2 + \pi_a^2 + 2 F_\pi \sigma')^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the theory describes:

- 1) a massive doublet $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ n \end{pmatrix}$, with the mass $g F_\pi$.
- 2) a triplet of massless pions π^a ;
- 3) a scalar field σ' with the mass $m_{\sigma'} = \sqrt{2\lambda F_\pi^2}$.

The pions are obviously Goldstone bosons of the theory. Let us clarify what symmetry is broken by our choice of the vacuum state:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma \rangle & \equiv F_\pi, \quad \langle \pi^a \rangle = 0, \\ \hat{\Sigma}_{\text{vac}} & \equiv F_\pi \hat{\mathbf{1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Upon a general $SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$ transf.,

we find

$$\hat{\Sigma}_{\text{vac}} \rightarrow -i \tau^a \varepsilon_5^a \cdot F_\pi + F_\pi \hat{\mathbf{1}}.$$

Hence, if $\varepsilon_5^a \neq 0$, the vacuum changes and our choice of vacuum breaks

the symmetry associated with chiral - 7 -
rotations Each broken symmetry
 corresponds to a massless Goldstone boson
 in the spectrum. Hence, ~~are~~ the three
 Goldstone bosons - the pions.

Let us calculate the Noether current
 associated with the chiral symmetry.

It reads

$$j_{5\mu}^a = -(\partial^\mu \pi^a) \sigma + (\partial^\mu \sigma) \pi^a - \bar{\Psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \tau_a \Psi$$

Let's write this current in terms of
 "physical fields"; we have

$$j_{5\mu}^a = -(\partial^\mu \pi^a) F_\pi + \dots$$

where ellipses stand for terms that
 are quadratic in the fields.

The first - linear - term is unusual.

It is responsible for a matrix element
 between a Goldstone boson and the

$$\text{vacuum} \quad \langle \pi^a(p) | J_{5\mu}^b | 0 \rangle = i F_\pi p_\mu \delta^{ab}$$

The fact that the ^{conserved} current acts on a vacuum
 and produces a Goldstone boson is
 particular to theories with ~~explicit~~ ^{spontaneous}
 symmetry breaking. The situation

is very general. Here is a proof

of the Goldstone theorem

To this end, consider a global continuous symmetry of the Lagrangian. -8-

Construct a Noether current $J^\mu(x)$. The current is conserved $\partial_\mu J^\mu(x) = 0$.

($J^{\mu\dagger} = J^\mu$, i.e. Hermitian current)

Conserved charge $Q = \int d^3\vec{x} J^0(\vec{x})$, $\dot{Q} = 0$

Define an order parameter

$$\chi(x) = [Q, \phi(x)] \text{ where } \phi(x) \text{ is}$$

(eventually) an interpolating field for Goldstones

Consider a case when $\langle \text{vac} | \chi(x) | \text{vac} \rangle \neq 0$

This implies $\langle \text{vac} | \phi | \text{vac} \rangle \neq 0$ and this implies

that vacuum is not invariant under a symmetry transformation associated with \hat{Q} .

Consider $\Pi^\mu(q) = -i \int e^{iqx} d^4x \langle \text{vac} | T \{ J^\mu(x), \phi(0) \} | \text{vac} \rangle$ not an anti-commut. just a product

and

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} q_\mu \Pi^\mu(q) = -i \int e^{iqx} d^4x q_\mu \langle \text{vac} | T \{ J^\mu(x), \phi(0) \} | \text{vac} \rangle$$

$$= - \int \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} e^{iqx} d^4x \langle \text{vac} | T \{ J^\mu(x), \phi(0) \} | \text{vac} \rangle$$

$$= + \int e^{iqx} d^4x \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \langle \text{vac} | T \{ J^\mu(x), \phi(0) \} | \text{vac} \rangle$$

$$\text{Now } \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \langle \text{vac} | T \{ J^\mu(x), \phi(0) \} | \text{vac} \rangle$$

$$\equiv \langle \text{vac} | \delta(x_0) J^0(x) \phi(0) - \delta(x_0) \phi(0) J^0(x) | \text{vac} \rangle$$

$$= \delta(x_0) \langle \text{vac} | [J^0(x), \phi(0)] | \text{vac} \rangle \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} q_\mu \Pi^\mu(q) = \int d^3 \vec{x} \langle \text{vac} | [J^0(\vec{x}), \phi(0)] | \text{vac} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \text{vac} | X | \text{vac} \rangle = v \neq 0$$

$\Rightarrow \Pi^\mu(q) \equiv \frac{v q^\mu}{q^2}$. Hence there is a q^2 -pole in this correlator. As the result, there should be a massless particle that couples to both J^μ & ϕ .

It is instructive to explore various ways of representing massless degrees of freedom in the σ -model. To this end, we will only explore the mesonic sector of the theory

We have $\mathcal{L}(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}((\partial_\mu \Sigma)(\partial_\mu \Sigma^\dagger)) - \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\Sigma \Sigma^\dagger) - F_\pi^2 \right)^2$

Writing $\Sigma = \sigma + i\pi^a \tau^a$ we obtain $\mathcal{L}(\sigma, \pi)$

with non-trivial coupling between σ & π .

Let us, however, write $\Sigma = \rho \times U$, where

$U \in SU(2)$. Then $\Sigma \Sigma^\dagger = \rho^2 U U^\dagger = \rho^2 \mathbb{1}$

$$\partial_\mu \Sigma = (\partial_\mu \rho) U + \rho \partial_\mu U$$

$$\partial_\mu \Sigma^\dagger = (\partial_\mu \rho) U^\dagger + \rho \partial_\mu U^\dagger$$

$$\partial_\mu \Sigma \partial_\mu \Sigma^\dagger = (\partial_\mu \rho)(\partial^\mu \rho) \mathbb{1} + \rho(\partial_\mu U) \partial^\mu \rho U^\dagger + (\partial_\mu \rho) U \rho \partial^\mu U^\dagger + \rho^2 \partial_\mu U \partial^\mu U^\dagger \Rightarrow$$

since $\partial_\mu(U U^\dagger) = 0$,

$$\partial_\mu \Sigma \partial^\mu \Sigma^\dagger = \partial_\mu \rho \partial^\mu \rho \mathbb{1} + \rho^2 \partial_\mu U \partial^\mu U^\dagger$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \rho)^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{4} \text{Tr}(\partial_\mu U \partial^\mu U^\dagger) - \frac{\lambda}{4} (\rho^2 - F_\pi^2)^2 \quad -10-$$

The symmetry breaking implies $\rho = F_\pi + \xi$
 $\rho^2 - F_\pi^2 = 2F_\pi \xi + \xi^2$ $\partial_\mu \rho = \partial_\mu \xi$ and

$$\mathcal{L}(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \xi)^2 + \frac{1}{4} (F_\pi + \xi)^2 \text{Tr}(\partial_\mu U \partial^\mu U^\dagger) - \frac{2\lambda F_\pi^2}{2} \left\{ \xi^2 \left(1 + \frac{\xi}{2F_\pi} \right)^2 \right. \quad (*)$$

Since $U = e^{i\frac{\pi^a(x)}{F_\pi} \tau^a}$, we have 3 massless pions and 1 massive field, exactly the same as when we represented Σ as $\sigma + i\pi^a \tau^a$.

For small values of the fields

$$F_\pi + \sigma' + i\pi^a \tau^a \approx (F_\pi + \xi) e^{i\frac{\hat{\pi}^a \tau^a}{F_\pi}} \approx (F_\pi + \xi) \left(1 + \frac{i\hat{\pi}^a \tau^a}{F_\pi} \right) \approx F_\pi + \xi + \frac{i\hat{\pi}^a \tau^a}{F_\pi} \Rightarrow$$

$$\sigma' \approx \xi \quad \& \quad \pi^a \approx \hat{\pi}^a. \quad \text{But these}$$

equations are valid only for small field ~~the~~ values.

Note that the Lagrangian (*) is invariant under $U \rightarrow L U R^\dagger$ transformation.

The first point we make is that the Lagrangian (*) and the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(\sigma, \hat{\pi})$ describe the same physics (same matrix elements for physics processes). Consider the limit $\lambda F_\pi^2 \gg E^2$, i.e. the field

ξ is heavy and can not get excited. -11-

Then it can be removed from the theory ("integrated out"). The result of this should be a Lagrangian that only depends on the massless fields: $U = e^{i\pi^a \tau^a / F_\pi}$ and that is invariant under chiral transformations $U \rightarrow L U K^\dagger$. We will discuss how to construct such Lagrangian in the next lecture.